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## Introduction

## Origins, goals, and outcome

The original text underlying this book was a set of notes ${ }^{1}$ I compiled, originally as a participant and later as an instructor, for the Math Olympiad Program (MOP), ${ }^{2}$ the annual summer program to prepare U.S. high school students for the International Mathematical Olympiad (IMO). Given the overt mission of the MOP, the notes as originally compiled were intended to bridge the gap between the knowledge of Euclidean geometry of American IMO prospects and that of their counterparts from other countries. To that end, they included a large number of challenging problems culled from Olympiad-level competitions from around the world.

However, the resulting book you are now reading shares with the MOP a second mission, which is more covert and even a bit subversive. In revising it, I have attempted to usher the reader from the comfortable world of Euclidean geometry to the gates of "geometry" as the term is defined (in multiple ways) by modern mathematicians, using the solving of routine and nonroutine problems as the vehicle for discovery. In particular, I have aimed to deliver something more than "just another problems book".

In the end, I became unconvinced that I would succeed in this mission through my own efforts alone; as a result, the manuscript remains in some ways unfinished. For one, it still does not include figures (though some of these do exist online; see the chapter "About the license"); for another, I would ideally like to include some additional material in Part III (examples: combinatorial geometry, constructibility).

Rather than continue endlessly to "finish" the manuscript, I have instead decided to carry the spirit of the distribution of the notes to a new level, by deliberately releasing an incomplete manuscript as an "open source" document using the GNU Free Documentation License; (for more on which see the chapter "About the license"). My hope is that this will encourage readers to make use of this still unpolished material in ways I have not foreseen.

[^0]
## Methodology

This book is not written in the manner of a typical textbook. (Indeed, it is not really designed to serve as a textbook at all, though it could certainly be used as one with highly motivated students.) That is, we do not present full developments of key theorems up front, leaving only routine exercises for the reader to consider. For one, we leave strategic gaps in the exposition for the reader to fill in. For another, we include a number of nonroutine problems, of the sort found on the IMO or related national competitions. The reader may or may not succeed in solving these, but attempting them should provide a solid test of one's understanding. In any case, solutions to the exercises and problems are included in the back; we have kept these brief, and they are only intended to make sense once you have already thought a bit about the corresponding exercises/problems on your own.

In addition to the MOP (and in some sense the Socratic method), inspirations for this approach include the famous Moore method of learning through problems, and the number theory curriculum of the late Arnold Ross's renowned summer mathematics program ${ }^{3}$ for high school students. We also take inspiration from the slender classic Geometry Revisited by H.S.M. Coxeter and S. Greitzer, among whose pages this author discovered the beauty of Euclidean geometry so carefully hidden by many textbook writers. Indeed, we originally considered titling this book "Geometry Revisited" Revisited in homage to the masters; we ultimately chose instead to follow Aeschylus and Percy Bysshe Shelley in depicting geometry as a titanic subject released from the shackles of school curricula.

## Structure of the book

Aside from this introduction, the book is divided into four parts. The first part, "Rudiments", is devoted to the foundations of Euclidean geometry and to some of the most pervasive ideas within the subject. The second part, "Special situations", treats some common environments of classical synthetic geometry; it is here where one encounters many of the challenging Olympiad problems which helped inspire this book. The third part, "The roads to modern geometry", consists of two ${ }^{4}$ chapters which treat slightly more advanced topics (inversive and projective geometry). The fourth part, "Odds and ends", is the back matter of the book, to be consulted as the need arises; it includes hints for the exercises and problems (for more on the difference, see below), plus bibliographic references, suggestions for further reading, information about the open source license, and an index.

Some words about terminology are in order at this point. For the purposes of this book, a theorem is an important result which either is given with its proof, or is given without its proof because inclusion of a proof would lead too far afield. In the latter case, a reference is provided. A corollary is a result which is important in its own right, but is easily deduced

[^1]from a nearby theorem. A fact is a result which is important but easy enough to deduce that its proof is left to the reader.

Most sections of the text are accompanied by a section labeled "Problems", which are additional assertions which the reader is challenged to verify. Some of these are actually what we would call exercises, i.e., results which the reader should not have any trouble proving on his/her own, given what has come before. By contrast, a true problem is a result that can be obtained using the available tools, but which also requires some additional insight. In part to avoid deterring the reader from trying the more challenging problems (but also to forestall some awkwardness in cross-referencing), we have used the term "problem" in both cases. Hints have been included in the back matter of the book for selected problems; in order that the hints may also cover facts, some problems take the form "Prove Fact 21.13." In order to keep the book to a manageable size, and also to avoid challenging the reader's willpower, solutions have not been included; they may be instead found online at

I have attributed my source for each problem to the best of my knowledge. Problems from the USA Mathematical Olympiad (USAMO), International Mathematical Olympiad (IMO), USA Team Selection Test (TST), and William Lowell Putnam competition (Putnam) are listed by year and number; problems from other national or regional contests are listed by country/contest and year. Problems I obtained from MOP are so labeled when I was unable to determine their true origins; most of these probably come from national contests. Arbelos ${ }^{5}$ refers to Samuel Greitzer's student publication from 1982-1987 [8], and Monthly refers to the American Mathematical Monthly. Problems listed as "Original" are my own problems which have not before appeared in print (excluding prior versions of this book). Attributions to other people or web sites should be self-explanatory.

## Acknowledgments

The acknowledgments for a book such as this cannot help but be at once tediously voluminuous and hopelessly inadequate. That being so, there is nothing to done other than to proceed forthwith.

Let me start with those most directly involved. Thanks to Reid Barton for assembling a partial set of solutions to the included problems. Thanks to Marcelo Alvisio for expanding this solution set, for reporting numerous typos in the 1999 manuscript, and for rendering the missing diagrams from the 1999 manuscript using The Geometer's Sketchpad ${ }^{\circledR}$. Thanks to Arthur Baragar for helpful (though not yet carried out) advice concerning the rendering of diagrams.

Let me next turn to those whose contributions are more diffuse. I first learned Euclidean geometry in the manner of this book from my instructors and later colleagues at the MOP, including Titu Andreescu, Răzvan Gelca, Anne Hudson, Gregg Patruno, and Dan Ullman. The participants of the 1997, 1998, and 1999 MOPs also deserve thanks for working through the notes that formed the basis for this book.

[^2]I owe a tremendous expository debt to Bjorn Poonen and Ravi Vakil, my collaborators on the 1985-2000 Putnam compilation [12]. In that volume, we embarked on a grand experiment: to forge a strong expository link between challenging "elementary" problems and "deep" mathematics. The warm reception received by that volume has emboldened me to apply to the present book some of what we learned from this experiment.

Thanks to the compilers of the wonderfully comprehensive MacTutor History of Mathematics, available online at
http://www-gap.dcs.st-and.ac.uk/~history/index.html.
We have used MacTutor as our reference for historical comments, English spellings of names, and birth and death dates. (All dates are A.D. unless denoted B.C.E. ${ }^{6}$ )

[^3]
## Part I

## Rudiments

## Chapter 1

## Construction of the Euclidean plane

The traditional axiomatic development of Euclidean geometry originates with the treatment by Euclid of Alexandria (325?-265? B.C.E.) in the classic Elements, and was modernized by David Hilbert (1862-1943) in his 1899 Grundlagen der Geometrie (Foundations of Geometry). For the purposes of this book, however, it is more convenient to start with the point of view of a coordinate plane, as introduced by René Descartes ${ }^{1}$ (1596-1690) and Pierre de Fermat (1601-1665). We will return to the axiomatic point of view in due course, when we discuss hyperbolic geometry in Chapter 10; however, the coordinate-based point of view will also recur when we dabble briefly in algebraic geometry (see Section 11.7).

Of course one must assume something in order to get started. What we are assuming are the basic properties of the real numbers, which should not be too much of an imposition. The subtlest of these properties is the least upper bound property: every set of real numbers which is bounded above has a least upper bound. More precisely, if $S$ is a set of real numbers and there exists a real number $x$ such that $x \geqslant y$ for all $y \in S$, then there is a (unique) real number $z$ such that:
(a) $z \geqslant y$ for all $y \in S$;
(b) if $x \geqslant y$ for all $y \in S$, then $x \geqslant z$.

One theme we carry through our definitions is that certain numerical quantities (lengths of segments along a line, areas, arc and angle measures) should be treated with special algebraic rules, including systematic sign conventions. In so doing, one can make some statements more uniform, by eliminating some dependencies on the relative positions of points. This uniformity was unavailable to Euclid in the absence of negative numbers, hampering efforts to maintain logical consistency; see Section 1.6 for a tricky example.

In any case, while the strictures of logic dictate that this chapter must occur first, the reader need not be so restricted. We recommend skipping this chapter on first reading and coming back a bit later, once one has a bit of a feel for what is going on. But do make sure to come back at some point: for mathematicians (such as the reader and the author)

[^4]to communicate, it is always of the utmost importance to agree on the precise definitions of even the simplest of terms. ${ }^{2}$

### 1.1 The coordinate plane, points and lines

We start by using Cartesian coordinates to define the basic geometric concepts: points, lines, and so on. The reader should not think his/her intelligence is being insulted by our taking space to do this: it is common in a mathematical text to begin by defining very simple objects, if for no other reason than to make sure the author and reader agree on the precise meaning and usage of fundamental words, as well as on the notation to be used to symbolize them (see previous footnote).

For our purposes, the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is the set of ordered pairs $(x, y)$ of real numbers; we call those pairs the points of the plane. A line (or to be more precise, a straight line ${ }^{3}$ ) will be any subset of the plane of the form

$$
\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: a x+b y+c=0\right\}
$$

for some real numbers $a, b, c$ with $a$ and $b$ not both zero. Then as one expects, any two distinct points $P_{1}=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and $P_{2}=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ lie on a unique line, namely

$$
\overleftrightarrow{P_{1} P_{2}}=\left\{\left((1-t) x_{1}+t x_{2},(1-t) y_{1}+t y_{2}\right): t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}
$$

Similarly, we define the ray

$$
\overrightarrow{P_{1} P_{2}}=\left\{\left((1-t) x_{1}+t x_{2},(1-t) y_{1}+t y_{2}\right): t \in[0, \infty)\right\}
$$

and the segment (or line segment)

$$
\overline{P_{1} P_{2}}=\left\{\left((1-t) x_{1}+t x_{2},(1-t) y_{1}+t y_{2}\right): t \in[0,1]\right\} .
$$

Any segment lies on a unique line, called the extension of the segment. We say points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}$ are collinear if they lie on a single line; if $\ell$ is that line, we say that $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}$ lie on $\ell$ in order if for any distinct $i, j, k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $i<j$, we have $i<k<j$ if and only if $P_{k}$ lies on the segment $P_{i} P_{j}$. For $n=3$, we also articulate this by saying that $P_{2}$ lies between $P_{1}$ and $P_{3}$. We say lines $\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{n}$ are concurrent if they contain (or "pass through") a single point.

We will postpone defining angles for the moment, but we may as well define parallels and perpendiculars now. We say two lines $a x+b y+c=0$ and $d x+e y+f=0$ are parallel if $a e-b d=0$, and perpendicular if $a e+b d=0$. Then the following facts are easily verified.

[^5]Fact 1.1.1. Through any given point, there is a unique line parallel/perpendicular to any given line.

For $\ell$ a line and $P$ a point, the intersection of $\ell$ with the perpendicular to $\ell$ through $P$ is called the foot of the perpendicular through $P$.

Fact 1.1.2. Given three lines $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}$, the following relations hold.

| If $\ell_{1}$ and $\ell_{2}$ are: | and $\ell_{2}$ and $\ell_{3}$ are: | then $\ell_{1}$ and $\ell_{3}$ are: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| parallel | parallel | parallel |
| parallel | perpendicular | perpendicular |
| perpendicular | parallel | perpendicular |
| perpendicular | perpendicular | parallel. |

Given a segment $\overline{P_{1} P_{2}}$, there is a unique point $M$ on $\overline{P_{1} P_{2}}$ with $P_{1} M=M P_{2}$, called the midpoint of $\overline{P_{1} P_{2}}$. There is a unique line through $M$ perpendicular to $\overleftrightarrow{P_{1} P_{2}}$, called the perpendicular bisector of $\overline{P_{1} P_{2}}$.

Of course there is nothing special about having only two dimensions; one can construct an $n$-dimensional Euclidean space for any $n$. In particular, it is not unusual to do this for $n=3$, resulting in what we call space geometry ${ }^{4}$ as opposed to plane geometry. Although we prefer for simplicity not to discuss space geometry, we will make occasional reference to it in problems.

### 1.2 Distances and circles

The distance between two points $P_{1}=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and $P_{2}=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ is defined by

$$
P_{1} P_{2}=d\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)=\sqrt{\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

we also describe this quantity as the length of the segment $\overline{P_{1} P_{2}}$. If $\overline{P_{1} P_{2}}$ and $\overline{P_{3} P_{4}}$ are collinear segments (i.e., the points $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, P_{4}$ are collinear), we define the signed ratio of lengths of the segments $\overline{P_{1} P_{2}}$ and $\overline{P_{3} P_{4}}$ to be the ratio $P_{1} P_{2} / P_{3} P_{4}$ if the intersection of the rays $\overrightarrow{P_{1} P_{2}}$ and $\overrightarrow{P_{3} P_{4}}$ is a ray, and $-P_{1} P_{2} / P_{3} P_{4}$ otherwise. (In the latter case, the intersection of the two rays may be a segment, a point, or the empty set.)

From the distance formula, one can verify the triangle inequality.
Fact 1.2.1 (Triangle inequality). Given points $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$, we have

$$
P_{1} P_{2}+P_{2} P_{3} \geqslant P_{1} P_{3}
$$

with equality if and only if $P_{3}$ lies on the segment $\overline{P_{1} P_{2}}$.
We can also define the distance from a point to a line.

[^6]Fact 1.2.2. Let $P$ be a point and $\overleftrightarrow{Q R}$ a line. Let $S$ be the intersection of $\overleftrightarrow{Q R}$ with the line through $P$ perpendicular to $\overleftrightarrow{Q R}$. Then the minimum distance from $P$ to any point on $\overleftrightarrow{Q R}$ is equal to $P S$.

We call this minimum the distance from $P$ to $\overleftrightarrow{Q R}$, and denote it $d(P, \overleftrightarrow{Q R})$.
With a notion of distance in hand, we may define a circle (resp. a disc or closed disc, an open disc) as the set of points $P$ in the plane with the property that $O P=r($ resp. $O P \leqslant r)$ for some point $O$ (the center) and some positive real number $r$ (the radius); note that both $O$ and $r$ are uniquely determined by the circle. We call the quantity $2 r$ the diameter of the circle/disc. Given a closed disc with center $O$ and radius $r$, we call the circle of the same center and radius the boundary of the disc; we call the open disc of the same center and radius the interior of the circle or of the closed disc.

Fact 1.2.3. Any three distinct points which do not lie on a straight line lie on a unique circle.

Any segment joining the center of a circle to a point on the circle is called a radius ${ }^{5}$ of the circle. Any segment joining two points on a circle is called a chord of the circle. A chord passing through the center is called a diameter; clearly its length is twice the radius of the circle.

Fact 1.2.4. Any line and circle intersect at either zero, one, or two points. Any two distinct circles intersect at either zero, one, or two points.

A line and circle that meet at exactly one point are said to be tangent. If $\omega$ is a circle, $A$ is a point on $\omega$, and $\ell$ is a line through $A$, we will speak frequently of the "second intersection of $\ell$ and $\omega^{\prime \prime}$; when the two are tangent, we mean this to be $A$ itself.

Two distinct circles which meet in exactly one point are also said to be tangent. In this case, either one circle lies inside the other, in which case the two are said to be internally tangent, or neither circle contains the other, in which case the two are said to be externally tangent.

Two or more circles with the same center are said to be concentric; concentric circles which do not coincide also do not intersect.

Fact 1.2.5. Through any point $P$ on a circle $\omega$, there is a unique line tangent to $\omega$ : it is the line perpendicular to the radius of $\omega$ ending at $P$.

It will be useful later (in the classification of rigid motions; see Theorem 3.1.2) to have in hand the "triangulation principle"; this fact was used once upon a time for navigation at sea, and nowadays figures in the satellite-based navigation technology known as the Global Positioning System.

[^7]Fact 1.2.6. Let $A, B, C$ be distinct points. Then any point $P$ in the plane is uniquely determined by the three distances $P A, P B, P C$; that is, if $P, Q$ are points in the plane with $P A=Q A, P B=Q B, P C=Q C$, then $P=Q$.

For $n \geqslant 4$, if $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}$ are distinct points and $\omega$ is a circle, we say that $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}$ lie on $\omega$ in that order if $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}$ lie on $\omega$ and the polygon $P_{1} \cdots P_{n}$ is simple (hence convex).

## Problems for Section 1.2

1. Prove Fact 1.2.6.
2. Let $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ be circles with respective centers $O_{1}$ and $O_{2}$ and respective radii $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$, and let $k$ be a real number not equal to 1 . Prove that the set of points $P$ such that

$$
P O_{1}^{2}-r_{1}^{2}=k\left(P O_{2}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

is a circle. (This statement will be reinterpreted later in terms of the power of a point with respect to a circle; see Section 6.1.)
3. (IMO 1988/1) Consider two circles of radii $R$ and $r(R>r)$ with the same center. Let $P$ be a fixed point on the smaller circle and $B$ a variable point on the larger circle. The line $\overleftrightarrow{B P}$ meets the larger circle again at $C$. The perpendicular $\ell$ to $\overleftrightarrow{B P}$ at $P$ meets the smaller circle again at $A$. (As per our convention, if $\ell$ is tangent to the circle at $P$, then we take $A=P$.)
(i) Find the set of values of $B C^{2}+C A^{2}+A B^{2}$.
(ii) Find the locus of the midpoint of $\overline{A B}$.

### 1.3 Triangles and other polygons

The word "polygon" can mean many slightly different things, depending on whether one allows self-intersections, repeated vertices, degeneracies, etc. So one has to be a bit careful when defining it, to make sure that everyone agrees on what is to be allowed.

Let $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}$ be a sequence of at least three points in the plane. The polygon (or closed polygon) with vertices $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}$ is the ( $n+1$ )-tuple ( $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}, U$ ), where $U$ is the union of the segments $\overline{P_{1} P_{2}}, \ldots, \overline{P_{n-1} P_{n}}, \overline{P_{n} P_{1}}$. We typically refer to this polygon as $P_{1} \cdots P_{n}$; each of the $P_{i}$ is called a vertex ${ }^{6}$ of the polygon, and each of the segments making up $U$ is called a side.

The perimeter of a polygon is the sum of the lengths of its underlying segments. It is often convenient to speak of the semiperimeter of a polygon, which is simply half of the perimeter.

[^8]A polygon is nondegenerate if no two of its vertices are equal and no vertex lies on a segment of the polygon other than the two of which it is an endpoint. Note that for a nondegenerate polygon, the union of segments uniquely determines the vertices up to cyclic shift and reversal of the list.

A polygon is simple (or non-self-intersecting) if it is nondegenerate and no two segments of the polygon intersect except at a shared endpoint.

For $P_{1} \cdots P_{n}$ a polygon, a diagonal of $P_{1} \cdots P_{n}$ is any segment joining two nonconsecutive vertices. A simple polygon is convex if any two diagonals intersect (possibly at an endpoint).

Fact 1.3.1. If the points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}$ lie on a circle, then the polygon $P_{1} \cdots P_{n}$ is simple if and only if it is convex.

If $P_{1} \cdots P_{n}$ is a convex polygon, we define the interior of $P_{1} \cdots P_{n}$ to be the set of points $Q$ such that for each $i$, the segment $P_{i} Q$ intersects the polygon only at its endpoint $P_{i}$.

A nondegenerate polygon of three, four, five or six sides is called a triangle, quadrilateral, pentagon, or hexagon, respectively. Since triangles will occur quite often in our discussions, we adopt some special conventions to deal with them. We will often refer to the triangle with vertices $A, B, C$ as $\triangle A B C$, and we will list its sides in the order $\overline{B C}, \overline{C A}, \overline{A B}$. We will often refer to its side lengths as $a=B C, b=C A, c=A B$.

Let $A B C$ be a triangle. If two of the sides $A B, B C, C A$ have equal lengths, we say $\triangle A B C$ is isosceles; if all three sides have equal lengths, we say $\triangle A B C$ is equilateral. If the angles of $A B C$ are all acute, we say $A B C$ is acute .

Let $A B C D$ be a convex quadrilateral. If lines $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{C D}$ are parallel, we say $A B C D$ is a trapezoid. If in addition lines $\overleftrightarrow{B C}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{D A}$ are parallel, we say $A B C D$ is a parallelogram. If in addition $\overleftrightarrow{A B} \perp \overleftrightarrow{B C}$, we say $A B C D$ is a rectangle. If in addition $A B=B C=C D=D A$ we say $A B C D$ is a square.

### 1.4 Areas of polygons

If $P_{1} \cdots P_{n}$ is a polygon and $P_{i}=\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right)$, we define the directed/signed area of $P_{1} \cdots P_{n}$, denoted $\left[P_{1} \cdots P_{n}\right]_{ \pm}$, by the formula

$$
\left[P_{1} \cdots P_{n}\right]_{ \pm}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1} y_{2}-x_{2} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{3}-x_{3} y_{2}+\cdots+x_{n-1} y_{n}-x_{n} y_{n-1}+x_{n} y_{1}-x_{1} y_{n}\right)
$$

This formula is sometimes called the surveyor's formula or the shoelace formula; the latter name serves as a mnemonic in the following fashion. If one draws the $2 \times(n+1)$ matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
x_{1} & x_{2} & \cdots & x_{n} & x_{1} \\
y_{1} & y_{2} & \cdots & y_{n} & y_{1}
\end{array}\right),
$$

the terms of the shoelace formula are obtained by multiplying terms along the diagonals and attaching signs as follows:


We define the area of the polygon $P_{1} \cdots P_{n}$, denoted $\left[P_{1} \cdots P_{n}\right.$ ], to be the absolute value of its directed area.

Fact 1.4.1. - For any polygon $P_{1} \cdots P_{n}$,

$$
\left[P_{1} \cdots P_{n}\right]_{ \pm}=\left[P_{2} \cdots P_{n} P_{1}\right]_{ \pm}=-\left[P_{n} \cdots P_{2} P_{1}\right]_{ \pm} .
$$

- For any polygons $P_{1} \cdots P_{n} X Y$ and $Y X Q_{1} \cdots Q_{m}$,

$$
\left[P_{1} \cdots P_{n} X Y\right]_{ \pm}+\left[Y X Q_{1} \cdots Q_{m}\right]_{ \pm}=\left[P_{1} \cdots P_{n} Q_{1} \cdots Q_{m}\right]_{ \pm}
$$

- For any triangle $A B C$,

$$
[A B C]=\frac{1}{2} B C \times d(A, \overleftrightarrow{B C})
$$

In particular, $[A B C] \neq 0$.

- For any convex quadrilateral $A B C D,[A B C]_{ \pm}$and $[A B D]_{ \pm}$have the same (nonzero) sign.
- For any simple polygon $P_{1} \cdots P_{n}$, the directed areas $\left[P_{i} P_{j} P_{k}\right]_{ \pm}$all have the same sign, and it is the same as the sign of $\left[P_{1} \cdots P_{n}\right]_{ \pm}$. (This follows from the previous parts of this Fact; do you see how?)

For $P_{1} \cdots P_{n}$ a convex polygon, we call the sign of $\left[P_{1} \cdots P_{n}\right]_{ \pm}$the orientation of $P_{1} \cdots P_{n}$; we refer to positive and negative orientations also as "counterclockwise" and "clockwise", respectively.

### 1.5 Areas of circles and measures of arcs

Everything we have discussed so far was described purely in terms of basic algebraic operations on the real numbers: addition, subtraction, multiplication, division and square roots. The area of a circle and the measure of an arc cannot be described quite so simply; one must use the least upper bound property.

Given a circle $\omega$, the area of the circle is defined to be the least upper bound of the set of areas of convex polygons $P_{1} \cdots P_{n}$ with vertices on $\omega$; note that this set is indeed bounded, for instance by the area of any square containing $\omega$ in its interior.

Fact 1.5.1. - There exists a constant $\pi$ such that the area of a circle of radius $r$ is equal to $\pi r^{2}$.

- The area of a circle is also equal to the greatest lower bound of the set of areas of convex polygons $P_{1} \cdots P_{n}$ containing $\omega$ in its interior.

Next we consider arcs and their measures. Given three distinct points $A, B, C$ on a circle $\omega$, we define the $\operatorname{arc} \widehat{A B C}$ as the set of points $D \in \omega$ such that the quadrilateral $A B C D$ is not simple (including the points $A, B, C$ ). Since $\omega$ is uniquely determined by any arc, we may unambiguously speak of the center and radius of an arc. There is a unique point $M$ on $\widehat{A B C}$ with $A M=M C$ (namely the intersection of $\widehat{A B C}$ with the perpendicular bisector of $A C$ ), called the midpoint of $\overrightarrow{A B C}$. If the line $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ passes through the center of $\omega$, we call $\widehat{A B C}$ a semicircle.

The polygon $P_{1} \ldots P_{n}$ is said to be inscribed in $\widehat{A B C}$ or circle $\omega$ if $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}$ all lie on $\widehat{A B C}$. We also say that the polygon is circumscribed by the arc/circle. A polygon which can be circumscribed by some circle is said to be cyclic, and the unique circle which circumscribes it is called its circumcircle (or circumscribed circle) of the polygon; points which form the vertices of a cyclic polygon are said to be concyclic. The center and radius of the circumcircle of a cyclic polygon are referred to as the circumcenter and circumradius, respectively, of the polygon. Note that any triangle is cyclic, so we may speak of the circumcenter and circumradius of a triangle without any further assumptions.

To define the measure of an arc, we use the following fact.
Fact 1.5.2. Let $A$ and $B$ be points on a circle $\omega$ with center $O$ and radius $r$, and let the lines tangent to $\omega$ at $A$ and $B$ meet at $C$. Then

$$
\frac{2[O A B]}{r^{2}} \leqslant \frac{A B}{r} \leqslant \frac{2[O A C B]}{r^{2}} .
$$

It follows that the least upper bound of the perimeters of polygons inscribed in an arc/circle of radius $r$ exists, and is equal to $\frac{1}{r}$ times the least upper bound of the areas of polygons inscribed in the arc/circle. We call this quantity the circumference of the arc/circle and call $\frac{1}{r}$ times the circumference the measure of the arc/circle, denoted $m(\overline{A B C})$. In particular, by the previous fact, the measure of any circle is equal to $2 \pi$.

Fact 1.5.3. If $A B C D E$ is a convex polygon inscribed in a circle $\omega$, then the measure of $\widehat{A C E}$ is equal to the sum of the measures of $\widehat{A B C}$ and $\widehat{C D E}$.

As with areas, it is sometimes convenient to give arcs a signed measure. For $\overline{A B C}$ not a semicircle, we define the signed measure of the arc $\widehat{A B C}$, denote $m_{ \pm}(\widetilde{A B C})$, to be $m(\widehat{A B C})$ times the sign of $[A B C]_{ \pm}$. We regard this as a quantity "modulo $2 \pi$ ", i.e., only well-defined up to adding multiples of $2 \pi$. (If $\widehat{A B C}$ is a semicircle, then $\pi$ and $-\pi$ differ by a multiple of $2 \pi$, so we may declare either one to be $m_{ \pm}(\widetilde{A B C})$.) Despite the ambiguity thus introduced, it still makes sense to add, subtract and test for equality signed measures, and one has the following nice properties which are most definitely false for the ordinary measure.

- The signed measure $m_{ \pm}(\widehat{A B C})$ depends only on $A, C$ and the circle on which $\widehat{A B C}$ lies.
- If $A, B, C, D, E$ lie on a circle, then $m_{ \pm}(\widehat{A B C})+m_{ \pm}(\widehat{C D E})=m_{ \pm}(\widehat{A C E})$.


### 1.6 Angles and the danger of configuration dependence

Given distinct points $A, B, C$ in the plane, choose a circle $\omega$ centered at $B$, let $A^{\prime}$ and $C^{\prime}$ be the intersections of the rays $\overrightarrow{B A}$ and $\overrightarrow{B C}$, respectively, with $\omega$, and let $B^{\prime}$ be any point on $\omega$ such that the quadrilateral $B A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$ is convex. Then $m\left(\widehat{A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}}\right)$ is independent of the choices of $\omega$ and $B^{\prime}$; we call it the angle measure, or simply the angle, between the rays $\overrightarrow{B A}$ and $\overrightarrow{B C}$ and denote it $\angle A B C$. We also use "angle" to describe the set consisting of the union of the two rays $\overrightarrow{B A}$ and $\overrightarrow{B C}$, and use the symbol $\angle A B C$ for this set as well.

The implied unit of angle measure above is called the radian. By tradition, we also measure angles in units of degrees, where $180^{\circ}$ equal $\pi$ radians.

We say that the angle $\angle A B C$ is acute, right, obtuse according to whether its measure is less than, equal to, or greater than $\pi / 2$ (or in degrees, $90^{\circ}$ ).

The interior of the angle $\angle A B C$ consists of all points $D$ such that the quadrilateral $A B C D$ is convex. The set of points $D$ in the interior of $\angle A B C$ such that $\angle A B D=\angle D B C$, together with $B$ itself, form a ray; that ray is called the internal angle bisector of $\angle A B C$. The same term is applied to the line containing that ray. The line perpendicular to the internal angle bisector is called the external angle bisector of $\angle A B C$. We refer to the internal and external angle bisectors of $\angle A B C$ also as the internal and external angle bisectors, respectively, of the triangle $\triangle A B C$ at the vertex $B$.

Fact 1.6.1. - If $A B C$ is a triangle, then $\angle A B C+\angle B C A+\angle C A B=\pi$.

- If $A B C D$ is a convex quadrilateral, then $A B C D$ is cyclic if and only if $\angle A B C=$ $\pi-\angle C D A$ if and only if $\angle A B D=\angle A C D$.
- If $A B C D$ is a convex quadrilateral and $\omega$ is the circumcircle of $\triangle A B C$, then $\overleftrightarrow{A D}$ is tangent to $\omega$ at $A$ if and only if $\angle A B C=\angle D A C$.

In the Elements, all quantities are treated as unsigned, as was necessary at the time in the absence of negative numbers. (Indeed, Euclid did not attach any numbers to geometrical figures; rather, he would conflate a segment with its length, a polygon with its area, and so on.) As we have seen, this approach makes certain statements dependent on relative positions of points. It is common to not worry much about such issues, but one actually has to be careful. As an example, we offer the following "pseudotheorem" and corresponding "pseudoproof" from [14]; we encourage the reader to concoct other plausible pseudotheorems and pseudoproofs!

Pseudotheorem. All triangles are isosceles.

Figure 1.6.1: The Pseudotheorem.

Pseudoproof. Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle, and let $O$ be the intersection of the internal angle bisector of $A$ with the perpendicular bisector of $\overline{B C}$, as in Figure 1.6.1.

Let $D, Q, R$ be the feet of perpendiculars from $O$ to $\overleftrightarrow{B C}, \overleftrightarrow{C A}, \overleftrightarrow{A B}$, respectively. By symmetry across $O D, O B=O C$, while by symmetry across $A O, A Q=A R$ and $O Q=O R$. Now the right triangles $\triangle O R B$ and $\triangle O Q C$ have equal legs $O R=O Q$ and equal hypotenuses $O B=O C$, so they are congruent, giving $R B=Q C$. Finally, we conclude

$$
A B=A R+R B=A Q+Q C=A C
$$

and hence the triangle $\triangle A B C$ is isosceles.

## Problems for Section 1.6

1. Where is the error in the proof of the Pseudotheorem?

### 1.7 Directed angle measures

To avoid the problems that led to the Pseudotheorem, it will be useful to have a sign convention for angles. ${ }^{7}$ The obvious choice would be to regard angle measures as being defined modulo $2 \pi$, but it turns out better to regard them modulo $\pi$, as we shall see.

Given three distinct points $A, B, C$, define the directed angle measure, or simply the directed angle, $\Varangle A B C$ as the signed arc measure $m_{ \pm}\left(\overline{A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}}\right)$ as a quantity modulo $\pi$.

Yes, you read correctly: although the signed arc measure is well-defined up to multiples of $2 \pi$, we regard the directed angle measure as only well-defined up to adding multiples of $\pi$. One consequence is that we can unambiguously define the directed angle (modulo $\pi$ ) between two lines $\ell_{1}$ and $\ell_{2}$ as follows. If $\ell_{1}$ and $\ell_{2}$ are parallel, declare the directed angle $\Varangle\left(\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}\right)$ to be zero. Otherwise, declare $\Varangle\left(\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}\right)=\Varangle A B C$ for $A$ a point on $\ell_{1}$ but not on $\ell_{2}, B$ the intersection of $\ell_{1}$ and $\ell_{2}$, and $C$ a point on $\ell_{2}$ not on $\ell_{1}$.

Note that to avert some confusion, we will systemically distinguish between the words "signed", referring to arc measures modulo $2 \pi$, and "directed", referring to angle measures modulo $\pi$, even though in common usage these two terms might be interchanged. Such an interchange would be dangerous for us!

One can now verify the following rules of "directed angle arithmetic", all of which are independent of configuration.

Fact 1.7.1. Let $A, B, C, D, O, P$ denote distinct points in the plane.

1. $\Varangle A B C=-\Varangle C B A$.

[^9]2. $\Varangle A P B+\Varangle B P C=\Varangle A P C$.
3. $\Varangle A B C=\Varangle A B D$ if and only if $B, C, D$ are collinear. In particular, $\Varangle A B C=0$ if and only if $A, B, C$ are collinear.
4. $\Varangle A B D=\Varangle A C D$ if and only if $A, B, C, D$ are concyclic.
5. $\Varangle A B C=\Varangle A C D$ if and only if $C D$ is tangent to the circle passing through $A, B, C$.
6. $\Varangle A B C+\Varangle B C A+\Varangle C A B=0$.
7. $2 \Varangle A B C=\Varangle A O C$ if $A, B, C$ lie on a circle centered at $O$.
8. $\Varangle A B C$ equals $\frac{1}{2}$ of the measure of the arc $\overparen{A C}$ of the circumcircle of $A B C$.

For example, if $A, B, C, D$ lie on a circle in that order, then we have $\angle A B D=\angle A C D$ as undirected angles. On the other hand, if they lie on a circle in the order $A, B, D, C$, then we have $\angle A B D=\pi-\angle D C A$, so in terms of directed angles

$$
\Varangle A B D=\pi-\Varangle D C A=-\Varangle D C A=\Varangle A D C .
$$

It should be noted that this coincidence is a principal reason why one works modulo $\pi$ and not $2 \pi$ ! (The other principal reason is of course so that collinear points always make an angle of 0 .)

The last two assertions in Fact 1.7.1 ought to raise some eyebrows, because division by 2 is a dangerous thing when working modulo $\pi$. To be precise, the equation $2 \angle A=2 \angle B$ of directed angles does not imply that $\angle A=\angle B$, for the possibility also exists that $\angle A=$ $\angle B+\pi / 2$. (Those familiar with elementary number theory will recognize an analogous situation: one cannot divide by 2 in the congruence $2 a \equiv 2 b(\bmod c)$ when $c$ is even.) This explains why we do not write $\Varangle A B C=\frac{1}{2} \Varangle A O C$ : the latter expression is not well-defined. On the other hand, directed arcs can be unambiguously measured $\bmod 2 \pi$, so dividing a signed arc measure by 2 gives a directed angle measure $\bmod \pi$.

If all of this seems too much to worry about, do not lose hope; the conventions are easily learned with a little practice. We will illustrate this in Section 4.2.

## Chapter 2

## Algebraic methods

Since our very construction of the Euclidean plane was rooted in the algebra of the coordinate plane, it is clear that algebraic techniques have something to say about Euclidean geometry; indeed, we have already encountered a few problems that are naturally treated in terms of coordinates, and we will encounter more later (e.g., Theorem 6.5.1). However, coordinatizing a typical problem in Euclidean geometry leads to a complicated mess; one typically succeeds more easily by adopting more high-level techniques. ${ }^{1}$

We will spend much of the second part of the book introducing so-called "synthetic" techniques; for now, we introduce some techniques which, while still rooted in algebra, offer some advantages over blind coordinate manipulations.

### 2.1 Trigonometry

Define the points $O=(0,0)$ and $P=(1,0)$. Given a signed angle measure $\theta$ (modulo $2 \pi$ ), let $Q$ be the point on the circle of radius 1 centered at $O$ such that the signed angle measure of $\angle P O Q$ is equal to $\theta$. Let $\cos \theta$ and $\sin \theta$ denote the $x$-coordinate and $y$-coordinate, respectively, of $Q$; these define the cosine and sine. By the distance formula, we have the identity

$$
\cos ^{2} \theta+\sin ^{2} \theta=1
$$

Figure 2.1.1: Definition of the trigonometric functions.
Also define the tangent, secant, cosecant, and cotangent functions as follows (when these expressions are well-defined):

$$
\tan \theta=\frac{\sin \theta}{\cos \theta}, \quad \sec \theta=\frac{1}{\cos \theta}, \quad \csc \theta=\frac{1}{\sin \theta}, \quad \cot \theta=\frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} .
$$

[^10]We do not intend to conduct here a full course in trigonometry; we will content ourselves to summarizing the important facts and provide a few problems where trigonometry can or must be employed. Throughout the following discussion, let $A B C$ denote a triangle, write $a=B C, b=C A, c=A B$, and write $A, B, C$ for the measures of (undirected) angles $\angle C A B$, $\angle A B C, \angle B C A$, respectively. Let $s=(a+b+c) / 2$ denote the semiperimeter of $\triangle A B C$.

Fact 2.1.1 (Law of Sines). The area of $\triangle A B C$ equals $\frac{1}{2} a b \sin C$. In particular,

$$
\frac{a}{\sin A}=\frac{b}{\sin B}=\frac{c}{\sin C} .
$$

Fact 2.1.2 (Extended Law of Sines). If $R$ is the circumradius of $\triangle A B C$, then $B C=$ $2 R \sin A$.

Fact 2.1.3 (Law of Cosines). In $\triangle A B C$,

$$
c^{2}=a^{2}+b^{2}-2 a b \cos C .
$$

Fact 2.1.4 (Addition formulae). For any real numbers $\alpha$ and $\beta$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cos (\alpha+\beta) & =\cos \alpha \cos \beta-\sin \alpha \sin \beta \\
\cos (\alpha-\beta) & =\cos \alpha \cos \beta+\sin \alpha \sin \beta \\
\sin (\alpha+\beta) & =\sin \alpha \cos \beta+\cos \alpha \sin \beta \\
\sin (\alpha-\beta) & =\sin \alpha \cos \beta-\cos \alpha \sin \beta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the addition formulae, one can convert products of sines and cosines to sums, and vice versa.

Fact 2.1.5 (Sum-to-product formulae).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sin \alpha+\sin \beta & =2 \sin \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} \cos \frac{\alpha-\beta}{2} \\
\sin \alpha-\sin \beta & =2 \cos \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha-\beta}{2} \\
\cos \alpha+\cos \beta & =2 \sin \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} \cos \frac{\alpha-\beta}{2} \\
\cos \alpha-\cos \beta & =-2 \sin \frac{\alpha+\beta}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha-\beta}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, one has the double and half-angle formulae.
Fact 2.1.6 (Double-angle formulae).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sin 2 \alpha & =2 \sin \alpha \cos \alpha \\
\cos 2 \alpha & =2 \cos ^{2} \alpha-1=1-2 \sin ^{2} \alpha \\
\tan 2 \alpha & =\frac{2 \tan \alpha}{1-\tan ^{2} \alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Fact 2.1.7 (Half-angle formulae).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sin \frac{\alpha}{2} & = \pm \sqrt{\frac{1-\cos \alpha}{2}} \\
\cos \frac{\alpha}{2} & = \pm \sqrt{\frac{1+\cos \alpha}{2}} \\
\tan \frac{\alpha}{2} & =\csc \alpha-\cot \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

The half-angle formulae take a convenient form for triangles.
Fact 2.1.8. In $\triangle A B C$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sin \frac{C}{2} & =\sqrt{\frac{(s-a)(s-b)}{a b}} \\
\cos \frac{C}{2} & =\sqrt{\frac{s(s-c)}{a b}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It may be helpful at times to express certain other quantities associated with a triangle in terms of the angles.

Fact 2.1.9. If $\triangle A B C$ has inradius $r$ and circumradius $R$, then

$$
r=4 R \sin \frac{A}{2} \sin \frac{B}{2} \sin \frac{C}{2} .
$$

We leave the construction of other such formulae to the reader.

## Problems for Section 2.1

1. For any triangle $A B C$, prove that $\tan A+\tan B+\tan C=\tan A \tan B \tan C$ and that $\cot A / 2+\cot B / 2+\cot C / 2=\cot A / 2 \cot B / 2 \cot C / 2$.
2. Show that if none of the angles of a convex quadrilateral $A B C D$ is a right angle, then

$$
\frac{\tan A+\tan B+\tan C+\tan D}{\tan A \tan B \tan C \tan D}=\cot A+\cot B+\cot C+\cot D .
$$

3. Find a formula for the area of a triangle in terms of two angles and the side opposite the third angle. More generally, given any data that uniquely determines a triangle, one can find an area formula in terms of that data. Some of these can be found in Fact 7.9.1; can you come up with some others?
4. (USAMO 1996/5) Triangle $A B C$ has the following property: there is an interior point $P$ such that $\angle P A B=10^{\circ}, \angle P B A=20^{\circ}, \angle P C A=30^{\circ}$ and $\angle P A C=40^{\circ}$. Prove that triangle $A B C$ is isosceles. (For an added challenge, find a non-trigonometric solution!)
5. (IMO 1985/1) A circle has center on the side $A B$ of a cyclic quadrilateral $A B C D$. The other three sides are tangent to the circle. Prove that $A D+D C=A B$.

### 2.2 Vectors

A vector in the plane can be defined either as an arrow, where addition of arrows proceeds by the "tip-to-tail" rule illustrated in Figure 2.2.1, or as an ordered pair $(x, y)$ recording the difference in the $x$ and $y$ coordinates between the tip and the tail. Vectors in a Euclidean space of three or more dimensions may be defined similarly.

Figure 2.2.1: Vector addition.
It is important to remember that a vector is not a point, but rather the "difference of two points"; it encodes relative, not absolute, position. In practice, however, one chooses a point as the origin and identifies a point with the vector from the origin to that point. (In effect, one puts the tails of all of the arrows in one place.)

The standard operations on vectors include addition and subtraction, multiplication by real numbers (positive, negative or zero), and the dot product, defined geometrically as

$$
\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}=\|\vec{A}\| \cdot\|\vec{B}\| \cos \angle A O B
$$

where $O$ is the origin, and in coordinates as

$$
\left(a_{x}, a_{y}\right) \cdot\left(b_{x}, b_{y}\right)=a_{x} b_{x}+a_{y} b_{y}
$$

The key fact here is that $\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}=0$ if and only if $\vec{A}$ and $\vec{B}$ are perpendicular.
A more exotic operation is the cross product, which is defined for a pair of vectors in three-dimensional space as follows:

$$
\left(a_{x}, a_{y}, a_{z}\right) \times\left(b_{x}, b_{y}, b_{z}\right)=\left(a_{y} b_{z}-a_{z} b_{y}, a_{z} b_{x}-a_{x} b_{z}, a_{x} b_{y}-a_{y} b_{x}\right)
$$

Geometrically speaking, $\vec{A} \times \vec{B}$ is perpendicular to both $\vec{A}$ and $\vec{B}$ and has length

$$
\|\vec{A} \times \vec{B}\|=\|\vec{A}\| \cdot\|\vec{B}\| \sin \angle A O B
$$

This length equals the area of the parallelogram with vertices $0, \vec{A}, \vec{A}+\vec{B}, \vec{B}$, or twice the area of the triangle with vertices $0, \vec{A}, \vec{B}$. The sign ambiguity can be resolved by the righthand rule (see Figure 2.2.2): if you point the fingers of your right hand along $\vec{A}$, then swing them toward $\vec{B}$, your thumb points in the direction of $\vec{A} \times \vec{B}$.

Figure 2.2.2: The right-hand rule.

Fact 2.2.1. The following identities hold:

1. (Triple scalar product identity) $\vec{A} \cdot(\vec{B} \times \vec{C})=\vec{B} \cdot(\vec{C} \times \vec{A})=\vec{C} \cdot(\vec{A} \times \vec{B})$. (Moreover, this quantity equals the volume of a parallelepiped with edges $\vec{A}, \vec{B}, \vec{C}$, although we did not rigorously define either volumes or parallelepipeds.)
2. (Triple cross product identity) $\vec{A} \times(\vec{B} \times \vec{C})=(\vec{C} \cdot \vec{A}) \vec{B}-(\vec{B} \cdot \vec{A}) \vec{C}$.

## Problems for Section 2.2

1. (Romania, 1997) Let $A B C D E F$ be a convex hexagon, and let $P=\overleftrightarrow{A B} \cap \overleftrightarrow{C D}, Q=$ $\overleftrightarrow{C D} \cap \overleftrightarrow{E F}, R=\overleftrightarrow{E F} \cap \overleftrightarrow{A B}, S=\overleftrightarrow{B C} \cap \overleftrightarrow{D E}, T=\overleftrightarrow{D E} \cap \overleftrightarrow{F A}, U=\overleftrightarrow{F A} \cap \overleftrightarrow{B C}$. Prove that

$$
\frac{P Q}{C D}=\frac{Q R}{E F}=\frac{R P}{A B} \quad \text { if and only if } \quad \frac{S T}{D E}=\frac{T U}{F A}=\frac{U S}{B C} .
$$

2. (Răzvan Gelca) Let $A B C D$ be a convex quadrilateral and $O=\overline{A C} \cap \overline{B D}$. Let $M, N$ be points on $\overline{A B}$ so that $A M=M N=N B$, and let $P, Q$ be points on $\overline{C D}$ so that $C P=P Q=Q D$. Show that triangles $\triangle M O P$ and $\triangle N O Q$ have the same area.
3. (MOP 1996) Let $A B C D E$ be a convex pentagon, and let $F, G, H, I, J$ be the respective midpoints of $\overline{C D}, \overline{D E}, \overline{E A}, \overline{A B}, \overline{B C}$. If $\overline{A F}, \overline{B G}, \overline{C H}, \overline{D I}$ pass through a common point, show that $\overline{E J}$ also passes through this point.
4. (Austria-Poland, 1979) Let $A, B, C, D$ be points in space, let $M$ be the midpoint of $\overline{A C}$, and let $N$ be the midpoint of $\overline{B D}$. Prove that

$$
4 M N^{2}=A B^{2}+B C^{2}+C D^{2}+D A^{2}-A C^{2}-B D^{2} .
$$

### 2.3 Complex numbers

The set of complex numbers consists of the expressions of the form $a+b i$ for $a, b$ real numbers, added and multiplied according to the rules

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a+b i)+(c+d i) & =(a+c)+(b+d) i \\
(a+b i) \times(c+d i) & =(a c-b d)+(a d+b c) i
\end{aligned}
$$

We identify the real number $a$ with the complex number $a+0 i$, and we write $b i$ for the complex number $0+b i$. In particular, the complex number $i=1 i$ is a square root of -1 .

One uses complex numbers in Euclidean geometry by identifying a point with Cartesian coordinates $(x, y)$ with the complex number $x+y i$. This represents an extension of vector techniques, incorporating a convenient interpretation of angles (and of similarity transformations; see Section 3.4).

Another interesting use of complex numbers is to prove inequalities. This use exploits the fact that the magnitude

$$
|a+b i|=\sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}}
$$

is multiplicative:

$$
|(a+b i) \times(c+d i)|=|a+b i| \times|c+d i| .
$$

Consider the following example (compare with Problem 10.3.9).

Theorem 2.3.1 (Ptolemy's inequality). Let $A, B, C, D$ be four points in the plane. Then

$$
A C \cdot B D \leqslant A B \cdot C D+B C \cdot D A
$$

with equality if and only if the quadrilateral $A B C D$ is convex (or degenerate) and cyclic.
Proof. Regard $A, B, C, D$ as complex numbers; then we have an identity

$$
(A-C)(B-D)=(A-B)(C-D)+(B-C)(A-D)
$$

However, the magnitude of $(A-C)(B-D)$ is precisely the product of the lengths of the segments $A C$ and $B D$, and likewise for the other terms. Thus the desired inequality is simply the triangle inequality applied to these three quantities! (The equality condition is left as an exercise.)

Although we will not have use of it for a while (not until Section 11.7), we mention now an important, highly nontrivial fact about complex numbers.

Theorem 2.3.2 (Fundamental theorem of algebra). For every polynomial $P(z)=a_{n} z^{n}+$ $\cdots+a_{0}$ with $a_{n}, \ldots, a_{0}$ complex numbers, and $a_{n}, \ldots, a_{1}$ not all zero, there exists a complex number $z$ such that $P(z)=0$.

This theorem is traditionally attributed to (Johann) Carl Friedrich Gauss ${ }^{2}$ (1777-1855), who gave the first proof (and several in addition) that would pass modern standards of rigor. However, a correct proof (which relies on the existence of splitting fields, a concept unavailable at the time) had already been sketched by Leonhard Euler (1707-1783) .

## Problems for Section 2.3

1. Prove that $x, y, z$ lie at the corners of an equilateral triangle if and only if either $x+\omega y+\omega^{2} z=0$ or $x+\omega z+\omega^{2} y=0$, where $\omega=e^{2 \pi i / 3}$.
2. Construct equilateral triangles externally (internally) on the sides of an arbitrary triangle $\triangle A B C$. Prove that the circumcenters of these three triangles form another equilateral triangle. This triangle is known as the inner (outer) Napoleon ${ }^{3}$ triangle of $\triangle A B C$.
3. Let $P, Q, R, S$ be the circumcenters of squares constructed externally on sides $\overline{A B}, \overline{B C}$, $\overline{C D}, \overline{D A}$, respectively, of a convex quadrilateral $A B C D$. Show that the segments $\overline{P R}$ and $\overline{Q S}$ are perpendicular to each other and equal in length.
4. Let $A B C D$ be a convex quadrilateral. Construct squares $C D K L$ and $A B M N$ externally on sides $\overline{A B}$ and $\overline{C D}$. Show that if the midpoints of $\overline{A C}, \overline{B D}, \overline{K M}, \overline{N L}$ do not coincide, then they form a square.

[^11]5. (IMO 1977/1) Equilateral triangles $\triangle A B K, \triangle B C L, \triangle C D M, \triangle D A N$ are constructed inside the square $A B C D$. Prove that the midpoints of the four segments $\overline{K L}, \overline{L M}$, $\overline{M N}, \overline{N K}$ and the midpoints of the eight segments $\overline{A K}, \overline{B K}, \overline{B L}, \overline{C L}, \overline{C M}, \overline{D M}$, $\overline{D N}, \overline{A N}$ are the twelve vertices of a regular dodecagon. (Nowadays the IMO tends to avoid geometry problems such as this one, which have no free parameters, but they are relatively common in single-answer contests such as ARML.)
6. Given a point $P$ on a circle and the vertices $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$ of an inscribed regular $n$-gon, prove that:

1. $P A_{1}^{2}+P A_{2}^{2}+\cdots+P A_{n}^{2}$ is a constant (independent of $P$ ).
2. $P A_{1}^{4}+P A_{2}^{4}+\cdots+P A_{n}^{4}$ is a constant (independent of $P$ ).
3. (China, 1998) Let $P$ be an arbitrary point in the plane of triangle $\triangle A B C$ with side lengths $B C=a, C A=b, A B=c$, and put $P A=x, P B=y, P C=z$. Prove that

$$
a y z+b z x+c x y \geqslant a b c,
$$

with equality if and only if $P$ is the circumcenter of $\triangle A B C$.

### 2.4 Barycentric coordinates and mass points

Given a triangle $\triangle A B C$ and a point $P$, we define the barycentric coordinates ${ }^{4}$ of $P$ with respect to $A B C$ as the triple

$$
\left(\frac{[P B C]_{ \pm}}{[A B C]_{ \pm}}, \frac{[A P C]_{ \pm}}{[A B C]_{ \pm}}, \frac{[A B P]_{ \pm}}{[A B C]_{ \pm}}\right)
$$

of real numbers. Note that these numbers always add up to 1 , and that they are all positive if and only if $P$ lies in the interior of $\triangle A B C$.

A related concept is that of "mass points". A mass point consists of a pair $(P, r)$, where $P$ is a point and $r$ is a positive real number. These points may be "added" as follows:

$$
\left.\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), r_{1}\right)+\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right), r_{2}\right)=\left(\left(\frac{r_{1} x_{1}+r_{2} x_{2}}{r_{1}+r_{2}}, \frac{r_{1} y_{1}+r_{2} y_{2}}{r_{1}+r_{2}}\right), r_{1}+r_{2}\right)
$$

In terms of vectors, we have

$$
\left(P_{1}, r_{1}\right)+\left(P_{2}, r_{2}\right)=\frac{r_{1}}{r_{1}+r_{2}} P_{1}+\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}+r_{2}} P_{2}
$$

In terms of physics, the location of the sum of two mass points is the "center of mass" of a pair of appropriate masses at the two points. This addition law is associative, so we may likewise add three or more mass points unambiguously.

The relation of mass points to barycentric coordinates is as follows.

[^12]Fact 2.4.1. Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle. Then for any positive real numbers $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$, the sum of the mass points $\left(A, r_{1}\right),\left(B, r_{2}\right),\left(C, r_{3}\right)$ is located at the point with barycentric coordinates

$$
\left(\frac{r_{1}}{r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3}}, \frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3}}, \frac{r_{3}}{r_{1}+r_{2}+r_{3}}\right) .
$$

## Chapter 3

## Transformations

In geometry, it is often useful to study transformations of the plane (i.e. functions mapping the plane to itself) preserving certain properties. In fact, Felix Klein (1849-1925) went so far as to define "geometry" as the study of properties invariant under a particular set of transformations!

In this section we describe some fundamental transformations and how they interact with properties of "figures" in the plane. Here and throughout, by a figure we simply mean a set of points in the plane. Also, we follow standard usage in mathematical English and refer to a function also as a "map".

### 3.1 Congruence and rigid motions

Let $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ be two figures and suppose $f: F_{1} \rightarrow F_{2}$ is a bijection (one-to-one correspondence). We say that $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are congruent (via $f$ ), and write $F_{1} \cong F_{2}$, if we have an equality of distances $P Q=f(P) f(Q)$ for all $P, Q \in F_{1}$. When $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are polygons with the same number of vertices and $f$ is not specified, we assume it is the map that takes the vertices of $F_{1}$ to the vertices of $F_{2}$ in the order that they are listed. For instance, the fact that $\triangle A B C \cong \triangle D E F$ are congruent means that $A B=D E, B C=E F, C A=F D$.

A rigid motion of the Euclidean plane is a map from the plane to itself which preserves distances; that is, if $P$ maps to $P^{\prime}$ and $Q$ to $Q^{\prime}$, then we have $P Q=P^{\prime} Q^{\prime}$. In other words, a rigid motion maps every figure to a congruent figure. Here is a list of examples of rigid motions (which we will soon find to be exhaustive; see Theorem 3.1.2):

- Translation: each point moves a fixed distance in a fixed direction, so that $P Q Q^{\prime} P^{\prime}$ is always a parallelogram.
- Rotation with center $O$ and angle $\theta$ : each point $P$ maps to the point $P^{\prime}$ such that $O P=O P^{\prime}$ and $\angle P O P^{\prime}=\theta$, where the angle is signed (i.e., measured modulo $2 \pi$, not $\pi)$. We refer to a rotation with angle $\pi$ also as a half-turn.
- Reflection through the line $\ell$ : each point $P$ maps to the point $P^{\prime}$ such that $\ell$ is the perpendicular bisector of $P P^{\prime}$.
- Glide reflection along the line $\ell$ : reflection through $\ell$ followed by a translation along $\ell$.

Theorem 3.1.1. Given two congruent figures, each not contained in any line, there is a unique rigid motion that maps one onto the other (matching corresponding points).

Note that the rigid motion may not be unique if it is not required to match corresponding points between the two figures: for instance, a regular polygon is mapped to itself by more than one rotation (as for that matter is a circle).
Proof. We first address the uniqueness. If there were two rigid motions carrying the first figure to the second, then composing one with the inverse of the other would yield a nontrivial rigid motion leaving one entire figure in place. By assumption, however, this figure contains three noncollinear points $A, B, C$, and a point $P$ is uniquely determined by its distances to these three points (Fact 1.2.6), so every point is fixed by the rigid motion, a contradiction. Thus the motion is unique if it exists.

Now we address existence. let $A, B, C$ be three noncollinear points of the first figure, and $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}$ the corresponding points of the second figure. There exists a translation mapping $A$ to $A^{\prime}$; following that with a suitable rotation (since $A B=A^{\prime} B^{\prime}$ ), we can ensure that $B$ also maps to $B^{\prime}$. Now we claim $C$ maps either to $C^{\prime}$ or to its reflection across $\overleftrightarrow{A^{\prime} B^{\prime}}$; in other words, given two points $A, B$ and a point $C$ not on $\overleftrightarrow{A B}, C$ is determined up to reflection across $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ by the distances $A C$ and $B C$. This holds because this data fixes $C$ to lie on two distinct circles, which can only intersect in two points (Fact 1.2.4).

Now if $P$ is any point of the first figure, then $P$ is uniquely determined by the distances $A P, B P, C P$ (again by Fact 1.2.6), and so it must map to the corresponding point of the second figure. This completes the proof of existence.

Note that rigid motions carry convex polygons to convex polygons. It follows that a rigid motion either preserves the orientation of all convex polygons, or reverses the orientation of all convex polygons. We say two congruent figures are directly congruent if the unique rigid motion taking one to the other (provided by Theorem 3.1) preserves orientations, and oppositely congruent otherwise.

Theorem 3.1.2. A rigid motion preserves orientations if and only if it is a translation or a rotation. A rigid motion reverses orientations if and only if it is a reflection or a glide reflection.

Proof. Let $A B C$ be a triangle carried to the triangle $A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$ under the rigid motion. First suppose the rigid motion preserves orientations; by the uniqueness assertion in Theorem 3.1, it suffices to exhibit either a translation or a rotation carrying $\triangle A B C$ to $\triangle A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$. If the perpendicular bisectors of $\overline{A A^{\prime}}$ and $\overline{B B^{\prime}}$ are parallel, then $A B B^{\prime} A^{\prime}$ is a parallelogram, so there is a translation taking $A$ to $A^{\prime}$ and $B$ to $B^{\prime}$. Otherwise, let these perpendicular bisectors meet at $O$. Draw the circle through $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ centered at $O$; there are (at most) two points on this circle whose distance to $A^{\prime}$ is the length $A B$. One point is the reflection of $B$ across the perpendicular bisector of $\overline{A A^{\prime}}$; by our assumption, this cannot equal $B^{\prime}$. Thus $B^{\prime}$ is the other point, which is the image of $B$ under the rotation about $O$ taking $B$ to $B^{\prime}$.

Figure 3.1.1: Proof of Theorem 3.1.2.

Next suppose the rigid motion reverses orientations; again by Theorem 3.1, it suffices to exhibit either a reflection or a glide reflection carrying $\triangle A B C$ to $\triangle A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$. The lines through which $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ reflects to a line parallel to $\overleftrightarrow{A^{\prime} B^{\prime}}$ form two perpendicular families of parallel lines. In each family there is one line passing through the midpoint of $\overline{A A^{\prime}}$; the glide reflection through this line taking $A$ to $A^{\prime}$ takes $B$ either to $B^{\prime}$ or to its half-turn about $A^{\prime}$. In the latter case, switching to the other family gives a glide reflection taking $B$ to $B^{\prime}$. As in the first case, $C$ automatically goes to $C^{\prime}$, and we are done.

In particular, the composition of two rotations is either a rotation or translation. In fact, one can say more.

Fact 3.1.3. The composition of a rotation of angle $\theta_{1}$ with a rotation of angle $\theta_{2}$ is a rotation of angle $\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}$ if this is not a multiple of $2 \pi$, and a translation otherwise.

On the other hand, given two rotations, it is not obvious where the center of their composition is; in particular, it generally depends on the order of the rotations. (In the language of abstract algebra, the group of rigid motions is not commutative.)

Fact 3.1.4. Let $\triangle A B C$ and $\triangle A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$ be two triangles. The following conditions are all equivalent to $\triangle A B C \cong \triangle A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$.
(a) (SSS criterion) We have $A B=A^{\prime} B^{\prime}, B C=B^{\prime} C^{\prime}, C A=C^{\prime} A^{\prime}$ (this equivalence is by definition).
(b) (SAS criterion) We have $A B=A^{\prime} B^{\prime}, B C=B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$, and $\angle A B C=\angle A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$.
(c) (ASA criterion) We have $A B=A^{\prime} B^{\prime}$, and all three (or even any two) of $\angle A B C$, $\angle B C A, \angle C A B$ are equal to the corresponding angles $\angle A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}, \angle B^{\prime} C^{\prime} A^{\prime}, \angle C^{\prime} A^{\prime} B^{\prime}$.

## Problems for Section 3.1

1. Show that there is no "SSA criterion" for congruence, by exhibiting two noncongruent triangles $\triangle A B C, \triangle A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$ with $A B=A^{\prime} B^{\prime}, B C=B^{\prime} C^{\prime}, \angle B C A=\angle B^{\prime} C^{\prime} A^{\prime}$.
2. (MOP 1997) Consider a triangle $A B C$ with $A B=A C$, and points $M$ and $N$ on $\overline{A B}$ and $\overline{A C}$, respectively. The lines $\overleftrightarrow{B N}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{C M}$ intersect at $P$. Prove that $\overleftrightarrow{M N}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{B C}$ are parallel if and only if $\angle A P M=\angle A P N$.
3. (Butterfly theorem) Let $A, B, C, D$ be points occurring in that order on circle $\omega$ and put $P=\overline{A C} \cap \overline{B D}$. Let $E F$ be a chord of $\omega$ passing through $P$, and put $Q=\overline{B C} \cap \overline{E F}$ and $R=\overline{D A} \cap \overline{E F}$. Then $P Q=P R$.
4. (IMO $1986 / 2$ ) A triangle $A_{1} A_{2} A_{3}$ and a point $P_{0}$ are given in the plane. We define $A_{s}=A_{s-3}$ for all $s \geqslant 4$. We construct a sequence of points $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}, \ldots$ such that $P_{k+1}$ is the image of $P_{k}$ under rotation with center $A_{k+1}$ through angle $120^{\circ}$ clockwise (for $k=0,1,2, \ldots$ ). Prove that if $P_{1986}=P_{0}$, then $\triangle A_{1} A_{2} A_{3}$ is equilateral.
5. (MOP 1996) Let $A B_{1} C_{1}, A B_{2} C_{2}, A B_{3} C_{3}$ be directly congruent equilateral triangles. Prove that the pairwise intersections of the circumcircles of triangles $A B_{1} C_{2}, A B_{2} C_{3}$, $A B_{3} C_{1}$ form an equilateral triangle congruent to the first three.

### 3.2 Similarity and homotheties

Let $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ be two figures and suppose $f: F_{1} \rightarrow F_{2}$ is a bijection. We say that $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are similar (via $f$ ), and write $F_{1} \sim F_{2}$, if there exists a positive real number $c$ such that for all $P, Q \in F_{1}, f(P) f(Q)=c P Q$. Again, when $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are polygons with the same number of vertices and $f$ is not specified, we assume it is the map that takes the vertices of $F_{1}$ to the vertices of $F_{2}$ in the order that they are listed. For instance, the fact that $\triangle A B C \sim \triangle D E F$ means that $A B / D E=B C / E F=C A / F D$.

Fact 3.2.1. Let $\triangle A B C$ and $\triangle A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$ be two triangles. The following conditions are all equivalent to $\triangle A B C \sim \triangle A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$.
(a) (SSS criterion) We have $A B / A^{\prime} B^{\prime}=B C / B^{\prime} C^{\prime}=C A / C^{\prime} A^{\prime}$ (this equivalence is by definition).
(b) (SAS criterion) We have $A B / A^{\prime} B^{\prime}=B C / B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$, and $\angle A B C=\angle A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$.
(c) (AA criterion) All three (or even any two) of $\angle A B C, \angle B C A, \angle C A B$ are equal to the corresponding angles $\angle A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}, \angle B^{\prime} C^{\prime} A^{\prime}, \angle C^{\prime} A^{\prime} B^{\prime}$.

A similarity of the Euclidean plane is a map from the plane to itself for which there exists a positive real number $c$ such that whenever $P$ maps to $P^{\prime}$ and $Q$ to $Q^{\prime}$, we have $P^{\prime} Q^{\prime}=c P Q$; the constant $c$ is called the ratio of similitude of the similarity. Note that a rigid motion is precisely a similarity with ratio of similitude 1 . By imitating the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have the following result.

Fact 3.2.2. Given two similar figures, each not contained in a line, there is a unique similarity that maps one onto the other (matching corresponding points).

As for rigid motions, a similarity either preserves the orientation of all convex polygons, or reverses the orientation of all convex polygons. We say two similar figures are directly similar if the unique similarity taking one to the other (provided by Fact 3.2.2) preserves orientations, and oppositely similar otherwise.

In the spirit of Theorem 3.1.2, we will shortly give a classification of similarities (Theorem 3.4.1); before doing so, however, we introduce a special class of similarities which by themselves are already surprisingly useful. Given a point $O$ and a nonzero real number $r$,
the homothety (or dilation or dilatation ) with center $O$ and ratio $r$ maps each point $P$ to the point $P^{\prime}$ on the line $\overleftrightarrow{O P}$ such that the signed ratio of lengths $O P^{\prime} / O P$ is equal to $r$. Note that $r$ is allowed to be negative; in particular, a homothety of ratio -1 is simply a half-turn.

Figure 3.2.1: A homothety.

Homotheties have the property that they map every segment of a figure to a parallel segment. Aside from translations (which might be thought of as degenerate homotheties with center "at infinity"), this property characterizes homotheties; the following theorem is often useful as a concurrence criterion.

Fact 3.2.3. Two directly similar but not congruent figures with corresponding sides parallel are homothetic. In particular, the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A A^{\prime}}$, where $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ are corresponding points, all pass through a common point.

As for rotations, we conclude that the composition of two homotheties is a homothety, though again it is less than obvious where the center is!

## Problems for Section 3.2

1. Given a triangle $A B C$, construct (with straightedge and compass) a square with one vertex on $\overline{A B}$, one vertex on $\overline{C A}$, and two (adjacent) vertices on $\overline{B C}$.
2. (USAMO 1992/4) Chords $\overline{A A^{\prime}}, \overline{B B^{\prime}}, \overline{C C^{\prime}}$ of a sphere meet at an interior point $P$ but are not contained in a plane. The sphere through $A, B, C, P$ is tangent to the sphere through $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, P$. Prove that $A A^{\prime}=B B^{\prime}=C C^{\prime}$.
3. (Putnam 1996/A-2) Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be circles whose centers are 10 units apart, and whose radii are 1 and 3 . Find, with proof, the locus of all points $M$ for which there exists points $X$ on $C_{1}$ and $Y$ on $C_{2}$ such that $M$ is the midpoint of the line segment $\overline{X Y}$.
4. Given three nonintersecting circles, draw the intersection of the external tangents to each pair of the circles. Show that these three points are collinear.
5. (Russia, 2003) Let $A B C$ be a triangle with $A B \neq A C$. Point $E$ is such that $A E=B E$ and $\overleftrightarrow{B E} \perp \overleftrightarrow{B C}$. Point $F$ is such that $A F=C F$ and $\overleftrightarrow{C F} \perp \overleftrightarrow{B C}$. Let $D$ be the point on line $\overleftrightarrow{B C}$ such that $\overleftrightarrow{A D}$ is tangent to the circumcircle of triangle $A B C$. Prove that $D, E, F$ are collinear.

### 3.3 Spiral similarities

Let $P$ be a point, let $\theta$ be a signed angle measure (i.e., measured modulo $2 \pi$ ) and let $r$ be a nonzero real number. The spiral similarity of angle $\theta$ and ratio $r$ centered at $P$ consists of a homothety of ratio $r$ centered at $P$ followed by a rotation of angle $\theta$ centered at $P$. (The order of these operations do not matter; one easy way to see this is to express both operations in terms of complex numbers.) In particular, a spiral similarity is the composition of a similarity and a rigid motion, and hence is a similarity.

## Problems for Section 3.3

1. (USAMO $1978 / 2$ ) The squares $A B C D$ and $A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime} D^{\prime}$ represent maps of the same region, drawn to different scales and superimposed. Prove that there is only one point $O$ on the small map which lies directly over point $O^{\prime}$ of the large map such that $O$ and $O^{\prime}$ represent the same point of the country. Also, give a Euclidean construction (straightedge and compass) for $O$.
2. (MOP 1998) Let $A B C D E F$ be a cyclic hexagon with $A B=C D=E F$. Prove that the intersections of $\overline{A C}$ with $\overline{B D}$, of $\overline{C E}$ with $\overline{D F}$, and of $\overline{E A}$ with $\overline{F B}$ form a triangle similar to $\triangle B D F$.
3. Let $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$ be circles such that $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ meet at distinct points $A$ and $B, C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ meet at distinct points $C$ and $D$, and $C_{3}$ and $C_{1}$ meet at distinct points $E$ and $F$. Let $P_{1}$ be an arbitrary point on $C_{1}$, and define points $P_{2}, \ldots, P_{7}$ as follows:
$P_{2}$ is the second intersection of line $\overleftrightarrow{P_{1} A}$ with $C_{2}$;
$P_{3}$ is the second intersection of line $\overleftrightarrow{P_{2} C}$ with $C_{3}$;
$P_{4}$ is the second intersection of line $\overleftrightarrow{P_{3} E}$ with $C_{1}$;
$P_{5}$ is the second intersection of line $\overleftrightarrow{P_{1} B}$ with $C_{2}$;
$P_{6}$ is the second intersection of line $\overleftrightarrow{P_{2} D}$ with $C_{3}$;
$P_{7}$ is the second intersection of line $\overleftrightarrow{P_{3} F}$ with $C_{1}$.

Prove that $P_{7}=P_{1}$.

### 3.4 Complex numbers and the classification of similarities

One can imagine generating similarities in a rather complicated fashion, e.g., take a homothety about one center followed by a rotation about a different center. It turns out that this does not really yield anything new; this can be seen most easily by interpreting similarities in terms of complex numbers.

Theorem 3.4.1. Every orientation-preserving similarity is either a translation or a spiral similarity.

Proof. First we show that every orientation-preserving similarity can be expressed in terms of homothety, translation, and rotation. Let $A$ and $B$ be two points with images $C$ and $D$. If we perform a homothety about $A$ of ratio $C D / A B$, then a translation mapping $A$ to $C$, then a suitable rotation, we get another similarity mapping $A$ and $B$, respectively, to $C$ and $D$. On the other hand, if $P$ is any point not on the line $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$, and $Q$ and $Q^{\prime}$ are its images under the original similarity and the new similarity, then the triangles $\triangle A B P$, $\triangle C D Q, \triangle C D Q^{\prime}$ are all similar. This implies that $C, Q, Q^{\prime}$ are collinear and that $D, Q, Q^{\prime}$ are collinear, forcing $Q=Q^{\prime}$. In other words, the original similarity coincides with the new one.

The basic transformations can be expressed in terms of complex numbers as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Translation by vector } v & z \mapsto z+v \\
\text { Homothety of ratio } r \text {, center } x & z \mapsto r(z-x)+x \\
\text { Rotation by angle } \theta \text {, center } x & z \mapsto e^{i \theta}(z-x)+x
\end{array}
$$

The point is that each of these maps has the form $z \mapsto a z+b$ for some complex numbers $a, b$ with $a \neq 0$, and hence all orientation-preserving similarities have this form.

If $a=1$, the map $z \mapsto a z+b$ of complex numbers represents a translation by $b$. If $a \neq 1$, then let $t=b /(1-a)$ be the unique solution of $t=a t+b$. Then our map can be written $z \mapsto a(z-t)+t$, which is clearly a spiral similarity about $t$.

## Problems for Section 3.4

1. Let $A, C, E$ be three points on a circle. A $60^{\circ}$ rotation about the center of the circle carries $A, C, E$ to $B, D, F$, respectively. Prove that the triangle whose vertices are the midpoints of $\overline{B C}, \overline{D E}, \overline{F A}$ is equilateral.

### 3.5 Affine transformations

The last type of transformation we introduce in this chapter is the most general, at the price of preserving the least structure. However, for sheer strangeness it does not rival either inversion (see Chapter 10) or projective transformations (see Chapter 11).

An affine transformation is a map from the plane to itself of the form

$$
(x, y) \mapsto(a x+b y+c, d x+e y+f)
$$

for some real numbers $a, b, c, d, e, f$ with $a e-b d \neq 0$; this last condition ensures that the map is a bijection. From the proof of Theorem 3.4.1, we see that every similarity is an affine transformation. However, there are more exotic affine transformations, including the stretch $(x, y) \mapsto(x, c y)$ and the shear $(x, y) \mapsto(x+y, y)$.

These last examples demonstrate that angles and distances do not behave predictably under affine transformation. However, one does have the following result, as well as a partial converse (Problem 3.5.1).

Fact 3.5.1. Affine transformations preserve collinearity of points, parallelness of lines, and concurrence of lines. Moreover, the affine transformation

$$
(x, y) \mapsto(a x+b y+c, d x+e y+f)
$$

multiplies areas by a factor of $|a e-b d|$, and preserves orientation if and only if ae $-b d>0$.
Fact 3.5.2. Any three noncollinear points can be mapped to any three other noncollinear points by a unique affine transformation.

As an example of the use of the affine transformation, we offer the following theorem.
Theorem 3.5.3. Let $A B C D E$ be a convex pentagon, and let $F=\overleftrightarrow{B C} \cap \overleftrightarrow{D E}, G=\overleftrightarrow{C D} \cap \overleftrightarrow{E A}$, $H=\overleftrightarrow{D E} \cap \overleftrightarrow{A B}, I=\overleftrightarrow{E A} \cap \overleftrightarrow{B C}, J=\overleftrightarrow{A B} \cap \overleftrightarrow{D E}$. Suppose that the areas of the triangles $\triangle A H I, \triangle B I J, \triangle C J F, \triangle D F G, \triangle E G H$ are all equal. Then the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A F}, \overleftrightarrow{B G}, \overleftrightarrow{C H}, \overleftrightarrow{D I}, \overleftrightarrow{E J}$ are all concurrent.

Figure 3.5.1: Diagram for Theorem 3.5.3.

Proof. Everything in the theorem is preserved by affine transformations, so we may place three of the points anywhere we want. Let us assume that $A, C, D$ form an isosceles triangle with $A C=A D$ and $\angle C D A=\pi / 5$, which is to say that $A, C, D$ are three vertices of a regular pentagon.

Our first observation is that since $\triangle C J F$ and $\triangle D F G$ have equal areas, so do $\triangle C F G$ and $\triangle F D J$, by adding the area of $\triangle C D H$ to both sides. By the base-height formula, this means $G J$ is parallel to $C D$, and similarly for the other sides. In particular, since $\triangle A C D$ was assumed to be isosceles, $F$ lies on the internal angle bisector of $\angle D A C$, and $J$ and $C$ are the reflections of $G$ and $D$ across $\overleftrightarrow{A F}$.

We next want to show that $B$ and $E$ are mirror images across $\overleftrightarrow{A F}$. To that end, let $E^{\prime}$ and $H^{\prime}$ be the reflections of $E$ and $H$, respectively. Since the lines $\overleftrightarrow{F C}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{F D}$ are mirror images across $\overleftrightarrow{A F}$, we know that $E^{\prime}$ lies on $\overleftrightarrow{B D}$, and similarly $H^{\prime}$ lies on $\overleftrightarrow{A C}$. Suppose that $E^{\prime} D<B D$, or equivalently that $E$ is closer than $B$ is to the line $\overleftrightarrow{C D}$. Then we also have $D H^{\prime}<C I^{\prime}$; since $C J=D G$, we deduce $J H^{\prime}<J I$. Now it is evident that the triangle $E^{\prime} H^{\prime} J$, being contained in $\triangle B J I$, has smaller area; on the other hand, it has the same area as $\triangle E H G$, which by assumption has the same area as $\triangle B J I$, a contradiction. So we cannot have $E^{\prime} D<B D$, or $E^{\prime} D>\underbrace{B D}$ by a similar argument. We conclude $E^{\prime} D=B D$, i.e. $B$ and $E$ are mirror images across $\overleftrightarrow{A F}$.

Figure 3.5.2: Proof of Theorem 3.5.3.
In particular, this implies that $\overleftrightarrow{B E}$ is parallel to $\overleftrightarrow{C D}$. Since we could just as well have put $B, D, E$ at the vertices of an isosceles triangle, we also may conclude $A C \| D E$ and so forth.

Now let $\ell$ be the line through $C$ parallel to $\overleftrightarrow{A D}$; by the above argument, we know $B$ is the intersection of $\ell$ with $\overleftrightarrow{D F}$. On the other hand, $B$ is also the intersection of $\ell$ with the line through $A$ parallel to $\overleftrightarrow{C F}$. If we move $F$ towards $A$ along the internal angle bisector of $\angle D A C$, the intersection of $\overleftrightarrow{D F}$ with $\ell$ moves away from $C$, but the intersection of the parallel to $\overleftrightarrow{C F}$ through $A$ with $\ell$ moves closer to $C$. Hence these can only coincide for at most one choice of $F$, and of course they do coincide when $A B C D E$ is a regular pentagon. We conclude that $A B C D E$ is the image of a regular pentagon under an affine transformation, which in particular implies that $\overleftrightarrow{A F}, \overleftrightarrow{B G}, \overleftrightarrow{C H}, \overleftrightarrow{D I}, \overleftrightarrow{E J}$ are concurrent.

Figure 3.5.3: Proof of Theorem 3.5.3.

Some authors choose to independently speak of oblique coordinates, measured with respect to two coordinate axes which are not necessarily perpendicular. We prefer to think of these as the result of perfoming an affine transformation to a pair of Cartesian coordinate axes.

## Problems for Section 3.5

1. Prove that any transformation that takes collinear points to collinear points is an affine transformation.
2. Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle, and let $X, Y, Z$ be points on sides $\overline{B C}, \overline{C A}, \overline{A B}$, respectively, satisfying

$$
\frac{B X}{X C}=\frac{C Y}{Y A}=\frac{A Z}{Z B}=k
$$

where $k$ is a given constant greater than 1 . Find, in terms of $k$, the ratio of the area of the triangle formed by the three segments $\overline{A X}, \overline{B Y}, \overline{C Z}$ to the area of $\triangle A B C$. (Compare Problem 4.1.3, which is the case $k=2$.)
3. In the hexagon $A B C D E F$, opposite sides are equal and parallel. Prove that triangles $\triangle A C E$ and $\triangle B D F$ have the same area.
4. (Greece, 1996) In a triangle $A B C$ the points $D, E, Z, H, \Theta$ are the midpoints of the segments $\overline{B C}, \overline{A D}, \overline{B D}, \overline{E D}, \overline{E Z}$, respectively. If $I$ is the point of intersection of $\overline{B E}$ and $\overline{A C}$, and $K$ is the point of intersection of $\overline{H \Theta}$ and $\overline{A C}$, prove that

$$
\text { 1. } A K=3 C K
$$

2. $H K=3 H \Theta$;
3. $B E=3 E I$;
4. the area of $\triangle A B C$ is 32 times that of $\triangle E \Theta H$.
5. (Sweden, 1996) Through a point in the interior of a triangle with area $T$, draw lines parallel to the three sides, partitioning the triangle into three triangles and three parallelograms. Let $T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}$ be the areas of the three triangles. Prove that

$$
\sqrt{T}=\sqrt{T_{1}}+\sqrt{T_{2}}+\sqrt{T_{3}} .
$$

6. (France, 1996) Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle. For any line $\ell$ not parallel to any side of $\triangle A B C$, let $G_{\ell}$ be the vector average of the intersections of $\ell$ with $\overleftrightarrow{B C}, \overleftrightarrow{C A}, \overleftrightarrow{A B}$. Determine the locus of $G_{\ell}$ as $\ell$ varies.

## Chapter 4

## Tricks of the trade

We conclude our presentation of fundamentals with a chapter that highlights a small core of basic techniques that prove useful in a large number of problems. The point is to show how much one can accomplish even with very little advanced knowledge.

### 4.1 Slicing and dicing

One of the most elegant ways of establishing a geometric result is to dissect the figure into pieces, then rearrange the pieces so that the result becomes obvious. The quintessential example of this technique is the proof of the Pythagorean theorem ${ }^{1}$ given by the Indian mathematician Bhaskara (Bhaskaracharya) (1114-1185), which consists of a picture plus only one word.

Theorem 4.1.1 (Pythagoras). If $\triangle A B C$ is a right triangle with hypotenuse $\overline{B C}$, then $A B^{2}+B C^{2}=A C^{2}$.

Proof. Behold!

Figure 4.1.1: A dissection proof of the Pythagorean theorem.
Other useful dissections include a proof of the fact that the area of a triangle is half its base times its height (Figure 4.1.2), a proof that the median to the hypotenuse of a right triangle divides the triangle into two isosceles triangles (Figure 4.1.3), and in three dimensions, an embedding of a tetrahedron in a rectangular parallelepiped (Figure 4.1.4).

Figure 4.1.2: Area of a triangle equals half base times height.

## Problems for Section 4.1

[^13]Figure 4.1.3: Median to the hypotenuse of a right triangle.

Figure 4.1.4: A tetrahedron embedded in a box.

1. (MOP 1997) Let $Q$ be a quadrilateral whose side lengths are $a, b, c, d$, in that order. Show that the area of $Q$ does not exceed $(a c+b d) / 2$.
2. Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle and $M_{A}, M_{B}, M_{C}$ the midpoints of the sides $\overline{B C}, \overline{C A}, \overline{A B}$, respectively. Show that the triangle with side lengths $A M_{A}, B M_{B}, C M_{C}$ has area 3/4 that of $\triangle A B C$.
3. In triangle $\triangle A B C$, points $D, E, F$ are marked on sides $\overline{B C}, \overline{C A}, \overline{A B}$, respectively, so that

$$
\frac{B D}{D C}=\frac{C E}{E A}=\frac{A F}{F B}=2
$$

Show by a dissection argument that the triangle formed by the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A D}, \overleftrightarrow{B E}, \overleftrightarrow{C F}$ has area $1 / 7$ that of $\triangle A B C$. (Compare Problem 3.5.2.)
4. Give a dissection solution to Problem 3.5.3.
5. (For those familiar with space geometry) The 1982 SAT (an American college entrance exam) included a question asking for the number of faces of the polyhedron obtained by gluing a regular tetrahedron to a square pyramid along one of the triangular faces. The answer expected by the test authors was 7 , since the two polyhedra have 9 faces, 2 of which are removed by gluing. However, a student taking the exam pointed out that this is incorrect! What is the correct answer, and why?
6. (For those familiar with space geometry) A regular tetrahedron and a regular octahedron have edges of the same length. What is the ratio between their volumes?
7. Given four segments which form a convex cyclic quadrilateral of a given radius, the same is true no matter what order the segments occur in. Prove that the resulting quadrilaterals all have the same area. (This will be evident later from Brahmagupta's formula; see Fact 8.2.2.)

### 4.2 Angle chasing

A surprising number of propositions in Euclidean geometry can be established using nothing more than careful bookkeeping of angles, which allows one to detect similar triangles, cyclic quadrilaterals, and the like. In some problem circles, this technique is known as "angle chasing". As an example of angle chasing in action, we offer a theorem first published in 1838 by one A. Miquel.

Figure 4.2.1: Miquel's theorem.
Theorem 4.2.1. Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle and let $P, Q, R$ be any points on the sides $\overline{B C}, \overline{C A}, \overline{A B}$, respectively. Then the circumcircles of triangles $A R Q, B P R, C Q P$ pass through a common point.

Proof. Let $T$ be the second intersection (other than $R$ ) of the circumcircles of $A R Q$ and $B P R$. By collinearity of points,

$$
\angle T Q A=\pi-\angle C Q T, \quad \angle T R B=\pi-\angle A R T, \quad \angle T P C=\pi-\angle B P T .
$$

In a convex cyclic quadrilateral, opposite angles are supplementary. Therefore

$$
\angle T Q A=\pi-\angle A R T, \angle T R B=\pi-\angle B P T
$$

We conclude $\angle T P C=\pi-\angle C Q T$. Now conversely, a convex quadrilateral whose opposite angles are supplementary is cyclic. Therefore $T$ also lies on the circumcircle of $\triangle C Q P$, as desired.

A defect of the angle chasing technique is that the relevant theorems depend on the configuration of the points involved, particularly on whether certain points fall between certain other points. For example, one might ask whether the above theorem still holds if $P, Q, R$ are allowed to lie on the extensions of the sides of $\triangle A B C$. It does hold, but the above proof breaks down because some of the angles claimed to be equal become supplementary, and vice versa.

The trick to getting around this is to use "mod $\pi$ directed angles" as described in Section 1.7. To illustrate how "directed angle chasing" works, we give an example which is both simple and important: it intervenes in our proof of Pascal's theorem (Theorem 6.3.1).

Figure 4.2.2: Diagram for Theorem 4.2.2.

Theorem 4.2.2. Suppose that the circles $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ intersect at distinct points $A$ and $B$. Let $\overline{C D}$ be any chord on $\omega_{1}$, and let $E$ and $F$ be the second intersections of the lines $\overleftrightarrow{C A}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{B D}$, respectively, with $\omega_{2}$. Then $\overleftrightarrow{E F}$ is parallel to $\overleftrightarrow{C D}$.

Proof. We chase directed angles as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Varangle C D F & =\Varangle C D B & & \text { (collinearity of } B, D, F) \\
& =\Varangle C A B & & \text { (cyclic quadrilateral } A B C D) \\
& =\Varangle E A B & & \text { (collinearity of } A, C, E) \\
& =\Varangle E F B & & \text { (cyclic quadrilateral } A B E F) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the lines $\overleftrightarrow{C D}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{E F}$ make the same angle with $\overleftrightarrow{B F}$, and so are parallel.

Directed angles can be expressed in terms of lines as well as in terms of points: the directed angle $\Varangle\left(\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}\right)$ between lines $\ell_{1}$ and $\ell_{2}$ can be interpreted as the angle of any rotation carrying $\ell_{1}$ to a line parallel to $\ell_{2}$. This alternate perspective simplifies some proofs, as in the following example; for a situation where this diagram occurs, see Problem 6.4.3.

Theorem 4.2.3. Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle. Suppose that the lines $\ell_{1}$ and $\ell_{2}$ are perpendicular, and meet each side (or its extension) in a pair of points symmetric across the midpoint of the side. Then the intersection of $\ell_{1}$ and $\ell_{2}$ is concyclic with the midpoints of the three sides.

Figure 4.2.3: Diagram for Theorem 4.2.3.

Proof. Let $M_{A}, M_{B}, M_{C}$ be the midpoints of the sides $\overline{B C}, \overline{C A}, \overline{A B}$, respectively, and put $P=\ell_{1} \cap \ell_{2}$. Since the lines $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \overleftrightarrow{B C}$ form a right triangle and $M_{A}$ is the midpoint of the hypotenuse of that triangle, the triangle formed by the points $P, M_{A}, \ell_{2} \cap B C$ is isosceles with

$$
\Varangle\left(\overleftrightarrow{M_{A} P}, \ell_{2}\right)=\not \subset\left(\ell_{2}, \overleftrightarrow{B C}\right)
$$

By a similar argument,

Figure 4.2.4: Proof of Theorem 4.2.3.

$$
\nless\left(\ell_{2}, \overleftrightarrow{M_{B} P}\right)=\Varangle\left(\overleftrightarrow{C A}, \ell_{2}\right)
$$

and adding these gives

$$
\Varangle M_{A} P M_{B}=\Varangle A C B=\Varangle M_{A} M_{C} M_{B}
$$

since the sides of the triangle $\triangle M_{A} M_{B} M_{C}$ are parallel to those of $\triangle A B C$. We conclude that $M_{A}, M_{B}, M_{C}, P$ are concyclic, as desired.

## Problems for Section 4.2

1. (USAMO 1994/3) A convex hexagon $A B C D E F$ is inscribed in a circle such that $A B=C D=E F$ and diagonals $\overline{A D}, \overline{B E}, \overline{C F}$ are concurrent. Let $P$ be the intersection of $\overline{A D}$ and $\overline{C E}$. Prove that $C P / P E=(A C / C E)^{2}$.
2. (IMO 1990/1) Chords $\overline{A B}$ and $\overline{C D}$ of a circle intersect at a point $E$ inside the circle. Let $M$ be an interior point of the segment $\overline{E B}$. The tangent line of $E$ to the circle through $D, E, M$ intersects the lines $\overleftrightarrow{B C}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{A C}$ at $F$ and $G$, respectively. If $A M / A B=t$, find $E G / E F$ in terms of $t$.

Figure 4.3.1: Diagram for Theorem 4.3.1.
3. Let $\triangle A_{0} B_{0} C_{0}$ be a triangle and $P$ a point. Define a new triangle whose vertices $A_{1}, B_{1}, C_{1}$ as the feet of the perpendiculars from $P$ to $B_{0} C_{0}, C_{0} A_{0}, A_{0} B_{0}$, respectively. Repeat the construction twice, starting with $\triangle A_{1} B_{1} C_{1}$, to produce the triangles $\triangle A_{2} B_{2} C_{2}$ and $\triangle A_{3} B_{3} C_{3}$. Show that $\triangle A_{3} B_{3} C_{3}$ is similar to $\triangle A_{0} B_{0} C_{0}$.
4. (MOP 1991) Two circles intersect at points $A$ and $B$. An arbitrary line through $B$ intersects the first circle again at $C$ and the second circle again at $D$. The tangents to the first circle at $C$ and the second at $D$ intersect at $M$. Through the intersection of $\overleftrightarrow{A M}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{C D}$, there passes a line parallel to $C M$ and intersecting $\overleftrightarrow{A C}$ at $K$. Prove that $\overleftrightarrow{B K}$ is tangent to the second circle.
5. Let $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}, \omega_{4}$ be four circles in the plane. Suppose that $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ intersect at $P_{1}$ and $Q_{1}, \omega_{2}$ and $\omega_{3}$ intersect at $P_{2}$ and $Q_{2}, \omega_{3}$ and $\omega_{4}$ intersect at $P_{3}$ and $Q_{3}$, and $\omega_{4}$ and $\omega_{1}$ intersect at $P_{4}$ and $Q_{4}$. Show that if $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$, and $P_{4}$ lie on a line or circle, then $Q_{1}, Q_{2}, Q_{3}$, and $Q_{4}$ also lie on a line or circle. (This is tricky; see the proof of Theorem 10.1.2.)

### 4.3 Working backward

A common stratagem, when trying to prove that a given point has a desired property, is to construct a phantom point with the desired property, then reason backwards to show that it coincides with the original point. We illustrate this point with an example.

Theorem 4.3.1. Suppose the triangles $\triangle A B C$ and $\triangle A B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$ are directly similar. Then the points $A, B, C, \overleftrightarrow{B B^{\prime}} \cap \overleftrightarrow{C C^{\prime}}$ lie on a circle
Proof. Since we want to show that $\overleftrightarrow{B B^{\prime}} \cap \overleftrightarrow{C C^{\prime}}$ lies on the circle through $A, B, C$, and analogously on the circle through $A, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}$, we define the point $P$ to be the intersection of these two circles. Then

$$
\Varangle A P B=\Varangle A C B=\Varangle A C^{\prime} B^{\prime}=\Varangle A P B^{\prime}
$$

and so $P$ lies on the line $\overleftrightarrow{B B^{\prime}}$, and similarly on the line $\overleftrightarrow{C C^{\prime}}$.

## Problems for Section 4.3

1. (IMO 1994/2) Let $\triangle A B C$ be an isosceles triangle with $A B=A C$. Suppose that
(i) $M$ is the midpoint of $\overline{B C}$ and $O$ is the point on the line $\overleftrightarrow{A M}$ such that $\overleftrightarrow{O B}$ is perpendicular to $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$;
(ii) $Q$ is an arbitrary point on the segment $\overline{B C}$ different from $B$ and $C$;
(iii) $E$ lies on the line $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ and $F$ lies on the line $\overleftrightarrow{A C}$ such that $E, Q, F$ are distinct and collinear.

Prove that $\overleftrightarrow{O Q}$ is perpendicular to $\overleftrightarrow{E F}$ if and only if $Q E=Q F$.
2. (USAMO $2005 / 3$ ) Let $\triangle A B C$ be an acute-angled triangle, and let $P$ and $Q$ be two points on side $B C$. Construct point $C_{1}$ in such a way that convex quadrilateral $A P B C_{1}$ is cyclic, $\overleftrightarrow{Q C_{1}} \| \overleftrightarrow{C A}$, and $C_{1}$ and $Q$ lie on opposite sides of line $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$. Construct point $B_{1}$ in such a way that convex quadrilateral $A P C B_{1}$ is cyclic, $\overleftrightarrow{Q B_{1}} \| \overleftrightarrow{B A}$, and $B_{1}$ and $Q$ lie on opposite sides of line $\overleftrightarrow{A C}$. Prove that points $B_{1}, C_{1}, P$, and $Q$ lie on a circle.
3. (Morley's theorem) Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle, and for each side, draw the intersection of the two angle trisectors closer to that side. (That is, draw the intersection of the trisectors of $A$ and $B$ closer to $A B$, and so on.) Prove that these three intersections determine an equilateral triangle.

Figure 4.3.2: Morley's theorem (Problem 4.3.3).

## Part II

## Special situations

## Chapter 5

## Concurrence and collinearity

This chapter is devoted to the study of two fundamental and reciprocal questions: when are three given points collinear, and when are three given lines concurrent or parallel? (The idea that parallel lines should be considered "concurrent" is an idea from the theory of perspective; it will be fleshed out in our discussion of projective geometry in Chapter 11.) This study only begins here; the themes of collinearity and concurrence recur throughout this text, so it is worth mentioning places where they occur beyond this chapter.

- The Pascal and Brianchon theorems (Section 6.3).
- The radical axis theorem (Section 6.2).


### 5.1 Concurrent lines: Ceva's theorem

We begin with a simple but useful result, published in 1678 by the Italian engineer Giovanni Ceva (1647-1734). In his honor, a segment joining a vertex of a triangle to a point on the opposite side is called a cevian ${ }^{1}$.

Figure 5.1.1: Ceva's theorem (Theorem 5.1.1).

Theorem 5.1.1 (Ceva). Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle, and let $P, Q, R$ be points on the lines $\overleftrightarrow{B C}, \overleftrightarrow{C A}, \overleftrightarrow{A B}$, respectively, none equal to any of $A, B, C$. Then the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A P}, \overleftrightarrow{B Q}, \overleftrightarrow{C R}$ are concurrent or parallel if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{B P}{P C} \frac{C Q}{Q A} \frac{A R}{R B}=1 \tag{5.1.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as an equality of signed ratios of lengths.

[^14]Proof. First suppose that $\overleftrightarrow{A P}, \overleftrightarrow{B Q}, \overleftrightarrow{C R}$ concur at a point $T$. Then the ratio of lengths $B P / P C$ is equal, by similar triangles, to the ratio of the distances from $B$ and $C$ to $\overleftrightarrow{A P}$. On the other hand, that ratio is also equal to the ratio of areas $[A T B] /[C T A]$, since we can calculate these areas as half of base times height, with $\overline{A T}$ as the base. Moreover, the signed ratios $B P / P C$ and $[A T B]_{ \pm} /[C T A]_{ \pm}$also have the same sign, so are in fact equal.

## Figure 5.1.2: Proof of Ceva's theorem (Theorem 5.1.1).

By this argument, we get

$$
\frac{B P}{P C} \frac{C Q}{Q A} \frac{A R}{R B}=\frac{[A T B]_{ \pm}}{[C T A]_{ \pm}} \frac{[B T C]_{ \pm}}{[A T B]_{ \pm}} \frac{[C T A]_{ \pm}}{[B T C]_{ \pm}}=1 .
$$

In case $\overleftrightarrow{A P}, \overleftrightarrow{B Q}, \overleftrightarrow{C R}$ are parallel, we may deduce the same conclusion by continuity, or directly: we leave this to the reader.

Conversely, suppose that (5.1.1.1) holds; we will apply the trick of working backward. The lines $\overleftrightarrow{A P}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{B Q}$ meet at some point $T$, and the line $\overleftrightarrow{C T}$ meets $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ at some point $R^{\prime}$. (If $\overleftrightarrow{A P}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{B Q}$ are parallel, interpret $\overleftrightarrow{C T}$ as the common parallel to these lines through $C$, and the previous sentence will still make sense.) By construction, $\overleftrightarrow{A P}, \overleftrightarrow{B Q}, \overleftrightarrow{C R^{\prime}}$ are concurrent. However, using Ceva in the other direction (which we just proved), we find that

$$
\frac{B P}{P C} \frac{C Q}{Q A} \frac{A R^{\prime}}{R^{\prime} B}=1 .
$$

Combining this equation with (5.1.1.1) yields

$$
\frac{A R}{R B}=\frac{A R^{\prime}}{R^{\prime} B}
$$

Since $A R+R B=A R^{\prime}+R^{\prime} B=A B$, adding 1 to both sides gives

$$
\frac{A B}{R B}=\frac{A B}{R^{\prime} B}
$$

as a signed ratio of lengths, from which we conclude that $R B=R^{\prime} B$, and hence $R=R^{\prime}$.
In certain cases, Ceva's Theorem is more easily applied in the following form ("trig Ceva").

Fact 5.1.2 (Ceva's theorem, trigonometric form). Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle, and let $P$, $Q, R$ be any points in the plane distinct from $A, B, C$, respectively. Then $\overleftrightarrow{A P}, \overleftrightarrow{B Q}, \overleftrightarrow{C R}$ are concurrent if and only if

$$
\frac{\sin \angle C A P}{\sin \angle P A B} \frac{\sin \angle A B Q}{\sin \angle Q B C} \frac{\sin \angle B C R}{\sin \angle R C A}=1 .
$$

One can either deduce this from Ceva's theorem or prove it directly. Be careful when using trig Ceva with directed angles, as signs matter: the ratio $(\sin \angle C A P) /(\sin \angle P A B)$ must be defined in terms of angles modulo $2 \pi$, but the sign of the ratio itself only depends on the line $\overleftrightarrow{A P}$, not on the choice of $P$ on one side or the other of $A$.

## Problems for Section 5.1

1. Suppose that the cevians $\overline{A P}, \overline{B Q}, \overline{C R}$ meet at $T$. Prove that

$$
\frac{T P}{A P}+\frac{T Q}{B Q}+\frac{T R}{C R}=1
$$

2. Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle, and let $D, E, F$ be points on sides $\overline{B C}, \overline{C A}, \overline{A B}$, respectively, such that the cevians $\overline{A D}, \overline{B E}, \overline{C F}$ are concurrent. Show that if $M, N, P$ are points on $\overline{E F}, \overline{F D}, \overline{D E}$, respectively, then the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A M}, \overleftrightarrow{B N}, \overleftrightarrow{C P}$ concur if and only if the lines $\overleftrightarrow{D M}, \overleftrightarrow{E N}, \overleftrightarrow{F P}$ concur. (Many special cases of this question occur in the problem literature.)
3. (Hungary-Israel, 1997) The three squares $A C C_{1} A^{\prime \prime}, A B B_{1}^{\prime} A^{\prime}, B C D E$ are constructed externally on the sides of a triangle $\triangle A B C$. Let $P$ be the center of $B C D E$. Prove that the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A^{\prime} C}, \overleftrightarrow{A^{\prime \prime} B}, \overleftrightarrow{P A}$ are concurrent
4. (USAMO $1995 / 3$ ) Given a nonisosceles, nonright triangle $\triangle A B C$ inscribed in a circle with center $O$, let $A_{1}, B_{1}, C_{1}$ be the midpoints of sides $\overline{B C}, \overline{C A}, \overline{A B}$ respectively. Point $A_{2}$ is located on the ray $\overrightarrow{O A_{1}}$ so that $\triangle O A A_{1}$ is similar to $\triangle O A_{2} A$. Points $B_{2}, C_{2}$ on rays $\overrightarrow{O B_{1}}, \overrightarrow{O C_{1}}$ respectively, are defined similarly. Prove that the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A A_{2}}, \overleftrightarrow{B B_{2}}, \overleftrightarrow{C C_{2}}$ are concurrent.
5. Given a triangle $\triangle A B C$ and points $X, Y, Z$ such that $\angle A B Z=\angle X B C, \angle B C X=$ $\angle Y C A, \angle C A Y=\angle Z A B$, prove that the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A X}, \overleftrightarrow{B Y}, \overleftrightarrow{C Z}$ are concurrent. (Again, many special cases of this problem can be found in the literature.)
6. Let $A, B, C, D, E, F, P$ be seven points on a circle. Show that the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A D}, \overleftrightarrow{B E}, \overleftrightarrow{C F}$ are concurrent if and only if

$$
\frac{\sin \angle A P B \sin \angle C P D \sin \angle E P F}{\sin \angle B P C \sin \angle D P E \sin \angle F P A}=-1,
$$

where the angles are measured modulo $2 \pi$. (The only tricky part is the sign.)

### 5.2 Collinear points: Menelaus's theorem

When he published his theorem, Ceva also revived interest in an ancient theorem attributed to Menelaus ${ }^{2}$ (70?-130?).

[^15]Figure 5.2.1: Menelaus's theorem (Theorem 5.2.1).

Theorem 5.2.1 (Menelaus). Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle, and let $P, Q, R$ be points on the lines $\overleftrightarrow{B C}, \overleftrightarrow{C A}, \overleftrightarrow{A B}$, respectively, none equal to any of $A, B, C$. Then $P, Q, R$ are collinear if and only if

$$
\frac{B P}{P C} \frac{C Q}{Q A} \frac{A R}{R B}=-1
$$

as an equality of signed ratios of lengths.
Proof. Assume that $P, Q, R$ are collinear. Let $x, y, z$ be the directed distances from $A, B, C$, respectively, to the line $\overleftrightarrow{P Q R}$. Then $B P / P C=-y / z$ and so forth, so

Figure 5.2.2: Menelaus's theorem (Theorem 5.2.1).

$$
\frac{B P}{P C} \frac{C Q}{Q A} \frac{A R}{R B}=(-1)(-1)(-1) \frac{y}{z} \frac{z}{x} \frac{x}{y}=-1 .
$$

The converse follows by the same argument as for Ceva's theorem.
An important consequence of Menelaus's theorem is the following result of Desargues (for more on whom see the introduction to Chapter 11), which is most easily stated by introducing two pieces of terminology. Two triangles $\triangle A B C$ and $\triangle D E F$ are said to be perspective from a point if the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A D}, \overleftrightarrow{B E}, \overleftrightarrow{C F}$ are concurrent or parallel. The triangles are said to be perspective from a line if the points $\overleftrightarrow{A B} \cap \overleftrightarrow{D E}, \overleftrightarrow{B C} \cap \overleftrightarrow{E F}, \overleftrightarrow{C A} \cap \overleftrightarrow{F D}$ are collinear.

Theorem 5.2.2 (Desargues). Two triangles $\triangle A B C$ and $\triangle D E F$ are perspective from $a$ point if and only if they are perspective from a line.

Proof. We only prove that if $\triangle A B C$ and $\triangle D E F$ are perpective from a point, then they are perspective from a line. We leave it as an exercise to deduce the reverse implication from this (stare at the diagram); we will do this again later, using duality.

Figure 5.2.3: Proof of Desargues's theorem (Theorem 5.2.2).
Suppose that $\overleftrightarrow{A D}, \overleftrightarrow{B E}, \overleftrightarrow{C F}$ concur at $O$, and put $P=\overleftrightarrow{B C} \cap \overleftrightarrow{E F}, Q=\overleftrightarrow{C A} \cap \overleftrightarrow{F D}$ $R=\overleftrightarrow{A B} \cap \overleftrightarrow{D E}$. To show that $P, Q, R$ are collinear, we want to show that

$$
\frac{A R}{R B} \frac{B P}{P C} \frac{C Q}{Q A}=-1
$$

and then invoke Menelaus's theorem. To get hold of the first term, we apply Menelaus to the points $R, D, E$ on the sides of the triangle $\triangle O A B$, giving

$$
\frac{A R}{R B} \frac{B D}{D O} \frac{O E}{E A}=-1 .
$$

Analogously,

$$
\frac{B P}{P C} \frac{C E}{E O} \frac{O F}{F B}=\frac{C Q}{Q A} \frac{A F}{F O} \frac{O D}{D C}=-1 .
$$

When we multiply these three expressions together and cancel equal terms, we get precisely the condition of Menelaus's theorem.

Another important consequence of Menelaus's theorem is the following result of Pappus of Alexandria (290?-350?).

Theorem 5.2.3 (Pappus). Let $A, C, E$ be three collinear points, and let $B, D, F$ be three other collinear points. Then the points $\overleftrightarrow{A B} \cap \overleftrightarrow{D E}, \overleftrightarrow{B C} \cap \overleftrightarrow{E F}, \overleftrightarrow{C D} \cap \overleftrightarrow{F A}$ are collinear

The proof is similar, but more complicated; we omit it, save to say that one applies Menelaus repeatedly using the triangle formed by the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A B}, \overleftrightarrow{C D}, \overleftrightarrow{E F}$. If you can't make the cancellation work, see [5].

Note that Desargues's and Pappus's theorems only involve points and lines, with no mention of distances or angles. This makes them "theorems of projective geometry," and we will see later (Section 11.2) how projective transformations often yield simple proofs of such theorems.

## Problems for Section 5.2

1. Prove Pappus's theorem (Theorem 5.2.3) directly in terms of Cartesian coordinates; the hope is that you will find this doable but not pleasant!
2. Prove the reverse implication of Desargues' theorem.
3. Let $A, B, C$ be three points on a line. Pick a point $D$ in the plane, and a point $E$ on $\overleftrightarrow{B D}$. Then draw the line through $\overleftrightarrow{A E} \cap \overleftrightarrow{C D}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{C E} \cap \overleftrightarrow{A D}$. Show that this line meets the line $\overleftrightarrow{A C}$ in a point $P$ that depends only on $A, B, C$. (The points $A, B, C, P$ are in fact harmonic conjugates, for more on which see Section 11.6.)
4. (MOP 1990) Let $A, B, C$ be three collinear points and $D, E, F$ three other collinear points. Put $G=\overleftrightarrow{B E} \cap \overleftrightarrow{C F}, H=\overleftrightarrow{A D} \cap \overleftrightarrow{C F}, I=\overleftrightarrow{A D} \cap \overleftrightarrow{C E}$. If $A I=H D$ and $C H=G F$, prove that $B I=G E$.
5. (Original) Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle and let $P$ be a point in its interior, not lying on any of the medians of $\triangle A B C$. Put $A_{1}=\overleftrightarrow{P A} \cap \overline{B C}, B_{1}=\overleftrightarrow{P B} \cap \overline{C A}, C_{1}=\overleftrightarrow{C A} \cap \overline{A B}$, $A_{2}=\overleftrightarrow{B_{1} C_{1}} \cap \overleftrightarrow{B C}, B_{2}=\overleftrightarrow{C_{1} A_{1}} \cap \overleftrightarrow{C A}, C_{2}=\overleftrightarrow{A_{2} B_{2}} \cap \overleftrightarrow{A B}$. Prove that the midpoints of $\overline{A_{1} A_{2}}, \overline{B_{1} B_{2}}, \overline{C_{1} C_{2}}$ are collinear. (See also Problem 6.5.2.)

Figure 5.3.1: Fact 5.3.1.
6. (Aaron Pixton) Let $\triangle A B C$ and $\triangle D E F$ be triangles, and let $P$ be a point. For each nonzero real number $r$, let $T_{r}$ be the triangle obtained from $\triangle A B C$ by a homothety about $P$ of ratio $r$. Suppose that for some three distinct nonzero real numbers $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$, each of $T_{r_{1}}, T_{r_{2}}, T_{r_{3}}$ is perspective with $\triangle D E F$. Prove that $T_{r}$ and $\triangle D E F$ are perspective for any $r$.

### 5.3 Concurrent perpendiculars

Some of the special points of a triangle are constructed by drawing perpendiculars to the sides of a triangle. For example, the circumcenter can be constructed by drawing the perpendicular bisectors. It is convenient that a result analogous to Ceva's Theorem holds for perpendiculars; the analogy is so strong that we can safely leave the proof to the reader (see Problem 1).

Fact 5.3.1. Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle, and let $P, Q, R$ be three points in the plane. Then the lines through $P, Q, R$ perpendicular to $\overleftrightarrow{B C}, \overleftrightarrow{C A}, \overleftrightarrow{A B}$, respectively, are concurrent or parallel if and only if

$$
B P^{2}-P C^{2}+C Q^{2}-Q A^{2}+A R^{2}-R B^{2}=0
$$

A surprising consequence is that the lines through $P, Q, R$ perpendicular to $\overleftrightarrow{B C}, \overleftrightarrow{C A}, \overleftrightarrow{A B}$, respectively, are concurrent or parallel if and only if the lines through $A, B, C$ perpendicular to $\overleftrightarrow{Q R}, \overleftrightarrow{R P}, \overleftrightarrow{P Q}$, respectively, are concurrent or parallel!

## Problems for Section 5.3

1. Prove that the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{C D}$ are perpendicular if and only if $A C^{2}-A D^{2}=$ $B C^{2}-B D^{2}$. (Use vectors, coordinates or Pythagoras.) Then prove Fact 5.3.1.
2. (Germany, 1996) Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle, and construct squares $A B B_{1} A_{2}, B C C_{1} B_{2}$, $C A A_{1} C_{2}$ externally on its sides. Prove that the perpendicular bisectors of the segments $\overline{A_{1} A_{2}}, \overline{B_{1} B_{2}}, \overline{C_{1} C_{2}}$ are concurrent.
3. Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle, $\ell$ a line and $L, M, N$ the feet of the perpendiculars to $\ell$ from $A, B, C$, respectively. Prove that the perpendiculars to $\overleftrightarrow{B C}, \overleftrightarrow{C A}, \overleftrightarrow{A B}$ through $L, M, N$, respectively, are concurrent. (Their intersection is called the orthopole of the line $\ell$ and the triangle $A B C$.)
4. (USAMO $1997 / 2$ ) Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle, and draw isosceles triangles $\triangle D B C$, $\triangle A E C, \triangle A B F$ external to $\triangle A B C$ (with $\overline{B C}, \overline{C A}, \overline{A B}$ as their respective bases). Prove that the lines through $A, B, C$ perpendicular to $\overleftrightarrow{E F}, \overleftrightarrow{F D}, \overleftrightarrow{D E}$, respectively, are concurrent. (Several solutions are possible.)

## Chapter 6

## Circular reasoning

This chapter is of course devoted not to logical fallacies, but to reasoning about the most fundamental of geometric objects, the circle. Note that we will gain further insight into the geometry of circles after introducing inversion in Chapter 10.

### 6.1 Power of a point

The following is a theorem of Euclidean geometry in the strictest of senses: it appears in the Elements as Propositions III.35-III.37.

Theorem 6.1.1. Given a fixed circle $\omega$ and a fixed point $P$, draw a line through $P$ intersecting $\omega$ at $A$ and $B$. Then the product $P A \cdot P B$ depends only on $P$ and $\omega$, not on the line.

Proof. Draw another line through $P$ meeting $\omega$ at $C$ and $D$, labeled as in one of the diagrams. Then

Figure 6.1.1: Proof of the power of a point theorem (Theorem 6.1.1).

$$
\Varangle P A C=\Varangle B A C=\Varangle B D C=-\Varangle P D B
$$

as directed angles, so the triangles $\triangle P A C$ and $\triangle P D B$ are (oppositely) similar, giving $P A / P D=P C / P B$, or equivalently $P A \cdot P B=P C \cdot P B$.

We may view $P A \cdot P B$ as a signed quantity by the same convention as for signed ratios of lengths. This signed quantity is called the power of $P$ with respect to $\omega$; note that it is positive if $P$ lies outside $\omega$, zero if $P$ lies on $\omega$, and negative if $P$ lies inside $\omega$. If $O$ is the center of $\omega$ and $r$ is the radius, we may choose $\overleftrightarrow{O P}$ as our line and so express the power as

$$
(O P+r)(O P-r)=O P^{2}-r^{2} .
$$

Note that for $P$ outside $\omega$, the limiting case $A=B$ means that $\overleftrightarrow{P A}$ is tangent to $\omega$ at $A$.
The power of a point theorem has an occasionally useful converse.

Fact 6.1.2. If the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{C D}$ meet at $P$, and there is an equality $P A \cdot P B=P C \cdot P D$ of signed products of lengths, then $A, B, C, D$ are concyclic.

## Problems for Section 6.1

1. If $A, B, C, D$ are concyclic and $\overleftrightarrow{A B} \cap \overleftrightarrow{C D}=E$, prove that $(A C / B C)(A D / B D)=$ $A E / B E$.
2. (Mathematics Magazine, Dec. 1992) Let $\triangle A B C$ be an acute triangle, let $H$ be the foot of the altitude from $A$, and let $D, E, Q$ be the feet of the perpendiculars from an arbitrary point $P$ in the triangle onto $\overline{A B}, \overline{A C}, \overline{A H}$, respectively. Prove that

$$
|A B \cdot A D-A C \cdot A E|=B C \cdot P Q
$$

3. Draw tangents $\overline{O A}$ and $\overline{O B}$ from a point $O$ to a given circle. Through $A$ is drawn a chord $\overline{A C}$ parallel to $\overleftrightarrow{O B}$; let $E$ be the second intersection of $\overleftrightarrow{O C}$ with the circle. Prove that the line $\overleftrightarrow{A E}$ bisects the segment $\overline{O B}$
4. (MOP 1995) Given triangle $\triangle A B C$, let $D, E$ be any points on $\overline{B C}$. A circle through $A$ cuts the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A B}, \overleftrightarrow{A C}, \overleftrightarrow{A D}, \overleftrightarrow{A E}$ at the points $P, Q, R, S$, respectively. Prove that

$$
\frac{A P \cdot A B-A R \cdot A D}{A S \cdot A E-A Q \cdot A C}=\frac{B D}{C E}
$$

5. (IMO 1995/1) Let $A, B, C, D$ be four distinct points on a line, in that order. The circles with diameters $\overline{A C}$ and $\overline{B D}$ intersect at $X$ and $Y$. The line $\overleftrightarrow{X Y}$ meets $\overleftrightarrow{B C}$ at $Z$. Let $P$ be a point on the line $\overleftrightarrow{X Y}$ other than $Z$. The line $\overleftrightarrow{C P}$ intersects the circle with diameter $\overline{A C}$ at $C$ and $M$, and the line $\overleftrightarrow{B P}$ intersects the circle with diameter $\overrightarrow{B D}$ at $B$ and $N$. Prove that the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A M}, \overleftrightarrow{D N}, \overleftrightarrow{X Y}$ are concurrent.
6. (USAMO 1998/2) Let $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ be concentric circles, with $\omega_{2}$ in the interior of $\omega_{1}$. From a point $A$ on $\omega_{1}$ one draws the tangent $\overline{A B}$ to $\omega_{2}\left(B \in \omega_{2}\right)$. Let $C$ be the second point of intersection of $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ and $\omega_{1}$, and let $D$ be the midpoint of $\overline{A B}$. A line passing through $A$ intersects $\omega_{2}$ at $E$ and $F$ in such a way that the perpendicular bisectors of $\overline{D E}$ and $\overline{C F}$ intersect at a point $M$ on $\overline{A B}$. Find, with proof, the ratio $A M / M C$.

### 6.2 Radical axis

Given two circles, one with center $O_{1}$ and radius $r_{1}$, the other with center $O_{2}$ and radius $r_{2}$, what is the set of points with equal power with respect to the two circles? By our explicit formula for the power of a point, this is simply the set of points $P$ such that $P O_{1}^{2}-r_{1}^{2}=$ $P O_{2}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}$, or equivalently such that $P O_{1}^{2}-P O_{2}^{2}=r_{1}^{2}-r_{2}^{2}$. By Problem 5.3.1, this set is a straight line perpendicular to $\overleftrightarrow{O_{1} O_{2}}$; we call this line the radical axis of the two circles.

Theorem 6.2.1 (Radical axis theorem). Let $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}$ be three circles. Then the radical axes of $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$, of $\omega_{2}$ and $\omega_{3}$, and of $\omega_{3}$ and $\omega_{1}$ either all coincide, or are concurrent (or parallel).

Proof. A point on two of the radical axes has equal power with respect to all three circles. Hence if two of the axes coincide, so does the third, and otherwise if any two of the axes have a common point, this point lies on the third axis as well.

Corollary 6.2.2. The common chords of three mutually intersecting circles lie on concurrent lines.

If the radical axes coincide, the three circles are said to be coaxial ${ }^{1}$; otherwise, the intersection of the three radical axes is called the radical center of the circles. (As usual, this intersection could be "at infinity", if the three lines are parallel.) There are three types of coaxial families, depending on whether the circles have zero, one, or two intersections with the common radical axis; these three cases are illustrated in Figure 6.2.1. (Note: the zero and two cases become identical in the complex projective plane; see Section 11.7.) A

Figure 6.2.1: Some coaxial families of circles.
useful criterion for recognizing and applying the coaxial property is the following simple observation and partial converse.

Fact 6.2.3. If three circles are coaxial, their centers are collinear. Conversely, if three circles pass through a common point and have collinear centers, they are coaxial.

Like the power-of-a-point theorem, the radical axis theorem has an occasionally useful converse.

Fact 6.2.4. Suppose that $A B C D$ and $C D E F$ are cyclic quadrilaterals, and the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A B}, \overleftrightarrow{C D}, \overleftrightarrow{E F}$ are concurrent. Then $E F A B$ is also cyclic. More generally, if $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ are two circles with radical axis $\ell, A, B$ are points on $\omega_{1}, C, D$ are points on $\omega_{2}$, and $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{E F}$ meet at a point on $\ell$, then $A, B, E, F$ are concyclic. (We may allow $A=B$ by taking $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ to be the tangent line to $\omega_{1}$ at that point, and likewise we may allow $C=D$.)

## Problems for Section 6.2

1. Prove Fact 6.2.4. (Hint: draw a third circle and apply the radical axis theorem.)
2. Use the radical axis theorem to give another solution for Problem 5.3.4.
3. (MOP 1995) Let $\overline{B B^{\prime}}, \overline{C C^{\prime}}$ be altitudes of triangle $\triangle A B C$, and assume $A B \neq A C$. Let $M$ be the midpoint of $\overline{B C}, H$ the orthocenter of $\triangle A B C$, and $D$ the intersection of $\overleftrightarrow{B C}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{B^{\prime} C^{\prime}}$. Show that $\overleftrightarrow{D H}$ is perpendicular to $\overleftrightarrow{A M}$.
[^16]Figure 6.3.1: Pascal's theorem (Theorem 6.3.1) and van Yzeren's proof.
4. (IMO 1994 proposal) A circle $\omega$ is tangent to two parallel lines $\ell_{1}$ and $\ell_{2}$. A second circle $\omega_{1}$ is tangent to $\ell_{1}$ at $A$ and to $\omega$ externally at $C$. A third circle $\omega_{2}$ is tangent to $\ell_{2}$ at $B$, to $\omega$ externally at $D$ and to $\omega_{1}$ externally at $E$. Let $Q$ be the intersection of $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow D}{ }$ and $\overleftrightarrow{B C}$. Prove that $Q C=Q D=Q E$.
5. (India, 1995) Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle. A line parallel to $\overleftrightarrow{B C}$ meets sides $\overline{A B}$ and $\overline{A C}$ at $D$ and $E$, respectively. Let $P$ be a point inside triangle $\triangle A D E$, and let $F$ and $G$ be the intersection of $\overleftrightarrow{D E}$ with $\overleftrightarrow{B P}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{C P}$, respectively. Show that $A$ lies on the radical axis of the circumcircles of $\triangle P D G$ and $\triangle P F E$.
6. (IMO 1985/5) A circle with center $O$ passes through the vertices $A$ and $C$ of triangle $\triangle A B C$, and intersects the segments $\overline{A B}$ and $\overline{B C}$ again at distinct points $K$ and $N$, respectively. The circumscribed circles of the triangle $\triangle A B C$ and $\triangle K B N$ intersect at exactly two distinct points $B$ and $M$. Prove that angle $\angle O M B$ is a right angle.
7. (TST 2004/4) Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle, and let $D$ be a point in its interior. Construct a circle $\omega_{1}$ passing through $B$ and $D$, and a circle $\omega_{2}$ passing through $C$ and $D$, such that the point of intersection of $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ other than $D$ lies on the line $\overleftrightarrow{A D}$. Denote by $E, F$ $\stackrel{\text { the points }}{\leftrightarrows}$ where $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ intersect side $\overline{B C}$, respectively, and by $X, Y$ the intersections $\overleftrightarrow{D F} \cap \overleftrightarrow{A B}, \overleftrightarrow{D E} \cap \overleftrightarrow{A C}$, respectively. Prove that $\overleftrightarrow{X Y}$ is parallel to $\overleftrightarrow{B C}$

### 6.3 The Pascal-Brianchon theorems

Although Blaise Pascal (1623-1662) is most famous for "Pascal's triangle", he also left behind an amazing theorem about hexagons inscribed in circles. His original proof, which was favorably described by calculus pioneer Gottfried Wilhelm von Leibniz (1646-1716), has unfortunately been lost; we present here an ingenious proof essentially due to Jan van Yzeren (A simple proof of Pascal's hexagon theorem, Monthly, December 1993).

Theorem 6.3.1 (Pascal). Let $A B C D E F$ be a hexagon inscribed in a circle. Then the intersections $\overleftrightarrow{A B} \cap \overleftrightarrow{D E}, \overleftrightarrow{B C} \cap \overleftrightarrow{E F}, \overleftrightarrow{C D} \cap \overleftrightarrow{F A}$ are collinear

Proof. Put $P=\overleftrightarrow{A B} \cap \overleftrightarrow{D E}, Q=\overleftrightarrow{B C} \cap \overleftrightarrow{E F}, R=\overleftrightarrow{C D} \cap \overleftrightarrow{F A}$. Draw the circle $\omega$ through $C, F, R$, and extend the lines $\overleftrightarrow{B C}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{E F}$ to meet this circle again at $G$ and $H$, respectively; see Figure 6.3.1. By Theorem 4.2.2, we have $\overleftrightarrow{B E}\|\overleftrightarrow{G H}, \overleftrightarrow{E D}\| \overleftrightarrow{H R}, \overleftrightarrow{A B} \| \overleftrightarrow{R G}$.

Now notice that the triangles $\triangle R G H$ and $\triangle P B E$ have parallel sides, which means that they are homothetic. In other words, the lines $\overleftrightarrow{B G}, \overleftrightarrow{E H}, \overleftrightarrow{P R}$ are concurrent, which means $\overleftrightarrow{B G} \cap \overleftrightarrow{E H}=Q$ is collinear with $P$ and $R$, as desired

[^17]Some time later, Charles Brianchon (1783-1864) discovered a counterpart to Pascal's theorem for a hexagon circumscribed about a circle. We will give Brianchon's proof of his theorem, which uses the polar map to reduce it to Pascal's theorem, in Section 11.5; a direct but somewhat complicated proof can be found in [5].

Theorem 6.3.2 (Brianchon). Let $A B C D E F$ be a hexagon circumscribed about a circle (i.e., the extension of each side is tangent to the circle). Then the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A D}, \overleftrightarrow{B E}, \overleftrightarrow{C F}$ are concurrent.

Figure 6.3.2: Brianchon's theorem (Theorem 6.3.2).
It is sometimes useful to apply Pascal's theorem or Brianchon's theorem in certain degenerate cases, in which some of the vertices coincide. For example, in Pascal's theorem, if two adjacent vertices of the hexagon coincide, one should take the line through them to be the tangent to the circle at that point. Thus in Figure 6.3.3, we may conclude that $\overleftrightarrow{A A} \cap \overleftrightarrow{D E}$,

Figure 6.3.3: A degenerate case of Pascal's theorem (Theorem 6.3.1).
$\overleftrightarrow{A C} \cap \overleftrightarrow{E F}, \overleftrightarrow{C D} \cap \overleftrightarrow{F A}$ are collinear, where $\overleftrightarrow{A A}$ denotes the tangent to the circle at $A$
As for Brianchon's theorem, the analogous argument shows that the "vertex" between two collinear sides belongs at the point of tangency, as in Figure 6.3.4.

Figure 6.3.4: A degenerate case of Brianchon's theorem (Theorem 6.3.2).

## Problems for Section 6.3

1. What do we get if we apply Brianchon's theorem with three degenerate vertices? (We will encounter this fact again later.)
2. Let $A B C D$ be a quadrilateral whose sides $\overline{A B}, \overline{B C}, \overline{C D}, \overline{D A}$ are tangent to a single circle at points $M, N, P, Q$, respectively. Prove that the lines $A C, B D, M P, N Q$ are concurrent.
3. (MOP 1995) With notation as in the previous problem, let lines $\overleftrightarrow{B Q}, \overleftrightarrow{B P}$ intersect the circle at $E, F$, respectively. Prove that $\overleftrightarrow{M E}, \overleftrightarrow{N F}, \overleftrightarrow{B D}$ are concurrent.
4. (Poland, 1997) Let $A B C D E$ be a convex pentagon with $C D=D E$ and $\angle B C D=$ $\angle D E A=\pi / 2$. Let $F$ be the point on side $\overline{A B}$ such that $A F / F B=A E / B C$. Show that

$$
\angle F C E=\angle F D E \text { and } \angle F E C=\angle B D C .
$$

### 6.4 Simson lines

The following theorem is often called Simson's theorem in honor of Robert Simson (16871768), but it is actually originally due to William Wallace (1768-1843).

Theorem 6.4.1. Let $A, B, C$ be three points on a circle. Then the feet of the perpendiculars from $P$ to the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A B}, \overleftrightarrow{B C}, \overleftrightarrow{C A}$ are collinear if and only if $P$ also lies on the circle

Proof. The proof is by (directed) angle-chasing. Let $X, Y, Z$ be the feet of the respective perpendiculars from $P$ to $\overleftrightarrow{B C}, \overleftrightarrow{C A}, \overleftrightarrow{A B}$; then the quadrilaterals $P X C Y, P Y A Z, P Z B X$ each have two right angles, and are thus cyclic. Therefore

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\Varangle P X Y & =\Varangle P C Y \quad & \text { (cyclic quadrilateral } P X C Y) \\
& =\Varangle P C A \quad \text { (collinearity of } A, C, Y)
\end{array}
$$

and analogously $\Varangle P X Z=\Varangle P B A$. Now $X, Y, Z$ are collinear if and only if $\Varangle P X Y=$ $\Varangle P X Z$, which by the above equations occurs if and only if $\Varangle P C A=\Varangle P B A$; in other words, if and only if $A, B, C, P$ are concyclic.

For $P$ on the circle, the line described in the theorem is called the Simson line of $P$ with respect to the triangle $\triangle A B C$. We note in passing that an alternate proof of the collinearity in this case can be given using Menelaus's theorem.

## Problems for Section 6.4

1. Let $A, B, C, P, Q$ be points on a circle. Show that the (directed) angle between the Simson lines of $P$ and $Q$ with respect to the triangle $\triangle A B C$ equals half of the (directed) arc measure $m(\overparen{P Q})$.
2. Let $A, B, C, D$ be four points on a circle. Prove that the intersection of the Simson line of $A$ with respect to $\triangle B C D$ with the Simson line of $B$ with respect to $\triangle A C D$ is collinear with $C$ and the orthocenter of $\triangle A B D$.
3. If $A, B, C, P, Q$ are five points on a circle such that $\overline{P Q}$ is a diameter, show that the Simson lines of $P$ and $Q$ with respect to $\triangle A B C$ intersect at a point concyclic with the midpoints of the sides of $\triangle A B C$.
4. Let $I$ be the incenter of triangle $\triangle A B C$, and $D, E, F$ the projections of $I$ onto $\overline{B C}, \overline{C A}, \overline{A B}$, respectively. The incircle of $A B C$ meets the segments $\overline{A I}, \overline{B I}, \overline{C I}$ at $M, N, P$, respectively. Show that the Simson lines of any point on the incircle with respect to the triangles $\triangle D E F$ and $\triangle M N P$ are perpendicular.

### 6.5 Circle of Apollonius

The ancient geometer Apollonius of Perga (262?-190? B.C.E.) is most famous for his early work on conic sections (see Section 11.3). However, his name has also come to be attached to another pretty geometrical construction.

Theorem 6.5.1. Let $A, B$ be any two points, and let $k \neq 1$ be a positive real number. Then the locus of points $P$ such that $P A / P B=k$ is a circle whose center lies on $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$.

Proof. One can show this synthetically, but the shortest proof involves introducing Cartesian coordinates such that $A=(a, 0)$ and $B=(b, 0)$. The condition $P A / P B=k$ is equivalent to $P A^{2}=k^{2} P B^{2}$, which in coordinates can be written

$$
(x-a)^{2}+y^{2}=k^{2}\left[(x-b)^{2}+y^{2}\right] .
$$

Combining terms and dividing through by $1-k^{2}$, we get

$$
x^{2}+\frac{2 k^{2} b-2 a}{1-k^{2}} x+y^{2}=\frac{k^{2} b^{2}-a^{2}}{1-k^{2}}
$$

which is easily recognized as the equation of a circle whose center lies on the $x$-axis.
This circle is called the circle of Apollonius corresponding to the points $A, B$ and the ratio $k$. (This term usually also includes the degenerate case $k=1$, where the "circle" becomes the perpendicular bisector of $\overline{A B}$.)

## Problems for Section 6.5

1. Use circles of Apollonius to give a synthetic proof of the classification of similarities (Theorem 3.4.1).
2. (Original) Set notation as in Problem 5.2.5. Prove that if some two of the circles with diameters $\overline{A_{1} A_{2}}, \overline{B_{1} B_{2}}, \overline{C_{1} C_{2}}$ intersect, then the three circles are coaxial (and so Problem 5.2.5 follows). Beware that the case where the circles do not meet is trickier, unless you work in the complex projective plane as described in Section 11.7.

### 6.6 Additional problems

## Problems for Section 6.6

1. (Archimedes' "broken-chord" theorem) Point $D$ is the midpoint of arc $\overparen{A C}$ of a circle; point $B$ is on minor arc $\overparen{C D}$; and $E$ is the point on $\overline{A B}$ such that $\overleftrightarrow{D E}$ is perpendicular to $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$. Prove that $A E=B E+B C$.
2. The convex hexagon $A B C D E F$ is such that

$$
\angle B C A=\angle D E C=\angle F A E=\angle A F B=\angle C B D=\angle E D F .
$$

Prove that $A B=C D=E F$.
3. (Descartes's four circles theorem) Let $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}$ be the radii of four mutually externally tangent circles. Prove that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{2}{r_{1}^{2}}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{1}{r_{i}}\right)^{2}
$$

Also verify that the result holds without requiring the tangencies to be external, if one imposes the sign convention that two radii have the same sign if they correspond to externally tangent circles, and have opposite sign otherwise.
4. Deduce from the previous problem the following interesting consequence. Define the curvature of a circle to be the reciprocal of its radius. Draw three mutually externally tangent circles with integer curvatures, each internally tangent to a given unit circle. Then repeatedly insert the circle externally tangent to three previously drawn mutually externally tangent circles. Show that all of the resulting circles have integer curvature. These form an example of an Apollonian gasket (or Apollonian circle packing) .

Figure 6.6.1: An Apollonian gasket.

## Chapter 7

## Triangle trivia

In this chapter, we study but a few of the most important constructions associated to a triangle. One could pursue this study almost indefinitely; simply restricting to "centers" associated to a triangle leads to literally hundreds ${ }^{1}$ of examples.

For convenience, we adopt the following convention throughout this chapter: in triangle $\triangle A B C$, we write $a, b, c$ for the respectively side lengths $B C, C A, A B$.

### 7.1 Centroid

For $\triangle A B C$ a triangle, the median of $\triangle A B C$ from vertex $A$ is the cevian from $A$ whose endpoint is the midpoint of $\overline{B C}$.

Fact 7.1.1. The medians of a triangle are concurrent. Moreover, the point of concurrency trisects each median.

Figure 7.1.1: Fact 7.1.1.

One can easily show this using Ceva and Menelaus, or by performing an affine transformation making the triangle equilateral, or by using vectors.

The concurrency point of the medians is called the centroid ${ }^{2}$ of $\triangle A B C$. It is also called the center of mass for the following reason: if equal masses are placed at each of $A, B, C$, the center of mass of the resulting system will lie at the centroid of $\triangle A B C$. (Compare the discussion of "mass points" in Section 2.2.)

## Problems for Section 7.1

[^18]1. (http://www. cut-the-knot.org) Let $G$ be the centroid of triangle $\triangle A B C$. Draw a line through $G$ meeting $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ at $M$ and $\overleftrightarrow{C A}$ at $N$. Prove that as ratios of signed lengths,

$$
\frac{B M}{M A}+\frac{C N}{N A}=1
$$

2. (Floor van Loemen, Monthly April 2002) A triangle is divided into six smaller triangles by its medians. Prove that the circumcenters of these six triangles lie on a circle.

### 7.2 Incenter and excenters

If the point $P$ lies in the interior of triangle $\triangle A B C$, then then the distances from $P$ to the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{A C}$ are

$$
P A \sin \angle P A B \text { and } P A \sin \angle P A C
$$

and these are equal if and only if $\angle P A B=\angle P A C$, in other words, if $P$ lies on the internal angle bisector of $\angle A$.

It follows that the intersection of two internal angle bisectors is equidistant from all three sides, and consequently lies on the third bisector. This intersection is the incenter of $\triangle A B C$, and its distance to any side is the inradius, usually denoted $r$. The terminology comes from the fact that the circle of radius $r$ centered at the incenter is tangent to all three sides of $\triangle A B C$, and thus is called the inscribed circle, or incircle, of $A B C$.

Do not forget, though, that the angle $\angle A$ in triangle $\triangle A B C$ has two angle bisectors, one internal and one external. The locus of points equidistant to the two lines $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{A C}$ is the union of both lines, and so one might expect to find other circles tangent to all three sides. Indeed, the internal angle bisector at $A$ concurs with the external bisectors of the other two angles (by the same argument as above); the point of concurrence is the excenter of $\triangle A B C$ opposite $A$, and the circle centered there tangent to all three sides is the escribed (exscribed) circle, or excircle, of $\triangle A B C$ opposite $A$.

In studying the incircle and excircles, a fundamental tool is the fact that the two tangents to a circle from an external point have the same length. This fact is equally useful is its own right, and we have included some problems that take advantage of equal tangents. In any case, the key observation we need is that if $D, E, F$ are the points where the incircle touches $\overline{B C}, \overline{C A}, \overline{A B}$, respectively, then $A E=A F$ and so on, so a little algebra gives

$$
A E=\frac{1}{2}(A E+E C+A F+F B-C D-D B)
$$

This establishes the first half of the following result; the second half is no harder. Recall that $s=(a+b+c) / 2$ is called the semiperimeter of $\triangle A B C$.

Fact 7.2.1. Let $s=(a+b+c) / 2$. Then the distance from $A$ to the point where the incircle touches $\overline{A B}$ is $s-a$, and the distance from $A$ to the point where the excircle opposite $C$ touches $\overline{A B}$ is $s-b$.

Figure 7.2.1: Fact 7.2.1.

Figure 7.2.2: Fact 7.2.3.

It will often be helpful to know in what ratio an angle bisector divides the opposite side. The answer can be used to give another proof of the concurrence of the angle bisectors.

Fact 7.2.2 (Angle bisector theorem). If $D$ is the foot of either angle bisector of $A$ in triangle $\triangle A B C$, then (as unsigned lengths)

$$
\frac{D B}{D C}=\frac{A B}{A C} .
$$

Another useful construction for studying incenters is the following.
Fact 7.2.3. Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle inscribed in a circle $\omega$ with center $O$, and let $M$ be the second intersection of $\omega$ with the internal angle bisector of $A$.

1. The line $\overleftrightarrow{M O}$ is perpendicular to $\overleftrightarrow{B C}$, i.e., $M$ is the midpoint of arc $\overparen{B C}$.
2. The circle centered at $M$ passing through $B$ and $C$ also passes through the incenter $I$ and the excenter $I_{A}$ opposite $A$; that is, $M B=M I=M C=M I_{A}$.
3. We have $O I^{2}=R^{2}-2 R r$, where $R$ is the circumradius and $r$ is the inradius of $\triangle A B C$.

## Problems for Section 7.2

1. Use the angle bisector theorem to give a synthetic proof of Theorem 6.5.1.
2. (Arbelos) The two common external tangent segments between two nonintersecting circles cut off a segment along one of the common internal tangents. Show that all three segments have the same length.
3. The incircle of a triangle is projected onto each of the three sides. Prove that the six endpoints of the resulting segments are concyclic.
4. (Răzvan Gelca) Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle, and let $D, E, F$ be the points where the incircle touches the sides $\overline{B C}, \overline{C A}, \overline{A B}$, respectively. Let $M, N, P$ be points on the segments $\overline{E F}, \overline{F D}, \overline{D E}$, respectively. Show that the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A M}, \overleftrightarrow{B N}, \overleftrightarrow{C P}$ intersect if and only if the lines $\overleftrightarrow{D M}, \overleftrightarrow{E N}, \overleftrightarrow{F P}$ intersect.
5. (USAMO $1991 / 5$ ) Let $D$ be an arbitrary point on side $\overline{A B}$ of a given triangle $\triangle A B C$, and let $E$ be the interior point where $\overline{C D}$ intersects the external common tangent to the incircles of triangles $\triangle A C D$ and $\triangle B C D$. As $D$ assumes all positions between $A$ and $B$, show that $E$ traces an arc of a circle.
6. (Iran, 1997) Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle, and let $P$ a varying point on the arc $\overparen{B C}$ of the circumcircle of $\triangle A B C$. Prove that the circle through $P$ and the incenters of $\triangle P A B$ and $\triangle P A C$ passes through a fixed point independent of $P$.
7. (USAMO 1999/6) Let $A B C D$ be an isosceles trapezoid with $\overleftrightarrow{A B} \| \overleftrightarrow{C D}$. The inscribed circle $\omega$ of triangle $\triangle B C D$ meets $\overline{C D}$ at $E$. Let $F$ be a point on the (internal) angle bisector of $\angle D A C$ such that $\overleftrightarrow{E F} \perp \overleftrightarrow{C D}$. Let the circumscribed circle of triangle $\triangle A C F$ meet line $\overleftrightarrow{C D}$ at $C$ and $G$. Prove that the triangle $\triangle A F G$ is isosceles.
8. (IMO 1992/4) In the plane let $C$ be a circle, let $L$ be a line tangent to the circle $C$, and let $M$ be a point on $L$. Find the locus of all points $P$ with the following property: there exists two points $Q, R$ on $L$ such that $M$ is the midpoint of $\overline{Q R}$ and $C$ is the inscribed circle of triangle $\triangle P Q R$.
9. (Bulgaria, 1996) The circles $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ with respective centers $O_{1}$ and $O_{2}$ are externally tangent at the point $C$, while the circle $k$ with center $O$ is externally tangent to $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$. Let $\ell$ be the common tangent of $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ at the point $C$ and let $\overline{A B}$ be the diameter of $k$ perpendicular to $\ell$. Assume that $O_{2}$ and $A$ lie on the same side of $\ell$. Show that the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A O_{1}}, \overleftrightarrow{B O_{2}}, \ell$ have a common point.
10. (MOP 1997) Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle, and $D, E, F$ the points where the incircle touches sides $\overline{B C}, \overline{C A}, \overrightarrow{A B}$, respectively. The parallel to $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ through $E$ meets $\overleftrightarrow{D F}$ at $Q$, and the parallel to $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ through $D$ meets $\overleftrightarrow{E F}$ at $T$. Prove that the lines $\overleftrightarrow{C F}, \overleftrightarrow{D E}, \overleftrightarrow{Q T}$ are concurrent.
11. (Stanley Rabinowitz ${ }^{3}$ ) The incircle of triangle $\triangle A B C$ touches sides $\overline{B C}, \overline{C A}, \overline{A B}$ at $D, E, F$, respectively. Let $P$ be any point inside triangle $\triangle A B C$, and let $X, Y, Z$ be the points where the segments $\overline{P A}, \overline{P B}, \overline{P C}$, respectively, meet the incircle. Prove that the lines $\overleftrightarrow{D X}, \overleftrightarrow{E Y}, \overleftrightarrow{F Z}$ are concurrent.

### 7.3 Circumcenter and orthocenter

It was pointed out earlier that any triangle $\triangle A B C$ has a unique circumscribing circle (circumcircle); note that its center, the circumcenter of $\triangle A B C$, is the point of concurrency of the perpendicular bisectors of $\overline{A B}, \overline{B C}, \overline{C A}$.

A closely related point is the orthocenter, defined as the intersection of the altitudes of a triangle. One can apply Fact 5.3.1 to show that these actually concur, or one can modify the proof of the following theorem to include this concurrence as a consequence.

Theorem 7.3.1. Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle, and let $O, G, H$ be its circumcenter, centroid and orthocenter, respectively. Then $O, G, H$ lie on a line in that order, and $2 O G=G H$.

[^19]The line $O G H$ is called the Euler line of triangle $\triangle A B C$.
Proof. The homothety with center $G$ and ratio $-1 / 2$ carries $\triangle A B C$ to the medial triangle $\triangle A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$, where $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}$ are the respective midpoints of $\overline{B C}, \overline{C A}, \overline{A B}$. Moreover, the altitude from $A^{\prime}$ in the medial triangle coincides with the perpendicular bisector of $\overline{B C}$, since both are perpendicular to $\overleftrightarrow{B C}$ and pass through $A^{\prime}$. Hence $H$ maps to $O$ under the homothety, and the claim follows.

Some of the problems will use the following facts about the orthocenter, which we leave as exercises in angle-chasing.

Figure 7.3.1: The orthic triangle of a triangle (Fact 7.3.2).

Fact 7.3.2. In triangle $\triangle A B C$, let $H$ be the orthocenter, and let $H_{A}, H_{B}, H_{C}$ be the feet of the respective altitudes from $A, B, C$. Then the following statements hold.

1. The triangles $\triangle A H_{B} H_{C}, \triangle H_{A} B H_{C}, \triangle H_{A} H_{B} C$ are (oppositely) similar to $\triangle A B C$.
2. The altitudes bisect the angles of the triangle $\triangle H_{A} H_{B} H_{C}$ (so $H$ is its incenter).
3. The reflections of $H$ across $\overleftrightarrow{B C}, \overleftrightarrow{C A}, \overleftrightarrow{A B}$ lie on the circumcircle of $\triangle A B C$.

The triangle formed by the feet of the altitudes is called the orthic triangle.
Fact 7.3.3. Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle with orthocenter $H$. Define the following points:

- let $M_{A}, M_{B}, M_{C}$ be the midpoints of the sides $\overline{B C}, \overline{C A}, \overline{A B}$;
- let $H_{A}, H_{B}, H_{C}$ be the feet of the altitudes from $A, B, C$;
- let $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}$ be the midpoints of the segments $\overline{H A}, \overline{H B}, \overline{H C}$.

Then the following statements hold.
(a) The triangle $\triangle A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$ is the half-turn of $\triangle M_{A} M_{B} M_{C}$ about its circumcenter.
(b) The points $M_{A}, M_{B}, M_{C}, H_{A}, H_{B}, H_{C}, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}$ lie on a single circle.
(c) The center of the circle in (b) is the midpoint of $\overline{O H}$.

The circle described in Fact 7.3.3 is called the nine-point circle of $\triangle A B C$.

## Problems for Section 7.3

1. Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle. A circle passing through $B$ and $C$ intersects $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{A C}$ again at $C^{\prime}$ and $B^{\prime}$, respectively. Prove that $\overleftrightarrow{B B^{\prime}}, \overleftrightarrow{C C^{\prime}}, \overleftrightarrow{H H^{\prime}}$ are concurrent, where $H, H^{\prime}$ are the respective orthocenters of $\triangle A B C, \triangle A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$.
2. (USAMO 1990/5) An acute-angled triangle $\triangle A B C$ is given in the plane. The circle with diameter $\overline{A B}$ intersects altitude $\overline{C C^{\prime}}$ and its extension at points $M$ and $N$, and the circle with diameter $\overline{A C}$ intersects altitude $\overline{B B^{\prime}}$ and its extensions at $P$ and $Q$. Prove that the points $M, N, P, Q$ lie on a common circle.
3. Let $\ell$ be a line through the orthocenter $H$ of a triangle $\triangle A B C$. Prove that the reflections of $\ell$ across $\overleftrightarrow{A B}, \overleftrightarrow{B C}, \overleftrightarrow{C A}$ pass through a common point lying on the circumcircle of $\triangle A B C$.
4. (Bulgaria, 1997) Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle with orthocenter $H$, and let $M$ and $K$ denote the midpoints of $\overline{A B}$ and $\overline{C H}$. Prove that the internal angle bisectors of $\angle C A H$ and $\angle C B H$ meet at a point on the line $\overleftrightarrow{M K}$.
5. Prove Fact 7.3.3.

### 7.4 Gergonne and Nagel points

These points are less famous than some of the others, but they make for a few interesting problems, so let's get straight to work.
Fact 7.4.1. In triangle $\triangle A B C$, the cevians joining each vertex to the point where the incircle touches the opposite side are concurrent.

The concurrency point in Fact 7.4.1 is called the Gergonne point of $\triangle A B C$.

Figure 7.4.1: The Gergonne point (Fact 7.4.1).

Fact 7.4.2. In triangle $\triangle A B C$, the cevians joining each vertex to the point where the excircle opposite that vertex touches the opposite side are concurrent.

The concurrency point in Fact 7.4.2 is called the Nagel point of $\triangle A B C$.

Figure 7.4.2: The Nagel point (Fact 7.4.2).

## Problems for Section 7.4

1. Prove Facts 7.4.1 and 7.4.2.
2. Here is a result analogous to the existence of the Euler line. In triangle $\triangle A B C$, let $G, I, N$ be the centroid, incenter, and Nagel point, respectively. Show that $I, G, N$ lie on a line in that order, and that $N G=2 \cdot I G$.
3. Continuing the analogy from the previous problem, prove that in triangle $\triangle A B C$, if $P, Q, R$ are the midpoints of sides $\overline{B C}, \overline{C A}, \overline{A B}$, respectively, then the incenter of $\triangle P Q R$ is the midpoint of $\overline{I N}$.

### 7.5 Isogonal conjugates

Two points $P$ and $Q$ inside triangle $A B C$ are said to be isogonal conjugates if

$$
\angle P A B=\angle Q A C, \quad \angle P B C=\angle Q C B, \quad \angle P C A=\angle Q A C .
$$

In other words, $Q$ is the reflection of $P$ across each of the internal angle bisectors of $\triangle A B C$.
Fact 7.5.1. Every point in the interior of $\triangle A B C$ has an isogonal conjugate.
This instantly gives rise to some new special points of a triangle. For example, the isogonal conjugate of the centroid of $\triangle A B C$ is called the Lemoine point ; the cevians through the Lemoine point are called symmedians.

## Problems for Section 7.5

1. Prove Fact 7.5.1, then formulate and prove a correct version for points not in the interior of the triangle.
2. Prove that in an acute triangle, the orthocenter and the circumcenter are isogonal conjugates. If you completed the previous problem, you should also be able to prove this for a general triangle.
3. Given a triangle, draw through its Lemoine point a line parallel to each side of the triangle, and consider the intersections of that line with the other two sides. Show that the resulting six points are concyclic.
4. Show that the tangents to the circumcircle of a triangle at two vertices intersect on the symmedian of the third vertex.
5. (Dan Moraseski) Let $D, E, F$ be the feet of the symmedians of triangle $\triangle A B C$. Prove that the Lemoine point of $\triangle A B C$ is the centroid of $\triangle D E F$.

### 7.6 Brocard points

The problems in this section establish the existence and several properties of the Brocard points. Unlike the other special points we have thus far associated to a triangle, the Brocard points are only defined in a cyclically symmetric fashion. Consequently, there are two of them which are interchanged by reversal of the order of the vertices.

Fact 7.6.1. For any triangle $\triangle A B C$, there exists a unique point $P$ in the interior of $\triangle A B C$ such that

$$
\angle P A B=\angle P B C=\angle P C A
$$

The point $P$ in Fact 7.6.1 is called a Brocard point of $\triangle A B C$; there is a second Brocard point obtained by reversing the order of the vertices.

Fact 7.6.2. The two Brocard points of a triangle are isogonal conjugates.
This is equivalent to the fact that the common angle in Fact 7.6.1 is the same for the two Brocard points. It is called the Brocard angle of $\triangle A B C$; see Problem 7.6.2 for an explicit formula for the Brocard angle.

## Problems for Section 7.6

1. Prove Fact 7.6.1.
2. Let $\omega$ be the angle such that

$$
\cot \omega=\cot A+\cot B+\cot C .
$$

Prove that the common angle in Fact 7.6.1 is equal to $\omega$; deduce Fact 7.6.2.
3. (IMO FIXME! (get reference)) In triangle $\triangle A B C$, put $K=[A B C]$. Prove that

$$
a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2} \geqslant 4 \sqrt{3} K
$$

by expressing the Brocard angle in terms of $a, b, c, K$.
4. (IMO $1991 / 5$ ) Prove that inside any triangle $\triangle A B C$, there exists a point $P$ such that one of the angles $\angle P A B, \angle P B C, \angle P C A$ has measure at most $30^{\circ}$.

### 7.7 Frame shift

Once one has gathered up a lot of triangle trivia, it becomes necessary to use it effectively. Often this is accomplished by what I call a "frame shift": you are originally given some points in reference to a given triangle, but you then view them in reference to a different triangle. For instance, given triangle $\triangle A B C$ :

1. The orthocenter is the incenter of the orthic triangle.
2. The circumcenter is the orthocenter of the medial triangle.

## Problems for Section 7.7

1. (Russia, 2003) Let $O$ and $I$ be the circumcenter and incenter of triangle $\triangle A B C$, respectively. Let $\omega_{A}$ be the excircle of triangle $\triangle A B C$ opposite to $A$; let it be tangent to $\overleftrightarrow{A B}, \overleftrightarrow{A C}, \overleftrightarrow{B C}$ at $K, M, N$, respectively. Assume that the midpoint of segment $\overline{K M}$ lies on the circumcircle of triangle $\triangle A B C$. Prove that $O, N, I$ are collinear.

### 7.8 Vectors for special points

The vector equations for some of the special points of a triangle $\triangle A B C$ are summarized in the following table. The asterisked expressions assume the circumcenter of the triangle has been chosen as the origin; the origin-independent expressions are not nearly so pleasant to work with!

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Circumcenter* }^{*} & 0 \\
\text { Centroid } & \frac{1}{3}(\vec{A}+\vec{B}+\vec{C}) \\
\text { Orthocenter* } & \vec{A}+\vec{B}+\vec{C} \\
\text { Incenter } & \frac{1}{a+b+c}(a \vec{A}+b \vec{B}+c \vec{C})
\end{array}
$$

## Problems for Section 7.8

1. Let $P, Q, R$ be the feet of concurrent cevians in triangle $\triangle A B C$. Determine the vector expression for the point of concurrence in terms of the ratios $B P / P C, C Q / Q A$, $A R / R B$. Use this formula to extend the above table to other special points. In particular, do so for the Nagel point and obtain an alternate solution to Problem 7.4.2.
2. Let $A, B, C, D$ be four points on a circle. Use the result of Problem 6.4.2 to show that the Simson line of each point with respect to the triangle formed by the other three passes through the midpoint of the segment joining the center of the circle to the centroid of $A B C D$. In particular, the four Simson lines are concurrent.
3. (MOP 1995) Five points are given on a circle. A perpendicular is drawn through the centroid of the triangle formed by three of them, to the chord connecting the remaining two. Similar perpendiculars are drawn for each of the remaining nine triplets of points. Prove that the ten lines obtained in this way have a common point.
4. Compute the distance between the circumcenter and orthocenter of a triangle in terms of the side lengths $a, b, c$.
5. Show that the distance between the incenter and the nine-point center (see Problem 7.3.5) of a triangle is equal to $R / 2-r$, where $r$ and $R$ are inradius and circumradius, respectively. Deduce Feuerbach's theorem, that the incircle and nine-point circle are tangent. (Similarly, one can show the nine-point circle is also tangent to each of the excircles.)

### 7.9 Additional problems

Here are a few additional problems concerning triangle trivia. Before proceeding to the problems, we state as facts a few standard formulae for the area of a triangle.

Fact 7.9.1. Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle with side lengths $a=B C, b=C A, c=A B$, inradius $r$, circumradius $R$, exradius opposite $A r_{A}$, semiperimeter $s$, and area $K$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
K & =\frac{1}{2} a b \sin C \quad \text { (Law of Sines) } \\
& =\frac{a b c}{4 R} \quad(b y \text { Extended Law of Sines) } \\
& =r s=r_{A}(s-a) \\
& =\sqrt{s(s-a)(s-b)(s-c)} . \quad \text { (Heron's formula) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Problems for Section 7.9

1. Let $D$ be a point on side $B C$, and let $m=B D, n=C D$ and $d=A D$. Prove Stewart's formula: ${ }^{4}$

$$
m b^{2}+n c^{2}=a\left(d^{2}+m n\right)
$$

Figure 7.9.1: Stewart's formula (Problem 7.9.1).
2. Use Stewart's formula to prove the Steiner-Lehmus theorem: a triangle with two equal angle bisectors must be isosceles. (A synthetic proof is possible but not easy to find.)
3. (United Kingdom, 1997) In acute triangle $\triangle A B C, \overline{C F}$ is an altitude, with $F$ on $\overline{A B}$, and $\overline{B M}$ is a median, with $M$ on $\overline{C A}$. Given that $B M=C F$ and $\angle M B C=\angle F C A$, prove that the triangle $\triangle A B C$ is equilateral. Also, what happens if $\triangle A B C$ is not acute?
4. The point $D$ lies inside the acute triangle $\triangle A B C$. Three of the circumscribed circles of the triangles $\triangle A B C, \triangle B C D, \triangle C D A, \triangle D A B$ have equal radii. Prove that the fourth circle has the same radius, and characterize all such sets of four points. Also, what happens if $\triangle A B C$ need not be acute, or $D$ need not lie in its interior?
5. (Bulgaria, 1997) Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle and let $M, N$ be the feet of the angle bisectors of $B, C$, respectively. Let $D$ be the intersection of the ray $\overrightarrow{M N}$ with the circumcircle of $\triangle A B C$. Prove that

$$
\frac{1}{B D}=\frac{1}{A D}+\frac{1}{C D}
$$

6. Let $A B C D E$ be a cyclic pentagon such that $r_{A B C}=r_{A E D}$ and $r_{A B D}=r_{A C E}$, where $r_{X Y Z}$ denotes the inradius of triangle $\triangle X Y Z$. Prove that $A B=A E$ and $B C=D E$.

[^20]7. (MOP 1990) Let $\overline{A A_{1}}, \overline{B B_{1}}, \overline{C C_{1}}$ be the altitudes in an acute triangle $\triangle A B C$, and let $K$ and $M$ be points on the line segments $\overline{A_{1} C_{1}}$ and $\overline{B_{1} C_{1}}$, respectively. Prove that if the angles $\angle M A K$ and $\angle C A A_{1}$ are equal, then the angle $\angle C_{1} K M$ is bisected by $\overrightarrow{K A}$.

## Chapter 8

## Quadrilaterals

### 8.1 General quadrilaterals

There's not a great deal that can be said about an arbitrary quadrilateral: the extra freedom in placing an additional vertex disrupts much of the structure we found in triangles. What little there is to say we offer in the form of a few problems.

## Problems for Section 8.1

1. Prove that the midpoints of the sides of any quadrilateral form a parallelogram (known as the Varignon parallelogram).
2. Let $A B C D$ be a convex quadrilateral, and let $\theta$ be the angle between the diagonals $\overline{A C}$ and $\overline{B D}$. Prove that

$$
[A B C D]=\frac{1}{2} A C \cdot B D \sin \theta
$$

3. Derive a formula for the area of a convex quadrilateral in terms of its four sides and a pair of opposite angles.

### 8.2 Cyclic quadrilaterals

The condition that the four vertices of a quadrilateral lie on a circle gives rise to a wealth of interesting structures, which we investigate in this section. We start with a classical result of Claudius Ptolemy (85?-165?), who is more famous for his geocentric model of planetary motion.

Theorem 8.2.1 (Ptolemy). Let $A B C D$ be a convex cyclic quadrilateral. Then

$$
A B \cdot C D+B C \cdot D A=A C \cdot B D
$$

Figure 8.2.1: Proof of Ptolemy's theorem (Theorem 8.2.1).
Proof. Mark the point $P$ on $\overline{B D}$ such that $B P=(A B \cdot C D) / A C$, or equivalently $B P / A B=$ $C D / A C$. Since $\angle A B P=\angle A C D$, the triangles $A B P$ and $A C D$ are similar.

On the other hand, we now have

$$
\angle D P A=\pi-\angle A P B=\pi-\angle A D C=\angle C B A .
$$

Thus the triangles $\triangle A P D$ and $\triangle A B C$ are also similar, yielding $D P / B C=A D / A C$. Consequently

$$
B D=B P+P D=\frac{A B \cdot C D}{A C}+\frac{A D \cdot B C}{A C}
$$

and the theorem follows.
This proof is elegant, but one cannot help wondering, "How could anyone think of that?" (I wonder that myself; the proof appears in an issue of Samuel Greitzer's Arbelos, but he gives no attribution.) The reader might enjoy attempting a proof using trigonometry or complex numbers.

Another important result about cyclic quadrilaterals is an area formula attributed to the ancient Indian mathematician Brahmagupta (598-670). ${ }^{1}$
Fact 8.2.2 (Brahmagupta). If a cyclic quadrilateral has sides $a, b, c, d$ and area $K$, then

$$
K=\sqrt{(s-a)(s-b)(s-c)(s-d)},
$$

where $s=(a+b+c+d) / 2$ is the semiperimeter.
Heron's formula for the area of a triangle follows from Brahmagupta's formula by regarding a triangle as a cyclic quadrilateral with one side of length 0 .

## Problems for Section 8.2

1. Use trigonometry to give another proof of Ptolemy's theorem (Theorem 8.2.1).
2. (Brahmagupta) Let $A B C D$ be a cyclic quadrilateral with perpendicular diagonals. Then the line through the intersection of the diagonals and the midpoint of any side is perpendicular to the opposite side.
3. Use Ptolemy's theorem and the previous problem to give a formula for the lengths of the diagonals of a cyclic quadrilateral in terms of the lengths of the sides.
4. Let $A B C D$ be a cyclic quadrilateral. Prove that the incenters of triangles $\triangle A B C, \triangle B C D, \triangle C D A$ form a rectangle.
5. Let $A B C D$ be a cyclic quadrilateral. Prove that the sum of the inradii of $\triangle A B C$ and $\triangle C D A$ equals the sum of the inradii of $\triangle B C D$ and $\triangle D A B$.
[^21]
### 8.3 Circumscribed quadrilaterals

The following theorem characterizes circumscribed quadrilaterals; while it can be proved directly using the equal tangents rule, it proves easier to exploit what we already know about incircles and excircles of triangles.

Figure 8.3.1: A circumscribed quadrilateral.

Theorem 8.3.1. A convex quadrilateral $A B C D$ admits an inscribed circle if and only if $A B+C D=B C+D A$.

Proof. Let $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{C D}$ meet at $P$; without loss of generality, assume $A$ lies between $P$ and $B$. (We skip the limiting case $A B \| C D$.) The quadrilateral $A B C D$ has an inscribed circle if and only if the incircle of $\triangle P B C$ coincides with the excircle of $\triangle P D A$ opposite $P$. Let $Q$ and $R$ be the points of tangency of $\overleftrightarrow{P B}$ with the incircle of $\triangle P B C$ and the excircle of $\triangle P D A$, respectively; since both circles are tangent to the sides of the angle $\angle C P B$, they coincide if and only if $Q=R$, or equivalently $P Q=P R$. However, by the usual formulae

$$
\begin{aligned}
P Q & =\frac{1}{2}(P B+P C-B C)=\frac{1}{2}(P D+D C+P A+A B-B C) \\
P R & =\frac{1}{2}(P A+P D+D A)
\end{aligned}
$$

and these are equal if and only if $A B+C D=B C+D A$.
Just as with triangles, a convex quadrilateral can have an escribed circle, a circle not inside the quadrilateral but tangent to all four sides (or rather their extensions). We trust the

Figure 8.3.2: A quadrilateral having an escribed circle.
reader can now supply the proof of the analogous characterization of quadraterals admitting an escribed circle.

Fact 8.3.2. A convex quadrilateral $A B C D$ admits an exscribed circle opposite $A$ or $C$ if and only if $A B+B C=C D+D A$.

For more problems about circumscribed quadrilaterals, flip back to Section 6.3, where we study them using Brianchon's theorem.

## Problems for Section 8.3

1. (IMO 1962/5) On the circle $K$ there are given three distinct points $A, B, C$. Construct (using only straightedge and compass) a fourth point $D$ on $K$ such that a circle can be inscribed in the quadrilateral thus obtained.
2. (Dick Gibbs) Let $A B C D$ be a quadrilateral inscribed in an ellipse, and let $E=A B \cap$ $C D$ and $F=A D \cap B C$. Show that $A C E F$ can be inscribed in a hyperbola with the same foci as the ellipse. (If you're not familiar with ellipses and hyperbolae, peek ahead to Section 11.3.)
3. (USAMO 1998/6) Let $n \geqslant 5$ be an integer. Find the largest integer $k$ (as a function of $n$ ) such that there exists a convex $n$-gon $A_{1} A_{2} \cdots A_{n}$ for which exactly $k$ of the quadrilaterals $A_{i} A_{i+1} A_{i+2} A_{i+3}$ have an inscribed circle, where $A_{n+j}=A_{j}$.

### 8.4 Complete quadrilaterals

A complete quadrilateral is the figure formed by four lines, no two parallel and no three concurrent; the vertices of a complete quadrilateral are the six pairwise intersections of the lines. This configuration has been widely studied; we present here as problems a number of intriguing properties of the diagram.

In the following problems, let $A B C D E F$ be the complete quadrilateral formed by the lines $A B C, A E F, B D F, C D E$.

Figure 8.4.1: A complete quadrilateral.

## Problems for Section 8.4

1. Show that the orthocenters of the triangles $\triangle A B F, \triangle A C E, \triangle B C D, \triangle D E F$ are collinear. The common line is called the ortholine of the complete quadrilateral.
2. Show that the circles with diameters $\overline{A D}, \overline{B E}, \overline{C F}$ are coaxial. Deduce that the midpoints of the segments $\overline{A D}, \overline{B E}, \overline{C F}$ are collinear. (Can you show the latter directly?)
3. Show that the circumcircles of the triangles $\triangle A B F, \triangle A C E, \triangle B C D, \triangle D E F$ pass through a common point, called the Miquel point of the complete quadrilateral. (Many solutions are possible.)
4. We are given five lines in the plane, no two parallel and no three concurrent. To every four of the lines, associate the point whose existence was shown in the previous problem. Prove these five points lie on a circle. (This assertion and the previous one belong to an infinite chain of such statements: see W.K. Clifford, Collected Papers (1877), 38-54.)

## Chapter 9

## Geometric inequalities

The subject of geometric inequalities is so vast that it suffices to fill entire books, two notable examples being the volume by Bottema et al. [2] and its sequel [15]. This chapter should thus be regarded more as a sampler of techniques than a comprehensive treatise.

### 9.1 Distance inequalities

A number of inequalities involve comparing lengths. Useful tools against such problems include:

- Triangle inequality: in triangle $\triangle A B C, A B+B C>B C$.
- Hypotenuse inequality: if $\angle A B C$ is a right angle, then $A C>B C$.
- Ptolemy's inequality (Problem 10.3.9): if $A B C D$ is a convex quadrilateral, then $A B$. $C D+B C \cdot D A \geqslant A C \cdot B D$, with equality if and only if $A B C D$ is cyclic.
- Erdős-Mordell inequality: see Section 9.4.

Transformations can also be useful, particularly reflection. For example, to find the point $P$ on a fixed line that minimizes the sum of the distances from $P$ to two fixed points $A$ and $B$, reflect the segment $\overline{P B}$ across the line and observe that the optimal position of $P$ is on the line joining $A$ to the reflection of $B$.

Figure 9.1.1: Minimizing the distance from a point on a line to two fixed points.
A more dramatic example along the same lines is the following solution (by H.A. Schwarz) to Fagnano's problem: of the triangles inscribed in a given acute triangle, which one has the least perimeter? Reflecting the triangle as shown implies that the perimeter of an inscribed triangle is at least the distance from $A$ to its eventual image, with equality when the inscribed triangle makes equal angles with each side. As noted earlier, this occurs for the orthic triangle, which is then the desired minimum.

Figure 9.1.2: Solution of Fagnano's problem.

## Problems for Section 9.1

1. For what point $P$ inside a convex quadrilateral $A B C D$ is $P A+P B+P C+P D$ minimized?
2. (Euclid) Prove that the longest chord whose vertices lie on or inside a given triangle is the longest side. (This is intuitively obvious, but make sure your proof is complete.)
3. (Kürschák, 1954) Suppose a convex quadrilateral $A B C D$ satisfies $A B+B D \leqslant A C+$ $C D$. Prove that $A B<A C$.
4. (USAMO 1999/2) Let $A B C D$ be a cyclic quadrilateral. Prove that

$$
|A B-C D|+|A D-B C| \geqslant 2|A C-B D| .
$$

5. (Titu Andreescu and Răzvan Gelca) Points $A$ and $B$ are separated by two rivers. One bridge is to be built across each river so as to minimize the length of the shortest path from $A$ to $B$. Where should they be placed? (Each river is an infinite rectangular strip, and each bridge must be a straight segment perpendicular to the sides of the river. You may assume that $A$ and $B$ are separated from the intersection of the rivers by a strip wider than the two rivers combined.)
6. Prove that a quadrilateral inscribed in a parallelogram has perimeter no less than twice the length of the shorter diagonal of the parallelogram. (You may want to first consider the case where the parallelogram is a rectangle.)
7. (IMO 1993/4) For three points $P, Q, R$ in the plane, we define $m(P Q R)$ as the minimum length of the three altitudes of $\triangle P Q R$. (If the points are collinear, we set $m(P Q R)=$ 0 .) Prove that for points $A, B, C, X$ in the plane,

$$
m(A B C) \leqslant m(A B X)+m(A X C)+m(X B C)
$$

8. (Sylvester's theorem) A finite set of points in the plane has the property that the line through any two of the points passes through a third. Prove that all of the points are collinear. (As noted in Problem 11.7.8, this result is false in the complex projective plane.)
9. (IMO 1973/4) A soldier needs to check on the presence of mines in a region having the shape of an equilateral triangle. The radius of action of his detector is equal to half the altitude of the triangle. The soldier leaves from one vertex of the triangle. What path should he follow in order to travel the least possible distance and still accomplish his mission?
10. Suppose the largest angle of triangle $\triangle A B C$ is not greater than $120^{\circ}$. Let $D$ be the third vertex of an equilateral triangle constructed externally on side $\overline{B C}$. For $P$ inside the triangle, show that $P A+P B+P C \geqslant A D$, and determine when equality holds.
11. Suppose the largest angle of triangle $\triangle A B C$ is not greater than $120^{\circ}$. Deduce from the previous problem that for $P$ inside the triangle, $P A+P B+P C$ is minimized when $\angle A P B=\angle B P C=\angle C P A=120^{\circ}$. The point satisfying this condition is known variously as the Fermat point or the Torricelli point.
12. (IMO $1995 / 5$ ) Let $A B C D E F$ be a convex hexagon with $A B=B C=C D$ and $D E=$ $E F=F A$, such that $\angle B C D=\angle E F A=\pi / 3$. Suppose $G$ and $H$ are points in the interior of the hexagon such that $\angle A G B=\angle D H E=2 \pi / 3$. Prove that $A G+G B+$ $G H+D H+H E \geqslant C F$.

### 9.2 Algebraic techniques

Another class of methods of attack for geometric inequalities involve invoking algebraic inequalities. The most commonly used is the AM-GM inequality: for $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}>0$,

$$
\frac{x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}}{n} \geqslant\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{n}\right)^{1 / n} .
$$

Often all one needs is the case $n=2$, which follows from the fact that

$$
\left(\sqrt{x_{1}}-\sqrt{x_{2}}\right)^{2} \geqslant 0
$$

A more sophisticated result is the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$
\left(x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}\right)\left(y_{1}^{2}+\cdots+y_{n}^{2}\right) \geqslant\left(x_{1} y_{1}+\cdots+x_{n} y_{n}\right)^{2},
$$

which one proves by noting that the difference between the left side and the right is

$$
\sum_{i<j}\left(x_{i} y_{j}-x_{j} y_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

A trick that often makes an algebraic approach more feasible, when a problem concerns the side lengths $a, b, c$ of a triangle, is to make the substitution

$$
x=s-a, \quad y=s-b, \quad z=s-c,
$$

where $s=(a+b+c) / 2$. A little algebra gives

$$
a=y+z, \quad b=z+x, \quad c=x+y .
$$

The point is that the necessary and sufficient conditions $a+b>c, b+c>a, c+a>b$ for $a, b, c$ to constitute the side lengths of a triangle translate into the more convenient conditions $x>0, y>0, z>0$.

Don't forget about the possibility of "algebraizing" an inequality using complex numbers; see Section 2.3.

## Problems for Section 9.2

1. (IMO 1988/5) The triangle $\triangle A B C$ has a right angle at $A$, and $D$ is the foot of the altitude from $A$. The straight line joining the incenters of the triangles $\triangle A B D, \triangle A C D$ intersects the sides $\overline{A B}, \overline{A C}$ at the points $K, L$, respectively. $S$ and $T$ denote the areas of the triangles $\triangle A B C$ and $\triangle A K L$, respectively. Show that $S \geqslant 2 T$.
2. Given a point $P$ inside a triangle $A B C$, let $x, y, z$ be the distances from $P$ to the sides $\overline{B C}, \overline{C A}, \overline{A B}$. Find the point $P$ which minimizes

$$
\frac{a}{x}+\frac{b}{y}+\frac{c}{z}
$$

3. If $K$ is the area of a triangle with sides $a, b, c$, show that

$$
a b+b c+c a \geqslant 4 \sqrt{3} K .
$$

4. (IMO 1964/2) Suppose $a, b, c$ are the sides of a triangle. Prove that

$$
a^{2}(b+c-a)+b^{2}(c+a-b)+c^{2}(a+b-c) \leqslant 3 a b c .
$$

5. (IMO 1983/6) Let $a, b, c$ be the lengths of the sides of a triangle. Prove that

$$
b^{2} c(b-c)+c^{2} a(c-a)+a^{2} b(a-b) \geqslant 0 .
$$

(Beware: you may not assume that $a \geqslant b \geqslant c$ without loss of generality!)
6. (Balkan, 1996) Let $O$ and $G$ be the circumcenter and centroid of a triangle of circumradius $R$ and inradius $r$. Show that $O G^{2} \leqslant R^{2}-2 R r$. (This proves Euler's inequality $R \geqslant 2 r$. If you don't know how to compute $O G^{2}$, see Problem 7.8.4.)
7. (Murray Klamkin) Let $n>2$ be a positive integers, and suppose that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are positive real numbers satisfying the inequality

$$
\left(a_{1}^{2}+\cdots+a_{n}^{2}\right)^{2}>(n-1)\left(a_{1}^{4}+\cdots+a_{n}^{4}\right) .
$$

Show that for $1 \leqslant i<j<k \leqslant n$, the numbers $a_{i}, a_{j}, a_{k}$ are the lengths of the sides of a triangle.
8. Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle with inradius $r$ and circumradius $R$. Prove that

$$
\frac{2 r}{R} \leqslant \sqrt{\cos \frac{A-B}{2} \cos \frac{B-C}{2} \cos \frac{C-A}{2}} .
$$

9. (IMO 1995 proposal) Let $P$ be a point inside the convex quadrilateral $A B C D$. Let $E, F, G, H$ be points on sides $\overline{A B}, \overline{B C}, \overline{C D}, \overline{D A}$, respectively, such that $\overleftrightarrow{P E}$ is parallel to $\overleftrightarrow{B C}, \overleftrightarrow{P F}$ is parallel to $\overleftrightarrow{A B}, \overleftrightarrow{P G}$ is parallel to $\overleftrightarrow{D A}$, and $\overleftrightarrow{P H}$ is parallel to $\overleftrightarrow{C D}$. Let $K, K_{1}, K_{2}$ be the areas of $A B C D, A E P H, P F C G$, respectively. Prove that

$$
\sqrt{K} \geqslant \sqrt{K_{1}}+\sqrt{K_{2}} .
$$

### 9.3 Trigonometric inequalities and convexity

A third standard avenue of attack involves reducing a geometric inequality to an inequality involving trigonometric functions. Such inequalities can often be treated using Jensen's inequality for convex functions.

A convex function is a function $f(x)$ satisfying the rule

$$
f(t x+(1-t) y) \leqslant t f(x)+(1-t) f(y)
$$

for all $x, y$ and all $t \in[0,1]$. Geometrically, this says that the area above the graph of $f$ is a convex set, i.e. that chords of the graph always lie above the graph. Equivalently, tangents to the graph lie below.

Those of you who know calculus can check whether $f$ is convex by checking whether the second derivative of $f$ (if it exists) is always positive. (In some calculus texts, a convex function is called "concave upward", or occasionally is said to "hold water".) Also, if $f$ is continuous, it suffices to check the definition of convexity for $t=1 / 2$.

The key fact about convex functions is Jensen's inequality, whose proof (by induction on $n$ ) is not difficult.

Fact 9.3.1. Let $f(x)$ be a convex function, and let $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$ be nonnegative real numbers adding up to 1 . Then for all $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$,

$$
f\left(t_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+t_{n} x_{n}\right) \leqslant t_{1} f\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+t_{n} f\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

For example, the convexity of the function $(-\log x)$ implies the AM-GM inequality.
As a simple example, note that in triangle $\triangle A B C$, we have $\angle A+\angle B+\angle C=\pi$, and the function $f(x)=\sin x$ is concave, so

$$
\sin A+\sin B+\sin C \geqslant 3 \sin \pi / 3=3 \sqrt{3} / 2
$$

In other words, the minimum perimeter of a triangle inscribed in a fixed circle is achieved by the equilateral triangle.

Also note that convexity can be used in apparently purely geometric circumstances, thanks to the following fact. (Remember, it suffices to verify this for $t=1 / 2$, which is easy.)

Fact 9.3.2. The distance from a fixed point $P$ is a convex function on the plane. That is, for any points $P, Q, R$, the distance from $P$ to the point (in vector notation) $t Q+(1-t) R$ is a convex function of $t$.

## Problems for Section 9.3

1. ([2], 2.7) Show that in triangle $\triangle A B C, \sin A \sin B \sin C \leqslant \frac{3}{8} \sqrt{3}$.
2. Prove that the Brocard angle of a triangle cannot exceed $\pi / 6$. (Hint: use Problem 7.6.1, but beware that cot is only convex in the range $(0, \pi / 2]$.)
3. ([2], 2.15) Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ be the angles of a triangle. Prove that

$$
\sin \frac{\beta}{2} \sin \frac{\gamma}{2}+\sin \frac{\gamma}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha}{2}+\sin \frac{\alpha}{2} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \leqslant \frac{3}{4} .
$$

4. Prove that of the $n$-gons inscribed in a circle, the regular $n$-gon has maximum area.
5. $([2], 2.59)$ Prove that in triangle $\triangle A B C$,

$$
1+\cos A \cos B \cos C \geqslant \sqrt{3}(\sin A \sin B \sin C)
$$

6. Show that for any convex polygon $S$, the distance from $S$ to a point $P$ (the length of the shortest segment joining $P$ to a point on $S$ ) is a convex function of $P$.
7. (Junior Balkaniad, 1997) In triangle $\triangle A B C$, let $D, E, F$ be the points where the incircle touches the sides. Let $r, R, s$ be the inradius, circumradius, and semiperimeter, respectively, of the triangle. Prove that

$$
\frac{2 r s}{R} \leqslant D E+E F+F D \leqslant s
$$

and determine when equality occurs.
8. (MOP 1998) Let $\triangle A B C$ be a acute triangle with circumcenter $O$, orthocenter $H$ and circumradius $R$. Show that for any point $P$ on the segment $\overline{O H}$,

$$
P A+P B+P C \leqslant 3 R .
$$

### 9.4 The Erdős-Mordell inequality

The following inequality is somewhat more sophisticated than the ones we have seen so far, but is nonetheless useful. It was conjectured by the Hungarian mathematician Pál (Paul) Erdős (1913-1996) in 1935 and first proved by Louis Mordell in the same year.

Theorem 9.4.1. For any point $P$ inside the triangle $\triangle A B C$, the sum of the distances from $P$ to $A, B, C$ is at least twice the sum of the distances from $P$ to $\overleftrightarrow{B C}, \overleftrightarrow{C A}, \overleftrightarrow{A B}$. Furthermore, equality occurs only when $A B C$ is equilateral and $P$ is its center.

Proof. The unusually stringent equality condition should suggest that perhaps the proof proceeds in two stages, with different equality conditions. This is indeed the case.

Let $X, Y, Z$ be the feet of the respective perpendiculars from $P$ to $\overline{B C}, \overline{C A}, \overline{A B}$. We will first prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P A \geqslant \frac{A B}{B C} P Y+\frac{A C}{B C} P Z . \tag{9.4.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The only difference between most proofs of this theorem is in the proof of the above inequality. For example, rewrite (9.4.1.1) as

$$
P A \sin A \geqslant P Y \sin C+P Z \sin B,
$$

recognize that $P A \sin A=Y Z$ by the Extended Law of Sines, and observe that the right side is the length of the projection of $\overline{Y Z}$ onto the line $\overleftrightarrow{B C}$. Equality holds if and only if $\overleftrightarrow{Y Z}$ is parallel to $\overleftrightarrow{B C}$.

Putting (9.4.1.1) and its analogues together, we get

$$
P A+P B+P C \geqslant P X\left(\frac{C A}{A B}+\frac{A B}{C A}\right)+P Y\left(\frac{A B}{B C}+\frac{B C}{A B}\right)+P Z\left(\frac{B C}{C A}+\frac{C A}{B C}\right)
$$

with equality if and only if $\triangle X Y Z$ is homothetic to $\triangle A B C$; this occurs if and only if $P$ is the circumcenter of $\triangle A B C$ (Problem 1). Now for the second step: we note that each of the terms in parentheses is at least 2 by the AM-GM inequality. This gives

$$
P A+P B+P C \geqslant 2(P X+P Y+P Z)
$$

with equality if and only if $A B=B C=C A$.

## Problems for Section 9.4

1. With notation as in the above proof, show that the triangles $\triangle X Y Z$ and $\triangle A B C$ are homothetic if and only if $P$ is the circumcenter of $\triangle A B C$.
2. Give another proof of (9.4.1.1) by comparing $P$ with its reflection across the angle bisector of $A$. (Beware: the reflection may lie outside of the triangle!)
3. Solve problem 7.6.4 using the Erdős-Mordell inequality.
4. (IMO $1996 / 5$ ) Let $A B C D E F$ be a convex hexagon such that $\overline{A B}$ is parallel to $\overline{D E}, \overline{B C}$ is parallel to $\overline{E F}$, and $\overline{C D}$ is parallel to $\overline{F A}$. Let $R_{A}, R_{C}, R_{E}$ denote the circumradii of triangles $\triangle F A B, \triangle B C D, \triangle D E F$, respectively, and let $P$ denote the perimeter of the hexagon. Prove that

$$
R_{A}+R_{C}+R_{E} \geqslant \frac{P}{2}
$$

5. (Nikolai Nikolov) The incircle $k$ of triangle $\triangle A B C$ touches the sides at points $A_{1}, B_{1}, C_{1}$. For any point $K$ on $k$, let $d$ be the sum of the distances from $K$ to the sides of the triangle $\triangle A_{1} B_{1} C_{1}$. Prove that $K A+K B+K C>2 d$.

### 9.5 Additional problems

Now it's your turn. Which technique(s) will help in the following instances?

## Problems for Section 9.5

1. Prove that of all quadrilaterals with a prescribed perimeter $P$, the square has the greatest area. Can you also prove the analogous result for polygons with any number of sides?
2. What is the smallest positive real number $r$ such that a square of side length 1 can be covered by three disks of radius $r$ ?
3. Let $r$ be the inradius of triangle $\triangle A B C$. Let $r_{A}$ be the radius of a circle tangent to the incircle as well as to sides $\overline{A B}$ and $\overline{C A}$. Define $r_{B}$ and $r_{C}$ similarly. Prove that

$$
r_{A}+r_{B}+r_{C} \geqslant r .
$$

4. Prove that a triangle with angles $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$, circumradius $R$, and area $A$ satisfies

$$
\tan \frac{\alpha}{2}+\tan \frac{\beta}{2}+\tan \frac{\gamma}{2} \leqslant \frac{9 R^{2}}{4 A} .
$$

5. Let $a, b, c$ be the sides of a triangle with inradius $r$ and circumradius $R$. Show that

$$
\left|1-\frac{2 a}{b+c}\right| \leqslant \sqrt{1-\frac{2 r}{R}} .
$$

6. Two concentric circles have radii $R$ and $R_{1}$ respectively, where $R_{1}>R$. $A B C D$ is inscribed in the smaller circle and $A_{1} B_{1} C_{1} D_{1}$ in the larger one, with $A_{1}$ on the extension of $C D, B_{1}$ on that of $D A, C_{1}$ on that of $A B$, and $D_{1}$ on that of $B C$. Prove that the ratio of the areas of $A_{1} B_{1} C_{1} D_{1}$ and $A B C D$ is at least $R_{1}^{2} / R^{2}$.
7. With the same notation, prove that the ratio of the perimeters of $A_{1} B_{1} C_{1} D_{1}$ and $A B C D$ is at least $R / r$.

## Part III

## Some roads to modern geometry

## Chapter 10

## Inversive and hyperbolic geometry

One of the features of "modern" geometry is the inclusion of transformations which are more drastic than those considered in Chapter 3. In this chapter, we consider some transformations which preserve angles but not distances or areas or even collinearity. One singularly useful class of examples is the inversions; these give simple proofs both of classic theorems and of competition problems. Moreover, they can be used to give a simple derivation of the basic properties of hyperbolic geometry.

The introduction of inversion requires new concepts of what a "plane" is and what "transformations" are. In particular, though inversion does not preserve lines, it preserves angles in a sense we will make precise. This puts inversion in the rich class of conformal transformations, which play a key role in applications (e.g., in physics).

### 10.1 Inversion

The notion of an inversion is a natural extension of the concept of reflection across a line, once one accepts the idea that lines are really just "circles of infinite radius". Indeed, one can uniformly characterize lines and circles using directed angles: given three points $A, B, C$, the set of points $D$ for which $\Varangle A D B=\Varangle A C B$, together with $A, B, C$, forms either a line or a circle. So it is not too much of a stretch to imagine a "reflection across a circle"; indeed, this thought seems to have occurred to Apollonius of Perga, who is thought (by virtue of descriptions given by later authors) to have introduced inversion in his lost treatise Plane Loci. However, only in modern times did the technique come into common currency; the first surviving appearance of inversion seems to be in the work of the Swiss geometer Jakob Steiner (1796-1863), some of whose profitable use of the technique we will see shortly.

Let $O$ be a point in the plane, and let $r$ be a positive real number. The inversion with center $O$ and radius $r$ is the map of the plane minus the point $O$ to itself, carrying the point $P \neq O$ to the point $P^{\prime}$ on the ray $\overrightarrow{O P}$ such that $O P \cdot O P^{\prime}=r^{2}$. Since specifying a point and a positive real number is the same as specifying a circle (the point and the positive real corresponding to the center and radius, respectively, of the circle), we can also speak of inversion through a circle using the same definition.

Figure 10.1.1: Inversion through a circle.

What happens to the point $O$ under inversion? Points near $O$ get sent very far away, in all different directions, so there is no good place to put $O$ itself. To rectify this, we define the inversive plane as the usual plane with one additional point $\infty$, thought of as being a "point at infinity". We view an inversion centered at $O$ as a transformation on the entire inversive plane by declaring that $O$ and $\infty$ are inverses of each other.

As an aside, we note a natural interpretation of the inversive plane. Under stereographic projection (used in some maps), the surface of a sphere, minus the North Pole, is mapped to a plane tangent to the sphere at the South Pole as follows: a point on the sphere maps to the point on the plane collinear with the given point and the North Pole. Then the point at infinity corresponds to the North Pole, and the inversive plane corresponds to the whole sphere. In fact, inversion through the South Pole with the appropriate radius corresponds to reflecting the sphere through the plane of the equator!

Figure 10.1.2: A stereographic projection.
Returning to Euclidean geometry, we now establish some important properties of inversion. We first make an easy but important observation.

Fact 10.1.1. If $O$ is the center of an inversion taking $P$ to $P^{\prime}$ and $Q$ to $Q^{\prime}$, then the triangles $\triangle O P Q$ and $\triangle O Q^{\prime} P^{\prime}$ are oppositely similar.

In particular, we have that $\Varangle O P^{\prime} Q^{\prime}=-\Varangle O Q P$, a fact underlying our next proof.
By an inversive circle in the inversive plane, we will mean either a circle in the Euclidean plane, or a line in the Euclidean plane together with the extra point $\infty$.

Theorem 10.1.2. The image of an inversive circle under an inversion is an inversive circle.
Proof. Let $A, B, C, D$ be four points on an inversive circle, and let $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, D^{\prime}$ be the respective images of $A, B, C, D$ under an inversion with center $O$. We now chase directed angles, using the similar triangles of Fact 10.1.1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Varangle A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime} & =\Varangle A^{\prime} B^{\prime} O+\Varangle O B^{\prime} C^{\prime} \\
& =\Varangle B A O+\Varangle O C B \\
& =\Varangle B A D+\Varangle D A O+\Varangle O C D+\Varangle D C B \\
& =\Varangle D A O+\Varangle O C D \\
& =\Varangle A^{\prime} D^{\prime} O+\Varangle O D^{\prime} C^{\prime} \\
& =\Varangle A^{\prime} D^{\prime} C^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, D^{\prime}$ lie on an inversive circle as well.

## Figure 10.1.3: Proof of Theorem 10.1.2.

Notice the way the angles are broken up and recombined in the above proof. In some cases, inversion can turn a constraint involving two or more angles in different places into a constraint about a single angle, which then is easier to work with. Some examples can be found in the problems.

Inversion also turns out to "reverse the angles between lines". Since lines are sent to circles in general, we will have to define the angle between two circles to make sense of this statement.

Given two inversive circles $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$, the (directed) angle between them at one of their intersections $P$ is defined as the (directed) angle from the tangent to $\omega_{1}$ at $P$ to the tangent of $\omega_{2}$ at $P$. We say that two inversive circles are orthogonal if the angle between them is $\pi / 2$. Note that absent a choice between the two points of intersection, the angle between two circles is only well-defined up to sign as an angle modulo $\pi$; however, orthogonality does not depend on this choice. Note also that a line and a circle are orthogonal if and only if the line passes through the center of the circle.

Fact 10.1.3. The directed angle between circles (at a chosen intersection) is reversed under inversion.

Distances don't fare as well under inversion, but one can say something using Fact 10.1.1.
Fact 10.1.4 (Inversive distance formula). If $O$ is the center of an inversion of radius $r$ sending $P$ to $P^{\prime}$ and $Q$ to $Q^{\prime}$, then

$$
P^{\prime} Q^{\prime}=P Q \cdot \frac{r^{2}}{O P \cdot O Q}
$$

## Problems for Section 10.1

1. Deduce Theorem 10.1.2 from Problem 4.2.5 (or use the above proof to figure out how to do that problem).
2. Give another proof of Theorem 10.1.2 using the converse of the power-of-a-point theorem (Fact 6.1.2) and Fact 10.1.4.
3. The angle between two lines through the origin is clearly preserved under inversion. Why doesn't this contradict the fact that inversion reverses angles?
4. (IMO $1996 / 2$ ) Let $P$ be a point inside triangle $\triangle A B C$ such that

$$
\angle A P B-\angle A C B=\angle A P C-\angle A B C .
$$

Let $D, E$ be the incenters of triangles $\triangle A P B, \triangle A P C$, respectively. Prove that $\overline{A P}, \overline{B D}, \overline{C E}$ meet in a point. (Many other solutions are possible; over 25 were submitted by contestants at the IMO!)
5. (IMO 1998 proposal) Let $A B C D E F$ be a convex hexagon such that $\angle B+\angle D+\angle F=$ $360^{\circ}$ and

$$
\frac{A B}{B C} \cdot \frac{C D}{D E} \cdot \frac{E F}{F A}=1
$$

Prove that

$$
\frac{B C}{C A} \cdot \frac{A E}{E F} \cdot \frac{F D}{D B}=1
$$

6. Prove that the following are equivalent:
7. The points $A$ and $B$ are inverses through the circle $\omega$.
8. The line $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ and the circle with diameter $\overline{A B}$ are both orthogonal to $\omega$.
9. $\omega$ is a circle of Apollonius with respect to $A$ and $B$.

In particular, conclude that a circle distinct from $\omega$ is fixed (as a whole, not pointwise) by inversion through $\omega$ if and only if it is orthogonal to $\omega$.
7. Give yet another proof of Theorem 10.1.2 using complex numbers and the circle of Apollonius (Theorem 6.5.1).
8. Show that a set of circles is coaxial if and only if there is a circle orthogonal to all of them. Deduce that coaxial circles remain that way under inversion. Also, try drawing a family of coaxial circles and some circles orthogonal to them; the picture is very pretty.
9. Prove that any two nonintersecting circles can be inverted into concentric circles. (This will be used in Theorem 10.2.2 below.)

### 10.2 Inversive magic

As noted earlier, we know about inversion largely through the work of Jakob Steiner. Steiner used the technique to give dazzlingly simple proofs of a number of difficult-looking statements. Here we present but a few examples.

We start with a classical result attributed to Pappus of Alexandria. It is one of a number of results concerning a figure bounded by three semicircles with diameters $\overline{A B}, \overline{B C}, \overline{A C}$, where $A, B, C$ are three points lying on a line in that order. Such a figure was first consirede by Archimedes, who called it an arbelos ${ }^{1}$.

Theorem 10.2.1 (Pappus). Let $\omega$ be a semicircle with diameter $\overline{A B}$. Let $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ be two semicircles externally tangent to each other at $C$, and internally tangent to $\omega$ at $A$ and $B$, respectively. Let $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots$ be a sequence of circles, each tangent to $\omega$ and $\omega_{1}$, such that $C_{i}$

[^22]is tangent to $C_{i+1}$ and $C_{1}$ is tangent to $\omega_{2}$ (as in Figure 10.2.1). Let $r_{n}$ be the radius of $C_{n}$, and let $d_{n}$ be the distance from the center of $C_{n}$ to $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$. Then for all $n$,
$$
d_{n}=2 n r_{n} .
$$

Figure 10.2.1: An arbelos, and a theorem of Pappus.

Proof. Perform an inversion with center $A$, and choose the radius of inversion so that $C_{n}$ remains fixed. Then $\omega$ and $\omega_{1}$ map to lines perpendicular to $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ and tangent to $C_{n}$, and $C_{n-1}, \ldots, C_{1}$ to a column of circles between the lines, with $\omega_{2}^{\prime}$ at the bottom of the column. The relation $d_{n}=2 n r_{n}$ is now obvious.

Figure 10.2.2: Proof of Theorem 10.2.1.

The following theorem is known as Steiner's porism.
Theorem 10.2.2. Suppose two nonintersecting circles have the property that one can fit a "ring" of $n$ circles between them, each tangent to the next. Then one can do this starting with any circle tangent to both given circles.

Proof. By Problem 10.1.9, a suitable inversion takes the given circles to concentric circles, while preserving tangency of circles. The result is now obvious.

Figure 10.2.3: Steiner's porism (Theorem 10.2.2) and its proof.

## Problems for Section 10.2

1. Suppose that, in the hypotheses of Pappus's theorem, we assume that $C_{0}$ is tangent to $\omega, \omega_{1}$ and the line $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ (instead of the semicircle $\omega_{2}$ ). Show that in this case $d_{n}=$ $(2 n+1) r_{n}$.
2. (Romania, 1997) Let $\omega$ be a circle, and let $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ be a line not intersecting $\omega$. Given a point $P_{0}$ on $\omega$, define the sequence $P_{0}, P_{1}, \ldots$ as follows: $P_{n+1}$ is the second intersection with $\omega$ of the line $\overleftrightarrow{B Q_{n}}$, where $Q_{n}$ is the second intersection of the line $\overleftrightarrow{A P_{n}}$ with $\omega$. Prove that for a positive integer $k$, if $P_{0}=P_{k}$ for some choice of $P_{0}$, then $P_{0}=P_{k}$ for any choice of $P_{0}$.

### 10.3 Inversion in practice

So far we have seen that inversion can be used to give spectacular proofs of a few results. However, it is much more useful than that; it can often be applied to solve much more mundane problems. The paradigm for doing this is almost always the following: invert the given picture and its conclusion, thus transforming the original problem into a new problem on a new diagram, then solve the new problem. In some cases, one must also superimpose the original and inverted diagrams (as in the proof of Theorem 10.2.1) and/or compare information in the two diagrams (e.g. using Fact 10.1.4).

A general principle behind this method is that it is easier to deal with lines than circles. Hence if one wishes to perform an inversion on a geometric diagram, one should center the inversion at a point which is "busy" in the sense of having many relevant circles and lines passing through it.

## Problems for Section 10.3

1. Make up an inversion problem by reversing the paradigm: start with a result that you know, invert about some point, and see what you get. The tricky part is choosing things well enough so that the resulting problem doesn't have an obvious busy point; such a problem would be too easy!
2. Let $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, C_{4}$ be circles such that $C_{i}$ and $C_{i+1}$ are externally tangent for $i=1,2,3,4$ (where $C_{5}=C_{1}$ ). Prove that the four points of tangency are concyclic.
3. (Romania, 1997) Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle, let $D$ be a point on side $\overline{B C}$, and let $\omega$ be the circumcircle of $\triangle A B C$. Show that the circles tangent to $\omega, \overline{A D}, \overline{B D}$ and to $\omega, \overline{A D}, \overline{D C}$ are tangent to each other if and only if $\angle B A D=\angle C A D$.

Figure 10.3.1: Problem 10.3.3.
4. (Russia, 1995) Draw a semicircle with diameter $\overline{A B}$ and center $O$, then draw a line which intersects the semicircle at $C$ and $D$ and which intersects line $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ at $M$, such that $M B<M A$ and $M D<M C$. Let $K$ be the second point of intersection of the circumcircles of triangles $\triangle A O C$ and $\triangle D O B$. Prove that $\angle M K O$ is a right angle.
5. (USAMO 1993/2) Let $A B C D$ be a convex quadrilateral with perpendicular diagonals meeting at $O$. Prove that the reflections of $O$ across $\overleftrightarrow{A B}, \overleftrightarrow{B C}, \overleftrightarrow{C D}, \overleftrightarrow{D A}$ are concyclic. (For an added challenge, find a non-inversive proof as well.)
6. (Apollonius's problem) Given three nonintersecting circles, how many circles are tangent to all three? And how can they be constructed with straightedge and compass?
7. (IMO 1994 proposal) The incircle of $\triangle A B C$ touches $\overline{B C}, \overline{C A}, \overline{A B}$ at $D, E, F$, respectively. Let $X$ be a point inside $\triangle A B C$ such that the incircle of $\triangle X B C$ touches $\overline{B C}$
at $D$ also, and touches $\overline{C X}$ and $\overline{X B}$ at $Y$ and $Z$, respectively. Prove that $E F Z Y$ is a cyclic quadrilateral.
8. (Israel, 1995) Let $\overline{P Q}$ be the diameter of semicircle $H$. The circle $O$ is internally tangent to $H$ and is tangent to $\overline{P Q}$ at $C$. Let $A$ be a point on $H$, and let $B$ be a point on $\overline{P Q}$ such that $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ is perpendicular to $\overleftrightarrow{P Q}$ and is also tangent to $O$. Prove that $\overleftrightarrow{A C}$ bisects $\angle P A B$.
9. Give an inversive proof of Ptolemy's inequality (Theorem 2.3.1).
10. (IMO 1993/2) Let $A, B, C, D$ be four points in the plane, with $C, D$ on the same side of line $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$, such that $A C \cdot B D=A D \cdot B C$ and $\angle A D B=\pi / 2+\angle A C B$. Find the ratio $(A B \cdot C D) /(A C \cdot B D)$ and prove that the circumcircles of triangles $\triangle A C D$ and $\triangle B C D$ are orthogonal.
11. (Iran, 1995) Let $M, N, P$ be the points of intersection of the incircle of $\triangle A B C$ with sides $B C, C A, A B$, respectively. Prove that the orthocenter of $\triangle M N P$, the incenter of $\triangle A B C$, and the circumcenter of $\triangle A B C$ are collinear.
12. (MOP 1997) Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle and let $O$ be its circumcenter. The lines $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{A C}$ meet the circumcircle of triangle $\triangle B O C$ again at $B_{1}$ and $C_{1}$, respectively Let $D$ be the intersection of lines $\overleftrightarrow{B C}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{B_{1} C_{1}}$. Show that the circle tangent to $\overleftrightarrow{A D}$ at $A$ and having its center on $\overleftrightarrow{B_{1} C_{1}}$ is orthogonal to the circle with diameter $\overline{O D}$.
13. (Russia, 1993) Let $A B C D$ be a convex cyclic quadrilateral, and let $O$ be the intersection of diagonals $\overline{A C}$ and $\overline{B D}$. Let $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ be the circumcircles of triangles $\triangle A B O$ and $\triangle C D O$, respectively, and let $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ meet at $O$ and $K$. The line through $O$ parallel to $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ meets $\omega_{1}$ again at $L$, and the line through $O$ parallel to $\overleftrightarrow{C D}$ meets $\omega_{2}$ again at $M$. Let $P$ and $Q$ be points on segments $\overline{O L}$ and $\overline{O M}$, respectively, such that $O P / P L=M Q / Q O$. Prove that $O, K, P, Q$ lie on a circle.

### 10.4 Hyperbolic geometry: an historical aside

One cannot give a survey of "modern" geometry without including hyperbolic, or nonEuclidean, geometry. Originally viewed as a pathological construction, it was later realized in several ways within the confines of Euclidean geometry, and thus is no less valid! Subsequently, hyperbolic geometry has become omnipresent within mathematics, and even within physics via Einstein's theory of relativity.

To understand the relevance of hyperbolic geometry, we must momentarily overturn our revisionist construction of the Euclidean plane and go back to the axiomatic definition. It relies on five postulates, which we loosely translate into modern language.

1. Any two points are the endpoints of a line segment.
2. Any line segment can be extended to a straight line.
3. There exists a circle with any given radius and center.
4. Any two right angles are congruent to each other.
5. If two lines intersect a third and the interior angles on one side are both less than $\pi$, then the two lines intersect somewhere on that side of the third line.

One cannot know whether Euclid realized it was necessary to include the fifth postulate, the so-called parallel postulate. For many centuries, it was felt that Euclid had simply fallen short in simplifying the axioms, and that it would be possible to deduce the parallel postulate from the other four. It was finally realized by Gauss that this is impossible, as there is actually a perfectly sensible (if highly counterintuitive) geometry in which the parallel postulate failed while the other postulates continue to hold. Gauss, no fan of controversy ${ }^{2}$, never published his findings, leaving them to be rediscovered independently by János Bolyai (1802-1860) and Nikolai Ivanovich Lobachevsky ${ }^{3}$ (1792-1856).

As noted above, one proves the independence of the parallel postulate by constructing a "model geometry" in which the parallel postulate fails while the other postulates continue to hold. This is done by building a geometric situation and carefully relabeling the objects; we will do this in the next section.

### 10.5 Poincaré's models of hyperbolic geometry

As described in the previous section, one typically builds spaces of "hyperbolic geometry" by realizing them using constructions within Euclidean geometry. We now describe two related methods for doing this, introduced by Henri Poincaré (1854-1912).

In the disc model, we take the underlying set of points to be the interior of an open disc in the Euclidean plane. The lines of the disc model are the lines and circles orthogonal to the boundary of the disc, or rather the pieces of these lying within the disc. See Figure 10.5.1.

Figure 10.5.1: Points and lines in the disc model.
In the halfplane model, we take the underlying set of points to be those $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $y>0$. The lines of the disc model are the lines and circles orthogonal to the $x$-axis, or rather the pieces of these lying within the halfplane.

[^23]Figure 10.5.2: Points and lines in the halfplane model.

At this point it is clear that one can transform the disc model and the halfplane model into each other by inversion: inverting through a point on the boundary of the disc turns the disc model into the halfplane model, and one gets back by inverting through a point below the $x$-axis. We may thus safely refer to either one as the hyperbolic plane, as long as we only refer to concepts which carry identical meanings in both model. This includes lines of the model; by virtue of the angle-preserving property of inversion, this also includes angles between lines.

Fact 10.5.1. Any two points in the hyperbolic plane lie on a unique line. Any two lines in the hyperbolic plane intersect in at most one point.

By contrast with the Euclidean plane, there are multiple lines through a given point which fail to intersect a given line not through that point. That is, there are multiple parallels to a given line through a given point not on the line.

Figure 10.5.3: Multiple parallels in the hyperbolic plane.
It is reasonable to ask why one needs two (or more, but two will suffice for now) different models of the hyperbolic plane. One answer is that different symmetries appear more readily in each model, so having multiple models makes it easier to visualize the full set of symmetries of the hyperbolic plane. We illustrate this with an example.

By a hyperbolic transformation, we will mean a bijection from the hyperbolic plane to itself carrying lines to lines and preserving angles. For example, in the disc model, one may rotate around the center of the disc; in the halfplane model, one may make a horizontal translation or a homothety with positive ratio and center on the $x$-axis.

Theorem 10.5.2. (a) There exists a hyperbolic transformation carrying any given point to any other given point.
(b) There exists a hyperbolic transformation carrying any given line to any other given line.
(c) Given a point $P$ in the hyperbolic plane, there exists a hyperbolic transformation carrying any given line through $P$ to any other given line through $P$.

Proof. Fix an isomorphism between the disc model and the halfplane model. Let $O$ be the center of the disc model, and let $O^{\prime}$ be its image in the halfplane model.
(a) This is clear in the halfplane model, using dilations and translations.
(b) On one hand, any line in the halfplane model can be translated to a line through $O^{\prime}$. On the other hand, any two lines through $O$ in the disc model are related by a rotation. This yields the claim.
(c) By (b), it suffices to check this for the point $O$ in the disc model, which is clear.

### 10.6 Hyperbolic distance

We next wish to define a notion of distance between two points in the hyperbolic plane. Before doing this, we first check that there are not "too many" hyperbolic transformations.

Theorem 10.6.1. Let $A, B, C$ be three distinct points in the hyperbolic plane, which lie on a line in that order. Then there is no hyperbolic transformation fixing $A$ and taking $B$ to $C$.

Proof. By Theorem 10.5.2, we may reduce to the case where $A$ is the center of the disc model and the line $\ell$ through $A, B, C$ is diametric. Let $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}$ be the lines through $B, C$, respectively, perpendicular to $\ell$. Let $m$ be a line through $A$ such that in the Euclidean plane, the extensions of $\ell_{2}$ and $m$ meet on the boundary of the disc. Note that $m$ does not meet $\ell_{2}$ in the hyperbolic plane, but it does meet $\ell_{1}$.

## Figure 10.6.1: Proof of Theorem 10.6.1.

Suppose now that there is a hyperbolic transformation fixing $A$ and taking $B$ to $C$. Then $\ell$ maps to $\ell$, and by angle preservation, $\ell_{1}$ maps to $\ell_{2}$. Again by angle preservation, $m$ maps either to $m$ or to its reflection across $\ell$. In either case, the two intersecting lines $m, \ell_{1}$ are carried to nonintersecting lines, contradiction.

Let $A, B$ be two distinct points in the hyperbolic plane; we now define the distance $d_{h}(A, B)$ as follows. (If $A=B$, we just set $d_{h}(A, B)=0$.) Apply Theorem 10.5 .2 to map $A, B$ to points $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}$ which lie on a vertical line in the halfplane model. Without loss of generality, we may assume $A^{\prime}$ lies below $B^{\prime}$. Let $d_{A}, d_{B}$ be the Euclidean distances between $A, B$ and the $x$-axis, and define

$$
d_{h}(A, B)=\log d_{B}-\log d_{A}
$$

this is unambiguous by Theorem 10.6.1, since we cannot use a hyperbolic transformation to move $B^{\prime}$ up or down the line while fixing $A^{\prime}$.

Fact 10.6.2. The hyperbolic distance satisfies the following properties.
(a) If points $A, B, C$ lie on a line in that order, then $d_{h}(A, C)=d_{h}(A, B)+d_{h}(B, C)$.
(b) For any points $A, B, C, D$, we have $d_{h}(A, B)=d_{h}(C, D)$ if and only if there is a hyperbolic transformation sending $A$ to $B$ and sending $C$ to $D$.

Notice something funny going on: the definition of a hyperbolic transformation only required preservation of lines and angles, and yet these also preserve distances. This is in contrast with the Euclidean plane, where there is a clear distinction between similarities and rigid motions. Somehow the hyperbolic plane has an inherent "sense of scale" that the Euclidean plane does not; this can be explained by formalizing the statement that the "curvature" of the Euclidean plane is zero but that of the hyperbolic plane is nonzero.

## Problems for Section 10.6

1. Give a formula to compute distances in the disc model.
2. Prove that any line in the hyperbolic plane contains pairs of points whose distance is arbitrarily large; i.e., the length of a line is infinite.
3. Prove that any map of the hyperbolic plane to itself that carries lines to lines preserves (undirected) angles, and hence is a hyperbolic transformation. That is, there is no analogue in the hyperbolic plane of affine transformations which are not rigid motions.

### 10.7 Hyperbolic triangles

A (line) segment in the hyperbolic plane will be the segment or arc between two points on a hyperbolic line; we refer to the distance between the two endpoints also as the length of the segment. With this definition, we may now speak about polygons in the hyperbolic plane, and in particular of triangles.

Theorem 10.7.1. The sum of the angles in a hyperbolic triangle is always strictly less than $\pi$.

Proof. Again, fix an isomorphism between the disc model and the halfplane model. Let $O$ be the center of the disc model, and let $O^{\prime}$ be its image in the halfplane model. Given a triangle in the halfplane model, we can apply dilations and translations corresponding to hyperbolic transformations to create a congruent triangle with $O^{\prime}$ in its interior. Then the result is clear: each angle of the hyperbolic triangle is less than the corresponding angle of the ordinary triangle with the same vertices.

Figure 10.7.1: Proof of Theorem 10.7.1.

The difference between $\pi$ and the sum of the angles in a hyperbolic triangle is called the angular defect of the triangle. It is additive in the sense that if $A, B, C$ are three points in the hyperbolic plane, and $D$ is a point on the hyperbolic segment $B C$, then the angular defect of the hyperbolic triangle $A B C$ is the sum of the angular defects of $A B D$ and $A D C$. It can thus be used as a measure of area for hyperbolic triangles.

## Problems for Section 10.7

Figure 10.7.2: Additivity of angular defect.

1. Prove that any two hyperbolic triangles which have the same angles are congruent. Yes, you read that correctly! This is another case where the hyperbolic plane exhibits an intrinsic "sense of scale".

## Chapter 11

## Projective geometry

Projective geometry is the study of geometric properties which are invariant under "changes of perspective"; this eliminates properties like angles and distances but retains properties like collinearity and concurrence. The formalism of projective geometry makes a discussion of such properties possible, and exposes some remarkable facts, such as the duality of points and lines.

The history of projective geometry is a remarkable instance of art and science feeding off one another. ${ }^{1}$ Based on the optics studies of the Arabic mathematician Alhazen (Ibu Ali al-Hasan ibn al-Haytham) (965-1040), several early Renaissance artists $^{2}$ attempted to develop a style of visual depiction that presented the eye with a truer semblance of threedimensional space than did earlier, flatter styles. The discovery of the principle of linear perspective (the idea that all parallel lines appear to converge at a single point) is credited to Filippo Brunelleschi (1377-1446). This led to a flurry of activity, culminating in the work of Girard Desargues (1591-1661), which introduced projective geometry as we now it.

In the modern era, the real power of projective geometry lies within the realm of algebraic geometry, i.e., the study of geometric objects defined by polynomial equations. This study, implicit in the coordinate geometry with which this book begins, took off in earnest late in the 19th century, and remains one of the most vital branches of present-day mathematics research. We end the chapter with a glimpse in this direction.

### 11.1 The projective plane

We begin with a lengthy description of the formalism of the projective plane. The impatient reader may wish to read only the next paragraph at first, then skip to the later sections and come back to this section as needed.

The projective plane consists of the standard Euclidean plane, together with a set of points called points at infinity, one for each collection of parallel lines. We say that a line

[^24]passes through the point at infinity corresponding to its direction (and no others), and that all of the points at infinity lie on a line at infinity. Note that three parallel lines now indeed have a common point at infinity, which retroactively justifies our calling such lines "concurrent".

An alternate description of the projective plane turns out to be quite useful, and corresponds more closely to the artists' conception. View the Euclidean plane as some plane in three-dimensional space, and fix a point $O$ not on the plane (corresponding to the eye). Then each point on the plane corresponds to a line through $O$ passing through that point, but not all lines through $O$ correspond to points on the Euclidean plane. In fact, they correspond to the points at infinity. In other words, we can identify the projective plane with the set of lines in space passing through a fixed point.

This description also yields a natural coordinate system for the projective plane, using what are known as homogeneous coordinates. Each point in the projective plane can be specified with a triple of numbers $[x: y: z]$, where $x, y, z$ are not all zero. Be careful, though: for any nonzero real number $\lambda,[x: y: z]$ and $[\lambda x: \lambda y: \lambda z]$ are the same point! (Hence the name "homogeneous coordinates".) The colons are meant to remind you that it is the ratios between the coordinates that are well-defined, not the individual coordinates themselves.

How are homogeneous coordinates related to the usual Cartesian coordinates on the Euclidean plane? If we embed the Euclidean plane in space as the plane $z=1$, then the point with Cartesian coordinates $(x, y)$ has homogeneous coordinates $[x: y: 1]$, and the points at infinity are the points of the form $[x: y: 0]$ for some $x, y$ not both zero.

### 11.2 Projective transformations

The original definition of a projective transformation corresponded to the process of projecting an image in the "real world" onto an artist's canvas. Again, fix a point $O$ in threedimensional space, and now select two planes not passing through $O$. The mapping that takes each point $P$ on the first plane to the intersection of the line $\overleftrightarrow{O P}$ with the second plane was defined as a projective transformation. (Do you see why this map makes sense over the whole projective plane?)

One can also give an algebraic description of projective transformations that accommodates degenerate cases slightly more easily. In terms of homogeneous coordinates, a projective transformation takes the form

$$
[x: y: z] \mapsto[a x+b y+c z: d x+e y+f z: g x+h y+i z]
$$

where $a, \ldots, i$ are numbers such that the $3 \times 3$ matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & b & c \\
d & e & f \\
g & h & i
\end{array}\right)
$$

is invertible, i.e., its determinant

$$
a e i+b f g+c d h-c e g-a f h-b d i
$$

is nonzero. From this description it is clear that affine transformations are projective as well, since they occur when $g=h=0$. Since we have two additional parameters (it looks like three, but by homogeneity one parameter is superfluous), the following analogue of Fact 3.5.2 is no surprise.
Fact 11.2.1. Any four points, no three collinear, can be mapped to any other four such points by a unique projective transformation.

The most common use of a projective transformation in problem-solving is to map a particular line to the point at infinity. (As with inversion, it pays to look for a "busy" line for this purpose.) If the statement to be proved is well-behaved under projective transformations, this can yield drastic simplifications. The "well-behaved" concepts mainly consist of incidence properties between points and lines (concurrence, collinearity, and the like); as with affine transformations, angles and distances are not preserved, nor are areas or ratios of lengths along segments (unlike affine transformations).

We demonstrate the power of projection by reproving Desargues's theorem (Theorem 5.2.2).
Theorem 11.2.2. Given triangles $\triangle A B C$ and $\triangle D E F$, the points $\overleftrightarrow{A B} \cap \overleftrightarrow{D E}, \overleftrightarrow{B C} \cap \overleftrightarrow{E F}$, $\overleftrightarrow{C A} \cap \overleftrightarrow{F D}$ are collinear if and only if the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A D}, \overleftrightarrow{B E}, \overleftrightarrow{C F}$ are concurrent
Proof. Apply a projective transformation to place the points $\overleftrightarrow{A B} \cap \overleftrightarrow{D E}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{B C} \cap \overleftrightarrow{E F}$ on the line at infinity. If triangles $\triangle A B C$ and $\triangle D E F$ are perspective from a line, they now have parallel sides and so are homothetic; thus the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A D}, \overleftrightarrow{B E}, \overleftrightarrow{C F}$ concur at the center of homothety (or at a point at infinity, in case $\triangle A B C$ and $\triangle D E F$ are now congruent). Conversely, if the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A D}, \overleftrightarrow{B E}, \overleftrightarrow{C F}$ concur at $P$, consider the homothety centered at $A$ carrying $A$ to $D$. It preserves the line $\overleftrightarrow{B E}$ and carries the line $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ to the parallel line $\overleftrightarrow{D E}$ through $B$, so it maps $D$ to $E$. Similarly, the homothety maps $C$ to $F$, and so $\overleftrightarrow{C A}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{F D}$ are also parallel, implying that the points $\overleftrightarrow{A B} \cap \overleftrightarrow{D E}, \overleftrightarrow{B C} \cap \overleftrightarrow{E F}, \overleftrightarrow{C A} \cap \overleftrightarrow{F D}$ are collinear along the line at infinity.

Beware that angles, circles, and other "metric" objects are not preserved under projection; we will learn more about getting around this difficulty later in the chapter.

## Problems for Section 11.2

1. Use a projective transformation to give an alternate proof of Pappus's theorem.
2. Prove that the center of a circle drawn in the plane cannot be constructed with straightedge alone.
3. (Original) Let $A B C D E$ be the vertices of a convex pentagon, and let $F=\overline{B C} \cap \overline{D E}$, $G=\overline{C D} \cap \overline{E A}, H=\overline{D E} \cap \overline{A B}, I=\overline{E A} \cap \overline{B C}, J=\overline{A B} \cap \overline{C D}$. Show that $\overleftrightarrow{B D} \cap \overleftrightarrow{C E}$ lies on the line $\overleftrightarrow{A F}$ if and only if $\overleftrightarrow{G H} \cap \overleftrightarrow{I J}$ does.

Figure 11.2.1: Problem 11.2.3.

### 11.3 A conic section

We now introduce the notion of a conic section, which comes to us from the work of the ancient geometer Apollonius (whose name has arisen already in connection with Theorem 6.5.1).

A conic section is classically defined as a cross-section of a right circular cone by a plane not passing through a vertex, where the cone extends infinitely far in both directions. The section is a called an ellipse, a parabola, or a hyperbola, depending on whether the angle between the plane and the axis of the cone is greater than, equal to, or less than $\pi / 4$.

Figure 11.3.1: Conic sections.

Theorem 11.3.1. An ellipse is the locus of points whose sum of distances to two fixed points is constant. Similarly, a hyperbola is the locus of points whose (absolute) difference of distances to two fixed points is constant.

Proof. This was already known to Apollonius, but the following clever proof was found by Germinal Dandelin (1794-1847). We will describe only the case of the ellipse, as the hyperbola case is similar.

Inscribe spheres in the cone on either side of the plane of the ellipse, one on the side of the vertex of the cone, tangent to the plane at $A$, the other tangent to the plane at $B$. For

Figure 11.3.2: Dandelin's proof of Theorem 11.3.1.
any point on the cone between the two spheres, the sum of the lengths of the tangents to the two spheres is clearly a constant. On the other hand, for any point on the cone also lying in the plane, the segments to $A$ and $B$ are also tangent to the respective spheres, so the sum of their lengths equals this constant. The result follows.

The two points alluded to in the above theorem are called foci (plural of focus). The name comes from the fact that if one has an ellipse made of a reflective material and one places a light source at one focus, all of the light rays will be "focused" at the opposite focus (see Problem 2).

For parabolas, one has the following alternate version of Theorem 11.3.1.
Fact 11.3.2. A parabola is the locus of points whose distance to a fixed point is equal to the distance to a fixed line.

The fixed line and point are called the focus and directrix, respectively, of the parabola.
In modern times, it was noted that conic sections have a nice description in terms of Cartesian coordinates. If $z^{2}=x^{2}+y^{2}$ is the equation of the cone, it is evident that any
cross-section is defined by setting some quadratic polynomial in $x$ and $y$ to 0 . Hence a conic section can alternatively be defined as the zero locus of a quadratic polynomial; one must impose mild extra conditions to avoid degenerate cases, such as a pair of lines (which geometrically arise from planes through the vertex of the cone). Unless we say otherwise, our conic sections will be required to be nondegenerate.

Here are some standard equations for the conic sections:

| Type | Standard equation |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ellipse | $x^{2} / a^{2}+y^{2} / b^{2}=1$ |
| Parabola | $y=a x^{2}+b x+c$ |
| Hyperbola | $x^{2} / a^{2}-y^{2} / b^{2}=1$ |

Also, the equation $x y=1$ defines a rectangular hyperbola, one with perpendicular asymptotes. (The asymptotes of a hyperbola are its tangent lines at its intersections with the line at infinity.)

## Problems for Section 11.3

1. Prove that a line tangent to an ellipse makes equal (undirected) angles with the segments from the two foci to the point of tangency. What are the analogous properties of a tangent to a parabola or hyperbola?

Figure 11.3.3: A line tangent to an ellipse (Problem 11.3.1).
2. Prove that two hyperbola branches which share a focus can meet in at most two points (whereas two hyperbolas can meet in four points).
3. (Anning-Erdős) An infinite set of points in the plane has the property that the distance between any two of the points is an integer. Prove that the points are all collinear.
4. Let $P$ and $Q$ be two points on an ellipse. Prove that there exist ellipses similar to the given one, externally tangent to each other, and internally tangent to the given ellipse at $P$ and $Q$, respectively, if and only if $P$ and $Q$ are antipodes.
5. Use the previous problem to prove that the maximum distance between two points on an ellipse is the length of the major axis without doing any calculations.
6. (Original) Prove that the convex quadrilateral $A B C D$ contains a point $P$ such that the incircles of triangles $\triangle P A B$ and $\triangle P B C$ are tangent, as are those of $\triangle P B C$ and $\triangle P C D$, of $\triangle P C D$ and $\triangle P D A$, and of $\triangle P D A$ and $\triangle P A B$, if and only if $A B C D$ has an inscribed circle.
7. Find all points on the conic $x^{2}+y^{2}=1$ with rational coordinates $x, y$ as follows: pick a point $(x, y)$ with rational coordinates, and project the conic from $(x, y)$ onto a fixed
line (e.g. the line at infinity). More generally, given a single rational point on a conic whose defining equation has rational coefficients, this procedure allows you to describe all such points.

### 11.4 Conics in the projective plane

In this section, we discuss conic sections from the point of view of projective geometry. To start, we rephrase the geometric definition of a conic section.

Fact 11.4.1. A curve is a conic section if and only if it is the image of a circle under a suitable projective transformation.

In particular, the theorems of Pascal and Brianchon continue to hold if the circle in the statement of either theorem is replaced with an arbitrary conic. From these one can deduce converse theorems, that a hexagon is inscribed in (resp. circumscribed about) a conic if and only if it satisfies the conclusion of Pascal (resp. Brianchon); thinking of Pappus's theorem, one realizes that the conics in the previous statement must be permitted to be degenerate.

We also note that the classification of conics can be restated in terms of projective geometry.

Fact 11.4.2. A conic is an ellipse (or a circle) if and only if it does not meet the line at infinity. A conic is a parabola if and only if it is tangent to the line at infinity. A conic is a hyperbola if and only if it intersects the line at infinity in two distinct points.

## Problems for Section 11.4

1. Prove that a hexagon whose opposite sides meet in collinear points is inscribed in a conic (which may degenerate to a pair of lines).
2. Let $\triangle A B C$ and $\triangle B C D$ be equilateral triangles. An arbitrary line through $D$ meets $\overleftrightarrow{A B}$ at $M$ and $\overleftrightarrow{A C}$ at $N$. Determine the acute angle between the lines $\overleftrightarrow{B N}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{C M}$
3. (Poncelet-Brianchon theorem) Let $A, B, C$ be three points on a rectangular hyperbola (a hyperbola with perpendicular asymptotes). Prove that the orthocenter of the triangle $\triangle A B C$ also lies on the hyperbola. There are other special points of $\triangle A B C$ which must lie on this hyperbola; can you find any?
4. (Monthly, Oct. 1994) Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, A_{4}, A_{5}, A_{6}$ be a hexagon circumscribed about a conic, and form the intersections $P_{i}=\overleftrightarrow{A_{i} A_{i+2}} \cap \overleftrightarrow{A_{i+1} A_{i+3}}(i=1, \ldots, 6$, all indices modulo 6). Show that the $P_{i}$ are the vertices of a hexagon inscribed in a conic.
5. (Arbelos) Let $A, B, C$ be three noncollinear points. Draw ellipses $E_{1}, E_{2}, E_{3}$ with foci $B$ and $C, C$ and $A, A$ and $B$, respectively. Show that:

Figure 11.5.1: The polar map with respect to a circle.

1. Each pair of ellipses meet in exactly two points, where a point of tangency counts twice. (In general, two ellipses can meet in as many as four points.)
2. The three lines determined by these pairs of points are concurrent.

### 11.5 The polar map and duality

Fix a circle $\omega$ with center $O$. The polar map (or polar transformation) with respect to $\omega$ interchanges points and lines in the following manner:

1. If $P$ is a finite point other than $O$, the pole of $P$ is the line $p$ through $P^{\prime}$ perpendicular to $\overleftrightarrow{P P^{\prime}}$, where $P^{\prime}$ is the inverse of $P$ through $\omega$.
2. If $p$ is a finite line not passing through $O$, the polar of $p$ is the inverse through $\omega$ of the foot of the perpendicular from $O$ to $p$.
3. If $P$ is a point at infinity, the pole of $P$ is the line through $O$ perpendicular to any line through $P$, and vice versa.
4. If $P$ is $O$, the pole of $P$ is the line at infinity, and vice versa.

The polar map is also known as reciprocation. We keep the notational convention that points are labeled with capital letters and their poles with the corresponding lowercase letters.

Fact 11.5.1. The polar map satisfies the following properties:

1. Every point is the polar of its pole, and every line is the pole of its polar.
2. The polar of the line through the points $A$ and $B$ is the intersection of the poles $a$ and $b$.
3. Three points are collinear if and only if their poles are concurrent.

An obvious consequence of the existence of the polar map is the duality principle.
Fact 11.5.2 (Duality principle). A theorem of projective geometry remains true if the roles of points and lines are interchanged.

For example, the dual of one direction of Desargues's theorem is the other direction.
We can now give Brianchon's original proof of his theorem, using Pascal's theorem and the polar map. There's nothing to it, really: given a hexagon circumscribed about a circle $\omega$, apply the polar map with respect to $\omega$. The result is a hexagon inscribed in $\omega$, and the collinearity of the intersections of opposite sides translates back to the original diagram as the concurrence of the lines through opposite vertices.

## Problems for Section 11.5

1. Make up a problem by starting with a result that you know and applying the polar map. Beware that circles not concentric with $\omega$ do not behave well under the polar map; see below.
2. State the dual of Pappus's theorem. Can you prove this directly? (A projection may help.)
3. State and prove a dual version of problem 8.3.3. Since circles do not dualize to circles, you will have to come up with a new proof!
4. (China, 1996) Let $H$ be the orthocenter of acute triangle $\triangle A B C$. The tangents from $A$ to the circle with diameter $\overline{B C}$ touch the circle at $P$ and $Q$. Prove that $P, Q, H$ are collinear.
5. Let $\triangle A B C$ be a triangle with incenter $I$. Fix a line $\ell$ tangent to the incircle of $\triangle A B C$ (not containing any of the sides). Let $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}$ be points on $\ell$ such that

$$
\angle A I A^{\prime}=\angle B I B^{\prime}=\angle C I C^{\prime}=\pi / 2
$$

Show that $\overleftrightarrow{A A^{\prime}}, \overleftrightarrow{B B^{\prime}}, \overleftrightarrow{C C^{\prime}}$ are concurrent
6. (Răzvan Gelca) Let $A, B, C, D$ be four points on a circle. Show that the pole of $\overleftrightarrow{A C} \cap \overleftrightarrow{B D}$ with respect to this circle passes through $\overleftrightarrow{A B} \cap \overleftrightarrow{C D}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{A D} \cap \overleftrightarrow{B C}$. Use this fact to give another solution to Problem 6.2.6 (IMO 1985/6).
7. We know what happens to points and lines under the polar map, but what about a curve? If we view the curve as a locus, i.e. a set of points, its dual is a set of lines which form an envelope, i.e. they are all tangent to some curve. Show that the dual of

Figure 11.5.2: The envelope of a family of lines.
a conic, under this definition, is again a conic. However, the dual of a circle need not be a circle.
8. Let $\omega$ be a (nondegenerate) conic. Show that there exists a unique map on the projective plane, taking points to lines and vice versa, satisfying the properties in Fact 11.5.1, and taking each point on $\omega$ to the tangent to $\omega$ through that point. This map is known as the polar map with respect to $\omega$ (and coincides with the first definition if $\omega$ is a circle).
9. (IMO 1998/5) Let $I$ be the incenter of triangle $\triangle A B C$. Let the incircle of $\triangle A B C$ touch the sides $\overline{B C}, \overline{C A}, \overline{A B}$ at $K, L, M$, respectively. The line through $B$ parallel to $\overleftrightarrow{M K}$ meets the lines $\overleftrightarrow{L M}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{L K}$ at $R$ and $S$, respectively. Prove that angle $\angle R I S$ is acute.

### 11.6 Cross-ratio

From the discussion so far, it may appear that there is no useful notion of distance in projective geometry, for projective transformations do not preserve Euclidean distances, or even ratios of distances along a line (which affine transformations do preserve). There is something to be salvaged here, though; the "ratios of ratios of distances" are preserved.

Given four collinear points $A, B, C, D$, the cross-ratio of these points is defined as the following signed ratio of lengths:

$$
\frac{A C \cdot B D}{A D \cdot B C}
$$

In case one of these points is at infinity, the definition can be extended by declaring that the ratio of two infinite distances is 1 . We have left the definition where all of the points lie at infinity as an exercise.

In light of duality, we ought to be able to make this definition for four concurrent lines, and in fact we can: the cross-ratio of four lines $a, b, c, d$ is defined as the cross-ratio of the intersections $A, B, C, D$ of $a, b, c, d$ with some line $\ell$ not passing through the point of concurrency. The cross-ratio is well-defined by the following observation, which follows from several applications of the Law of Sines.

Fact 11.6.1. Let $a, b, c, d$ be four concurrent lines and $\ell$ a line meeting $a, b, c, d$ at $A, B, C, D$, respectively. Then

$$
\frac{A C \cdot B D}{A D \cdot B C}=\frac{\sin \Varangle(a, c) \sin \Varangle(b, d)}{\sin \Varangle(b, c) \sin \Varangle(a, d)} .
$$

Fact 11.6.2. The cross-ratio is invariant under projective transformations and the polar map.

In case the cross-ratio is -1 , we say $C$ and $D$ are harmonic conjugates with respect to $A$ and $B$ (or vice versa). If you did Problem 5.2.3, you witnessed the most interesting property of harmonic conjugates: if $P$ is any point not on the line and $Q$ is any point on $\overleftrightarrow{P C}$ other than $P$ or $C$, then $\overleftrightarrow{A P} \cap \overleftrightarrow{B Q}, \overleftrightarrow{A Q} \cap \overleftrightarrow{B P}$ and $D$ are collinear. (Not surprisingly, this property is projection-invariant.)

One nice application of cross-ratios is the following characterization of conics.
Fact 11.6.3. Given four points $A, B, C, D$, the locus of points $E$ such that the cross-ratio of the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A E}, \overleftrightarrow{B E}, \overleftrightarrow{C E}, \overleftrightarrow{D E}$ is constant is a conic

## Problems for Section 11.6

1. How should the cross-ratio be defined along the line at infinity?
2. Let $A, B, C, D$ be four points on a circle. Show that for $E$ on the circle, the cross-ratio of the lines $\overleftrightarrow{E A}, \overleftrightarrow{E B}, \overleftrightarrow{E C}, \overleftrightarrow{E D}$ remains constant. Then use this to deduce Fact 11.6.3.
3. ("Butterfly problem") Let $M$ be the midpoint of chord $\overline{X Y}$ of a circle, and let $\overline{A B}$ and $\overline{C D}$ be chords passing through $M$. Let $E=\overleftrightarrow{A D} \cap \overleftrightarrow{X Y}$ and $F=\overleftrightarrow{B C} \cap \overleftrightarrow{X Y}$. Prove that $E M=M F$.
4. The points $A, B, C, D$, in this order, lie on a straight line. A circle $k$ passes through $B$ and $C$, and the lines $\overleftrightarrow{A M}, \overleftrightarrow{A N}, \overleftrightarrow{D K}, \overleftrightarrow{D L}$ are tangent to $k$ at $M, N, K, L$. The lines $\overleftrightarrow{M N}, \overleftrightarrow{K L}$ intersect $\overleftrightarrow{B C}$ at $P, Q$
(a) Prove that $P$ and $Q$ do not depend on $k$.
(b) If $A D=a, B C=b$, and the segment $\overline{B C}$ moves along $\overline{A D}$, find the minimum length of segment $\overline{P Q}$.

### 11.7 The complex projective plane

The homogeneous coordinates we have worked with so far also make sense for complex numbers, though visualizing the result is substantially harder. The set of points they define (i.e. the set of proportionality classes of ordered triples of complex numbers, not all zero) is called the complex projective plane. We define lines and conics in this new plane simply as the zero loci of linear and quadratic polynomials, respectively.

One handy feature of the complex projective plane is the following characterization of circles.

Fact 11.7.1. A nondegenerate conic is a circle if and only if it passes through the points $[1: i: 0]$ and $[1:-i: 0]$.

These two points are called the circular points at infinity, or simply the circular points for short.

The fact that complex circles always meet the line at infinity in two points, while real circles to not, is a symptom of the key fact that the complex numbers are algebraically closed, i.e. every polynomial with complex coefficients has a complex root. (This is the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, first proved by Gauss.) This means, for example, that we have the following:

Fact 11.7.2. In the complex projective plane, two conics meet in exactly four points (counting points of tangency twice).

In fact, a more general result is true, which we will not prove; it is attributed to Etienne Bézout ${ }^{3}$ (1730-1783).

Theorem 11.7.3 (Bézout). The zero loci of two polynomials, of degrees $m$ and $n$, contains exactly mn points if the loci meet transversally everywhere (i.e. at each intersection, each locus has a well-defined tangent line, and the tangent lines are distinct).

[^25]If the loci do not meet transversally, e.g. if they are tangent somewhere, one must correctly assign multiplicities to the intersections to make the count work.

An interesting consequence of Bezout's theorem, which we will prove independently, is due to Michel Chasles ${ }^{4}$ (1793-1880). The zero locus of a polynomial of degree 3 is known as a cubic curve.

Theorem 11.7.4 (Chasles). Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be two cubic curves meeting in exactly nine distinct points. Then any cubic curve passing through eight of the points passes through the ninth point.

Proof. The set of homogeneous degree 3 polynomials in $x, y, z$ is a 10 -dimensional vector space (check by writing a basis of monomials); let $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ be polynomials with zero loci $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, respectively, and let $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{9}$ be the nine intersections of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$. Note that no four of these points lie on a line and no seven lie on a conic, or else each of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ would have this line or conic as a component, and their intersection would be infinite rather than nine points.

Let $d_{i}$ be the dimension of the space of degree 3 polynomials vanishing at $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{i}$ (and put $d_{0}=10$ ); then for $i \leqslant 8, d_{i}$ equals either $d_{i-1}-1$ or $d_{i}$, the latter only if every cubic curve passing through $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{i-1}$ also passes through $P_{i}$. However, this turns out not to be the case; see the problems. Thus $d_{8}=2$, and we already have two linearly independent polynomials in this space, namely $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$. (If they were dependent, they would define the same curve, and again the intersection would be infinite.) Thus if $C$ is a cubic curve defined by a polynomial $Q$ that passes through $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{8}$, then $Q=a Q_{1}+b Q_{2}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, and so $Q$ also vanishes at $P_{9}$, as desired.

These results are just the tip of a rather sizable iceberg. The modern subject of algebraic geometry is concerned with the study of zero loci of sets of polynomials in spaces of any dimension. It interacts with almost every other branch of mathematics, including complex analysis, topology, number theory, combinatorics, and mathematical physics. Unfortunately, the subject as practiced today has become technically involved ${ }^{5}$; the novice should start with a book written in the "classical" style, such as Harris [10] or Shafarevich [16], before proceeding to a "modern" text such as Eisenbud and Harris [6] or Hartshorne [11]. (If it is not already clear from the rhapsodic tone of this section, algebraic geometry, particularly in connection with number theory, ranks among the author's main research interests.)

## Problems for Section 11.7

1. Give another proof that there is a unique conic passing through any five points, using the circular points.

[^26]2. Make up a problem by taking a projective statement you know and projecting two of the points in the diagram to the circular points. (One of my favorites is the radical axis theorem - which becomes a projective statement if you replace the circles by conics through two fixed points!)
3. Deduce Pascal's theorem from Chasles' theorem applied to a certain degenerate cubic.
4. Prove that given eight or fewer points in the plane, no four on a line and no seven on a conic, one of which is labeled $P$, there exists a cubic curve passing through all of the points but $P$.
5. A cubic curve which is nondegenerate, and additionally has no singular point (a point where the partial derivatives of the defining homogeneous polynomial all vanish, like the point $[0: 0: 1]$ on the curve $y^{2} z=x^{3}+x^{2} z$ ) is called an elliptic curve. ${ }^{6}$ Let $E$ be an elliptic curve, and pick a point $O$ on $E$. Define "addition" of points on $E$ as follows: given points $P$ and $Q$, let $R$ be the third intersection of the line $\overleftrightarrow{P Q}$ with $E$, and let $P+Q$ be the third intersection of the line $\overleftrightarrow{O R}$ with $E$. Prove that $(P+Q)+R=P+(Q+R)$ for any three points $P, Q, R$, i.e. that "addition is associative". (If you know what a group is, show that $E$ forms a group under addition, by showing that there exist inverses and an identity element.) For more on elliptic curves, and their role in number theory, see [18].
6. Give another solution to problem 10.2.2 using a well-chosen projective transformation in the complex projective plane.
7. One can define addition on a curve on a singular cubic in the same fashion, as long as none of the points involved is a singular point of the cubic. Use this fact to give another solution to Problem 10.2.2.
8. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve. Show that there are exactly nine points at which the tangent line at $E$ has a triple, not just a double, intersection with the curve (and so meets the curve nowhere else). These points are called flexes. Also show that the line through any two flexes meets $E$ again at another flex. (Hence the flexes constitute a counterexample to Problem 9.1.8 in the complex projective plane!)
9. (Poncelet's porism) Let $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ be two conic sections. Given a point $P_{0}$ on $\omega_{1}$, let $P_{1}$ be either of the points on $\omega_{1}$ such that the line $\overleftrightarrow{P_{0} P_{1}}$ is tangent to $\omega_{2}$. Then for $n \geqslant 2$, define $P_{n}$ as the point on $\omega_{1}$ other than $P_{n-2}$ such that $\overleftrightarrow{P_{n-1} P_{n}}$ is tangent to $\omega_{2}$. Suppose there exists $n$ such that $P_{0}=P_{n}$ for a particular choice of $P_{0}$. Show that $P_{0}=P_{n}$ for any choice of $P_{0}$.

[^27]
## Part IV

## Odds and ends

## Hints

Here are the author's suggestions on how to proceed on some of the problems. If you find another solution to a problem, so much the better-but it may not be a bad idea to try to find the suggested solution anyway!

We have refrained from including detailed solutions to all of the problems; for the justification of this decision, and for a web location at which solutions can be found, see the Introduction.
1.2.2 Imitate the proof of Theorem 6.5.1.
3.1.5 Consider the triangle $\triangle A B_{1} C_{1}$ together with the second intersection of the circumcircles of $\triangle A B_{1} C_{2}$ and $\triangle A B_{3} C_{1}$. Show that this figure is congruent to the two analogous figures formed from the other triangles. Do this by rotating $\triangle A B_{1} C_{1}$ onto $\triangle C_{2} A B_{2}$ onto $\triangle B_{3} C_{3} A$ and tracing what happens to the figure. (Or apply Theorem 4.3.1.)
3.2.5 Consider the homothety around $D$ taking $B$ to $C$. If you knew the problem were true, what would that say about the image of $E$ ? Once you figure that out, work backwards. (It may help to peek ahead to Chapter 7.)
3.3.2 After applying Theorem 4.3.1, this should bear a strong resemblance to Problem 3.1.5.
3.3.3 How does $P_{2}$ depend on $P_{1}$ ?
4.1.6 The octahedron has 4 times the volume of the tetrahedron. What happens when you glue them together at a face?
4.3.1 Prove one assertion, then work backward to prove the other.
4.3.3 Construct two of the intersections of the trisections, complete the equilateral triangle, then show that its third vertex is the third intersection. This is difficult; if you're still stuck, see [5].
5.2.2 Draw 10 points: the 6 vertices of the triangles, the three intersections of corresponding sides, and the intersection of the lines joining two pairs of corresponding vertices. If you relabel these 10 points appropriately, this diagram will turn into a case of the forward direction of Desargues!
6.2.1 Draw the circumcircle of $A B C$, and apply the radical axis theorem to that circle, $\omega_{1}$, and $\omega_{2}$.
6.2.6 There are several solutions to this problem, but no one of them is easy to find. In any case, before anything else, find an extra cyclic quadrilateral.
6.3.4 Work backwards, defining $G$ as the point for which the conclusion holds. Also consider the circumcircle of $C D E$.
6.4.2 Find a cyclic hexagon.
6.4.3 Use Theorem 4.2.3.
6.6.2 Even using directed angles, the result fails for nonconvex hexagons. Figuring out why may help you determine how to use convexity here.
6.5.1 Given segments $A B$ and $C D$, what conditions must the center $P$ of a spiral similarity carrying $A B$ to $C D$ satisfy?
6.5.2 By Ceva and Menelaus, one can show $B A_{1} / A_{1} C=B A_{2} / A_{2} C$. This means the circle with diameter $A_{1} A_{2}$ is a circle of Apollonius with respect to $B$ and $C$.
7.2.5 The center of the circle lies at $C$.
7.2.6 The fixed point lies on the circumcircle of $A B C$.
7.2.7 Show that the point $F$ is the excenter of $A C D$ opposite $A$.
7.2.8 Use homothety.
7.2.9 The incircle of triangle $O_{1} O_{2} O_{3}$ touches $O_{2} O_{3}$ at $C$. Reformulate the problem in terms of $O_{1} O_{2} O_{3}$ and get rid of the circles. From there, one way to proceed is to calculate where along $\ell$ the intersection with $A O_{1}$ is.
7.3.5 For (a), write the half-turn as the composition of two other homotheties and locate the fixed point.
7.5.4 Use circles of Apollonius.
7.6.1 What is the locus of points where one of these equalities holds?
7.7.1 The frame shift here is to consider the triangle formed by the excenters.
7.8.4 The distance $d$ satisfies $9 d^{2}=a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}$.
7.9.1 Apply the Law of Cosines to the triangles $A B D$ and $A C D$.
8.2.4 Use Fact 7.2.3.
8.4.2 Show that the orthopole is the radical axis of any two of the circles.
8.3.3 Show that no two consecutive quadrilaterals can both have incircles.
9.1.4 Use the similar triangles formed by the sides and diagonals.
9.2.8 Write everything in terms of $\cot A / 2$ and the like. Then turn the result into a statement about homogeneous polynomials using the identity

$$
\cot \frac{A}{2}+\cot \frac{B}{2}+\cot \frac{C}{2}=\cot \frac{A}{2} \cot \frac{B}{2} \cot \frac{C}{2},
$$

and solve the result.
9.2.9 Use an affine transformation to make $A B C D$ cyclic, and perform a quadrilateral analogue of the $s-a$ substitution.
9.4.4 A certain special case of this result is equivalent to Erdős-Mordell. Modify the proof slightly to accommodate the generalization.
10.1.9 Which circles are orthogonal to two concentric circles?
10.3.6 Reduce to the case where two of the circles are tangent, then invert.
10.3.11 The paradigm does not hold here. Invert through the incircle, then superimpose the original and inverted diagrams.
10.3.12 Note that $A B \cdot A B_{1}=A C \cdot A C_{1}$. Also look at the intersection of $O A$ and $B_{1} C_{1}$.
10.3.13 The busy point is $O$. After you invert there, the conclusion is that $K^{\prime}, P^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}$ are collinear, and the hypothesis on $P$ and $Q$ should look like a criterion for collinearity.
11.2.2 Find a projective transformation taking the circle to itself but not preserving its center.
11.4.1 Fix five of the points and compare the locus of sixth points making this condition hold with the conic through the five points.
11.4.3 Apply Pascal's theorem to the hyperbola, using the intersections of the asymptotes with the line at infinity as two of the six points.
11.5.6 Draw the circle with diameter $O B$, and show that its common chord with the circle centered at $O$ is concurrent with $K N$ and $A C$.
11.7.4 In fact, there exists a degenerate cubic with this property.
11.7.6 Find a projective transformation taking the circle to a circle and the line to infinity.
11.7.9 As in Steiner's porism, reduce to the case of two concentric circles.

## Suggested further reading

The definition of "reading" here is expansive: it includes electronic resources such as software packages (for dynamic geometry) and Web resources (for competitions).

## Algebraic geometry

As noted in Section 11.7, one should start with a text written in "traditional" language, such as those by Harris [10], Shafarevich [16], or Cox, Little and O'Shea [3].

## Competitions

The Art of Problem Solving web site,
http://www.artofproblemsolving.com/
is the premier web resource for students interested in problem solving of the sort appearing in competitions like the USAMO and IMO.

## Dynamic geometry

The phrase "dynamic geometry" refers to computer software that can render a geometric configuration in a fashion that allows the user to vary the determining data and witness the change in the resulting configuration in real time. (For example, if it appears that three lines are concurrent, one can test this hypothesis by "jiggling the input data" to see whether the concurrence appears to be coincidental or causal.) There are several outstanding programs for doing this: commercial offerings include Cabri, Cinderella, and The Geometer's Sketchpad, while slimmer noncommercial alternatives include Kgeo and Kseg.

## Geometric inequalities

The compilation [2] is the definitive source, while its sequel [15] details more recent results.

## Hyperbolic geometry

The book [19] is a charming introduction to the topic, spinning a tale of Lewis Carroll, his friend and muse Alice Liddell, and a mysterious stranger as they explore unfamiliar geometric territory.

## Miscellaneous

The book [1] is a nice survey of "modern" geometry in various forms: it includes sections on hyperbolic geometry, spherical geometry, projective geometry, and constructibility.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The original notes have been circulating on the Internet since 1999, under the pedestrian title "Notes on Euclidean Geometry".
    ${ }^{2}$ The program has actually been called the Math Olympiad Summer Program (MOSP) since 1996, but in accordance to common custom, we refer to the original acronym.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Arnold Ross may no longer be with us, but fortunately his program is: its web site is http://www.math.ohio-state.edu/ross/.
    ${ }^{4}$ We would like to have additional such chapters, perhaps in a subsequent edition of the book, perhaps in a derivative version.

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ For the origin of the name "arbelos", see Section 10.2.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ The latter stands for "Before the Common Era", while the former might be puckishly deciphered as "Arbitrary Demarcation".

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ This attribution explains the term "Cartesian coordinates" to refer to this type of geometric description.

[^5]:    ${ }^{2}$ As mathematician/storyteller Lewis Carroll, né Charles Lutwidge Dodgson, put it in the voice of Through the Looking Glass character Humpty Dumpty: "When I use a word... it means exactly what I choose it to mean-neither more nor less."
    ${ }^{3}$ The term "straight line" may be redundant in English, but it is not so in other languages. For instance, the Russian term for a curve literally translates as "curved line".

[^6]:    ${ }^{4}$ The term "solid geometry" is more common, but less consistent.

[^7]:    ${ }^{5}$ This is the first of numerous occasions on which we use the same word to denote both a segment and its length. This practice stems from the fact that Euclid did not have an independent concept of "length", and instead viewed segments themselves as "numbers" to be manipulated arithmetically.

[^8]:    ${ }^{6}$ The standard plural of "vertex" is "vertices", although "vertexes" is also acceptable. What is not standard and should be avoided is the back-formation "vertice" as a synonym of "vertex".

[^9]:    ${ }^{7}$ This sign convention would seem to be rather old, but we do not have precise information about its origins.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ An analogous relationship in computer science is that between a processor-level machine language and a high-level programming language like C++, Java, or Perl.

[^11]:    ${ }^{2}$ Note that in German, "Gauss" is sometimes spelled "Gauß". That last character is not a beta, but rather an "s-zed", a ligature of the letters " s " and " z ".
    ${ }^{3}$ Yes, that's French emperor Napoleon Bonaparte (1769-1821), though it's not clear how his name came to be attached to this result.

[^12]:    ${ }^{4}$ The word "barycentric" comes from the Greek "barys", meaning "heavy"; it is cognate to the term "baryon" in particle physics.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ The list of other authors who have given proofs of the Pythagorean theorem in this vein is a long one, but surely the oddest name on it is U.S. President James A. Garfield (1831-1881).

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Depending on who you ask, this word is pronounced either CHAY-vee-un or CHEH-vee-un. I've heard other pronunciations as well, but I don't recommend them.

[^15]:    ${ }^{2}$ Not to be confused with the brother of Agamemnon in Homer's Iliad.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ The word is also spelled "coaxal", as in [5].

[^17]:    ${ }^{2}$ The famous triangle was actually known in ancient China. However, Pascal investigated the triangle much more deeply, in his foundational work on probability theory.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Indeed, the companion web site http://faculty.evansville.edu/ck6/encyclopedia/ of the book [13] lists over 1000 special points associated to a triangle!
    ${ }^{2}$ The existence of the centroid seems to be one of the few nontrivial facts proved in standard American geometry courses.

[^19]:    ${ }^{3}$ Rabinowitz uses the diagram for this problem as the logo of his company Mathpro Press.

[^20]:    ${ }^{4}$ If written "man + dad $=\mathrm{bmb}+\mathrm{cnc}$ ", this admits the mnemonic "A man and his dad build a bomb in the sink," in case you can recall what the letters stand for before the U.S. Department of Homeland Security pays a visit.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is a rare case where an Eastern mathematical discovery is reflected by Western naming customs. Compare the situation for Pascal's triangle; see Section 6.3.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ The word "arbelos" in Greek refers to a shoemaker's knife, which presumably looked something like the figure Archimedes was considering.

[^23]:    ${ }^{2}$ Although the consistency of non-Euclidean geometry is a nonissue for mathematicians in our time, it continues to cause controversy among nonmathematicians, who have trouble shaking the belief that there could be any alternative to the Euclidean setting. An infamous example is columnist Marilyn vos Savant, who published a notorious book attacking the work of Wiles on Fermat's Last Theorem on precisely these long-discredited grounds.
    ${ }^{3}$ There has been some dispute over whether these rediscoveries were truly independent of each other and of Gauss. This dispute is satirized in a famous song by mathematician/satirist Tom Lehrer.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ The MacTutor archive, mentioned in the introduction, includes a nice description of this history.
    ${ }^{2}$ To be fair, the distinction between artists and scientists was somewhat blurred at this period, whence the modern phrase "Renaissance man" for a versatile individual.

[^25]:    ${ }^{3}$ There seems to be some disagreement over whether this name is spelled "Bezout" or "Bézout"; we use the MacTutor spelling.

[^26]:    4 "Chasles" is pronounced "shell".
    ${ }^{5}$ Algebraic geometry flourished in Italy in the early 20 th century, but it was practiced with a flagrant lack of rigor that led to numerous errors. To fix these, it proved necessary to recast the foundations of the topic; this was accomplished in the 1960s under the guidance of Alexander Grothendieck (1928-??). What the new foundations gain in power and flexibility, they lack in accessibility; the most accessible route to them seems to be via [6].

[^27]:    ${ }^{6}$ The geometry of elliptic curves pervades much of modern number theory, e.g., the proof of Fermat's Last Theorem given in 1995 by Andrew Wiles (1953-). See [18] for a gentle introduction, or [17] for a more comprehensive treatment.

